

PROGRAMME OF EVENTS & SCHEDULE OF PAPER PRESENTATIONS



Theme:

RESOURCE UTILISTICALIST SUSTAINABLE DE LE LIBERTARIE DE LIBERTAR

DATE: 28TH - 30TH AUGUST, 2018

VENUE: JELILI OMOTOLA HALLS,

UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS, LAGOS, NIGERIA.

FACTORS INFLUENCING BUILDING MAINTENANCE SOURCING DECISION IN NIGERIA SOUTHWEST UNIVERSITIES

Olajide Julius Faremi¹, Olumide Afolarin Adenuga², Iniobong Beauty John³, Mayowa Idakolo Adegoriola⁴ and OluwaseunAdeola Muraina⁵

^{1,2}Department of Building, University of Lagos, Nigeria.
 ³Department of Quantity Surveying, University of Lagos, Nigeria.
 ^{4,5}Department of Estate Management, University of Lagos, Nigeria. juliusfaremi@gmail.comand ofaremi@unilag.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

Appropriate decision making on either to insource or outsource maintenance services in universities is a strategic task. Such a decision-making process is usually complex and challenging. Insourcing maintenance services, different sourcing option suits different maintenance scenarios, hence the need to study the factors influencing decision to insource or outsource maintenance services in any particular organisation or institution. Through a crosssectional survey, data were gathered from 112 respondents comprising a census of 28 maintenance managers and purposive sampling of 84 maintenance technical staff. The relative influence index and the Welch's test were employed as statistical tools for data analysis. The results indicate that factors influencing insourcing of maintenance services in universities include: the development of in-house maintenance staff, technological requirements uncertainty and the difficulty in getting trustworthy contractors. Factors influencing building maintenance outsourcing decision in universities include the need for specialised expertise, strategic alliance with contractors and the need for specialised management. The results of the Welch's ANOVA F (2, 87) =3.50, p=0.17 and F (2, 92) =2.08, p=0.26, showed that there was no significant difference in the factors influencing insourcing and outsourcing decisions across federal, state and private universities respectively. The study concludes that insourcing decision is influenced by management factors while outsourcing decision are influenced by strategic and technological factors.

Keywords: In-sourcing, Outsourcing, Tertiary Institutions, Buildings, Maintenance.

INTRODUCTION

The maintenance management of theeducational facility is important because the condition of buildings and its associated services have animpact on the performance of students and staff (Marilyn, 2006; Smith, 2008; Hopland, 2012). Recent studies on the impact of school buildings on students health(Baker and Bernstein, 2012; Mcintyre, 2016) reveal that the condition of school buildings does not only affect the academic performance of students but also impact their health and psychological well-being. Mcintyre (2016) posits that school buildings that are characterised by various forms of defects have both physical and psychological consequences on all category of users. Therefore, for university buildings to provide requisite comfort and safety for students, staff, visitors and indeed all users, it is

essential that appropriate maintenance management sourcing strategy is deployed. Siyanbola, Ogunmakinde and Akinola (2013) posit that it is practically impossible to produce buildings which are maintenance free. Although much can be done at the design stage to reduce the amount of maintenance work to be executed at the operation and maintenance phase of buildings, building elements nonetheless deteriorate over time relative to the nature and characteristics of construction materials, method of construction, age, environmental conditions, usage, method of design and maintenance management system in place for the building (Adenuga, Odusami and Faremi 2007).

Previous studies have lamented the deteriorating state of buildings in the nation's universities (Moja, 2000; Odia & Omofonmwan, 2007; Aluko, 2011; Yusuff, 2011; Ifenkwe, 2013). The poor state of the facilities in Nigerianuniversities is not as a result of lack of maintenance activities as the universities have dedicated maintenance unit usually within the works and physical planning department. However, in spite of the universities having dedicated maintenance units, most of the buildings and infrastructure in the nation's universities are in a state of disrepair which undoubtedly has hindered the delivery of quality university education in many of the universities (Edukugbo, 2013).

The decision to insource or outsource an activity in any organisation has a profound effect on the success or failure of such activity (Rawlinson, 2006). However, the making of appropriate decision on either to insource or outsource services is strategic in nature and often times constitute a challenge to decision makers. Jin, Chua, Ali, and Alias (2012) add that of uncertain outcome is the practice of selecting a sourcing option based on general adaptation as different sourcing option suits different situations. The determination of an appropriate decision (i.e. to outsource or insource) maintenance services are influenced by many considerations. One of maintenance consideration is that of multi-criteria (several factors influencing final decision). Due to the paucity of studies on the factors influencing the decision to adopt insourcing or/and outsourcing maintenance practice(s) in universities, the problem of the study, therefore, is concerned with investigating the factors influencing the practices of insourcing and outsourcing maintenance services in universities in Southwest Nigeria.

The objective of the study is to evaluate factors influencing the decision to insource or outsource building maintenance services in Nigeria Southwest universities.

Research Hypotheses

The hypotheses postulated for this study are as follows:

- **H1:** There is no significant difference in the factors influencing building maintenance insourcing decision in Federal, State and Private Universities in Southwest Nigeria.
- **H2:** There is no significant difference in the factors influencing building maintenance outsourcing decision in Federal, State and Private Universities in Southwest Nigeria.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The concept of insourcing and outsourcing services

Maintenance management services can be procured through insourcing or outsourcing (Natukunda and Pitt, 2011). Sometimes a combination of insourcing and outsourcing are employed in a hybrid sourcing arrangement. Atkin and Brooks (2009) opine that the

approach is taken often depends on the priority set by the organisation or institution procuring the service. Association for Public Service Excellence APSE(2011) posits that insourcing was regarded as a means of delivering efficiencyand cost savings in the face of mounting budgetary pressure. Although Goure (2011) argue that the expectation of efficiencies and cost savings through insourcing public projects are seldom met. Outsourcing, on the other hand, results from an economic climate, where the emphasis is on cost savings and increased quality especially for lean operations (Faremi, Adenuga and Ameh, 2017). Ikediashi et al. (2012), Brown and Fersht (2014) argue that the guiding principle of outsourcing is that non-core activities of an enterprise or organisation could be handed over to companies with lower labour costs and with expertise in those activities, thereby freeing internal resources to focus on enhancing the value-add of the organisations core business.

Factors influencing the decision to insource or outsource maintenance services

The decision to insource or outsource maintenance services in an institutionemanates from the ability of the institutions' policymakers to define maintenance requirements and the ability to relate asset performance to maintenance effectiveness(Toossi, 2011). Dawne (2011) opine that the factors influencing decision to insource maintenance services include timing and coordination of activities, potential damage to the reputation of institution by outsourced vendor's action, consideration of maintenance activities as core to the institution, difficult to find vendor with compatible organisational culture, subcontractor could act in their own interest to the detriment of the institution, difficulty of finding vendors that are trustworthy, economies of scale, difficulty in contracting unpredictable activities, difficulty in appraising vendor's performance and vendor may feel exposed to potential loss of investment among others.

Stanimirovic (2013)opines that the five reasons why companies outsourceinclude; the need to focus oncore activities, cost reduction, the need to convert fixed costs to variable costs, benefit from supplier's investment and innovation, and improved time to market. Similarly, Assaf, Hassanain, Al-Hammad, and Al-Nehmi (2011) discuss thirty-eight (38) factors influencing the decision to outsource maintenance services. These set of factors were grouped into six major categories comprising: strategic factors, economic factors, management factors, technological factors, function characteristics, and quality factors.

Comparatively, Jin, Chua, Ali, and Alias (2014) asserts that in making the decision to insource or outsource maintenance services, the importance of a number of factors has to be ascertained. The recommended factors include; execution speed, time certainty, price or cost certainty, degree of complexity, degree of flexibility, responsibility, risk allocation or avoidance, quality level, working relationship, clarity of scope, intuition and past experience of the decision maker, dissatisfaction with previous process used, knowledge of the strategy, client's involvement in the project, existing building condition, size of the building, client's in-house technical capability, client's financial capability, external environment and factor, price competition, public accountability, culture, objective or policy of organisation, government policy, dispute and arbitration and availability of experienced contractor.

This study examines all the factors for insourcing and outsourcing decision as presented in the various literaturereviewed for this study with a view to determining those that are significant in influencing the decision of policymakers of tertiary institutions within the study area thus contributing to the existing body of knowledge.

RESEARCH METHOD

A cross-sectional survey design was adopted for this study. The survey was conducted across universities in Lagos, Ogun, Oyo, Osun, Ondo and EkitiStates respectively. The population of the study comprise maintenance managers and maintenance technical staff across universities inSouth-West Nigeria. Primary data were collected for this study using structured questionnaires. Secondary data were collected for this study from the archives of the National Universities Commission (NUC). Twosample sizes were determined for this study. The summary of the sample size, number of questionnaires administered and retrieved as well as the response rate of return is shown in Table 1. The first sample for this study was a census of the twenty-eight (28) maintenance managers across the universities in Southwest Nigeria while the second sample for the maintenance technical staff was determined using the simplified formula for proportions proposed by Yamane (1967). Purposive sampling technique was adopted in administering the research instrument for the maintenance technical staff. This was to ensure that the research instruments were completed by targeted respondents.

Table 1: Sample sizes and survey rate of returns for this study.

State	Maintenance manager N				Mair	Maintenance technical staff			
	SS	NA	NR	RR	SS	NA	NR	RR	
LAGOS	4	4	4	100%	16	17	16	94%	
ONDO	3	3	3	100%	6	6	6	100%	
OYO	2	2	2	100%	12	12	12	100%	
OGUN	10	10	10	100%	24	27	24	89%	
OSUN	6	6	6	100%	17	18	17	94%	
EKITI	3	3	3	100%	9	11	9	82%	
TOTAL	28	28	28		84	91	84		

Note: SS= Sample size, NA= Number of questionnaires administered, NR = Number of questionnaires retrieved, RR= Response rate (%).

DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS

Based on an extensive review of the literature, the taxonomy of 49 variables influencing decision to insource or outsource services was developed and presented to the respondents to evaluate. The relative influence index (RII) score of each of the factors on insourcing and outsourcing decisions were calculated as shown in Table 2. The calculated RII values were interpreted using the scale RII \geq 0.76 means most significant, 0.67 \leq RII \leq 0.75 means significant, 0.45 \leq RII \leq 0.66 means less significant and RII \leq 0.44 means not significant (Waziri and Vanduhe, 2013; Magutu and Kamweru, 2015).

Table2: Factors influencing decision to insource or outsource maintenance services in universities

Factors influencing maintenance sourcing Insourcing Outsourcing

decision	RII	Rank	Remark	RII	Rank	Remark
Strategic Factors						
Developing internal staff	0.92	1	MS	0.31	48	NS
Maintenance is core to institution	0.67	18	LS	0.36	45	NS
Potential damage to reputation of institution	0.74	9	S	0.35	47	NS
Accelerate re-engineering benefits	0.70	16	S	0.68	31	S
Regulations governing outsourcing practices	0.45	25	LS	0.64	38	LS
Improve flexibility to the changing market dynamics	0.43	32	NS	0.67	35	S
Strategic alliance with contractors	0.37	43	NS	0.93	2	MS
Freeing resources for core activities	0.36	44	NS	0.68	30	S
Risk sharing with contractors	0.35	46	NS	0.66	36	LS
Focus on core activities	0.27	48	NS	0.69	19	S
Access to world class capabilities	0.27	49	NS	0.69	25	S
Management Factors						
Difficulty in appraising subcontractor's performance	0.89	4	MS	0.39	39	NS
Difficulty in getting trustworthy subcontractors	0.90	3	MS	0.2	49	NS
Potential conflict of interest between subcontractor and institution	0.82	6	MS	0.36	42	NS
Difficulty of getting subcontractors with compatible organisation culture	0.80	7	MS	0.35	46	NS
	0.72	14	S	0.69	23	S
Consolidation and decentralisation	0.71	15	S	0.68	29	S
Function difficult to manage and control	0.69	17	S	0.80	10	MS
Increase the speed of implementation	0.63	20	LS	0.70	14	S
Reduce management load	0.43	30	NS	0.70	17	S
Save management time	0.43	35	NS	0.69	20	S
Need for specialised management	0.36	45	NS	0.92	3	MS
Economic Factors						
Economies of scale	0.76	8	S	0.36	44	NS
Potential loss of investments	0.65	19	LS	0.36	43	NS
Cash infusion	0.53	21	LS	0.69	26	S
Accountability	0.53	22	LS	0.91	4	MS
Transform fixed cost into variable costs		23	LS	0.67	34	S
Increase the economic efficiency		26	NS	0.70	13	S
Improve the cash flow		28	NS	0.68	28	S
Make capital funds more available for core activities	0.43	29	NS	0.70	15	S
	0.43	36	NS	0.89	6	MS
Quality Factors						
Improve process responsiveness and cycle time	0.45	24	LS	0.67	32	S

Factors influencing maintenance sourcing	Insourcing			Outsourcing			
decision	RII	Rank	Remark	RII	Rank	Remark	
Procure higher reliability and competency	0.43	33	NS	0.86	8	MS	
Improve quality requirements	0.42	37	NS	0.86	7	MS	
Improve service quality	0.42	38	NS	0.84	9	MS	
Achieve high quality of service for competitive advantage	0.42	40	NS	0.74	11	S	
Technological Factors							
Timing and coordination of maintenance activities	0.88	5	MS	0.37	41	NS	
Initiate innovative ideas and techniques	0.74	10	S	0.70	16	S	
Improve the technology for competitive advantage	0.74	11	S	0.70	18	S	
Acquire new skills or technical knowledge	0.42	39	NS	0.71	12	S	
Need for specialised expertise	0.39	41	NS	0.94	1	MS	
Achieve flexibility with changing technology	0.38	42	NS	0.69	21	S	
Technology requirements uncertainty	0.90	2	MS	0.65	37	LS	
Function Characteristics Factors							
Complexity of function	0.73	12	S	0.69	24	S	
Difficulty in contracting unpredictable activities	0.72	13	S	0.38	40	NS	
Lack of spare parts	0.44	27	NS	0.67	33	S	
Lack in equipment /tools availability	0.43	31	NS	0.69	27	S	
Function integration and structure	0.43	34	NS	0.69	22	S	
Lack of internal resources for a service	0.34	47	NS	0.90	5	MS	

Note: Most Significant at: *RII≥ 0.76; MS= Most significant, S= Significant, LS= Less significant.

The results show that themost significant factors influencing decision to insource maintenance services in universities include; the development of internal staff (RII=0.92), technological requirements uncertainty (RII=0.90), difficulty in getting trustworthy contractors (RII=0.90), difficulty in appraising subcontractor's performance (RII=0.89), timing and coordination of maintenance activities (RII=0.88) among others. On the other hand, the most significant factors influencing decision to outsource maintenance services in universities include; the need for specialised expertise (RII=0.94), strategic alliance with contractors (RII=0.93), the need for specialised management (RII=0.92), accountability (0.91) and lack of internal resources for a service (RII=0.90).

Hypothesis 1:

There is no significant difference in the factors influencing decision to insource maintenance services in federal, state and private universities in Southwest Nigeria.

The hypothesis was tested using Welch's ANOVA. The Welch's ANOVA was adopted in order to accommodate for the unequal variances and unequal sample sizes across the universities (Cooper & Schindler, 2014). The summary of the results is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Welch's ANOVA of factors influencing decision to insource maintenance services in federal, state and private universities

Factors influencing insourcing decision	Test	F	df1	df2	p- value
Factors influencing building maintenance	Welch's	3.50	2	87	.17
insourcing decision in Universities	test				

Note: Significant at *p≤0.05

The result shows that F (2, 87) = 3.50, p=0.17. With p>.05, the null hypothesis is accepted. This implies that there is no significant difference in the factors influencing decision to insource maintenance services in federal, state and private universities in South-West Nigeria.

Hypothesis 2:

There is no significant difference in the factors influencing decision to outsource maintenance services in federal, state and private Universities in South-West Nigeria.

Using Welch's ANOVA, the results (Table 4) reveals that F (2, 92) = 2.08, p=0.26. With p>.05, the null hypothesis is accepted.

Table 4: Welch's ANOVA of factors influencing the decision to outsource maintenance services in federal, state and private universities

Factors decision	influencing	outsourcing	Test	F	df1	df2	p-value
Factors	influencing	building	Welch's test	2.08	2	92	0.26
maintena	ance outsourcir	ng decision in					
Universi	ties						

Note: Significant at *p≤0.05

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

The result suggests thatpolicymakers are aware of the significant role of maintenance activities in the preservation of buildings in universities and are careful at relinquishing such sensitive responsibilities to untrusted subcontractors. Lateef, Khamidi, and Idrus (2011) emphasize the need for caution in the maintenance of university buildings as they are meant to create asuitable, conducive and adequate environment to support, stimulate and encourage learning, teaching, innovation and research activities. Furthermore, the result aligns with the findings of Sheng (2012), Muchai and Acosta, (2012) that institutions oftentimes engage the services of third-party vendors to execute maintenance activities requiring high-levelspeciality. In addition, the result shows that the factors influencing the the decision to insource or outsource maintenance services do not differ across the universities (federal, state or private owned). This result supports the findings of Steenbeek, Wijngaert, Brand and Harmsen (2005) that similar factors are likely to influence the decision of firms or organisation with similar business goals.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The decision to insource maintenance activities in universities are essentially influenced by managementfactors. Although the development of in-house maintenance staff ranked as the topmost factor influencing maintenance insourcing decision in universities. Often times, such an objective pursued through on-the-job training of in-house maintenance staff. The study reveals that maintenance policymakers in universities have areservation in committing the maintenance of buildings to subcontractors due to the potential risk of poor performance. Furthermore, maintenance services are outsourced when universities have the need for

specialised maintenance expertiseand when universities have the need to leverage on astrategic alliance with contractors for maintenance service delivery. It is therefore recommended that maintenance services in universities should be executed using insourcing practice when there is aneedfor in-house staff capacity development, uncertainty in maintenance technical requirements and when there is difficulty in getting trustworthy contractors and appraising contractor's performance. However, maintenance services in universities should be outsourced when there is the need for specialised expertise, strategic alliance with contractors and when there is the need for specialised management of building systems or services.

REFERENCES

- Adenuga, O. ., Odusami, K. . and Faremi, J. (2007) 'Assessment of Factors Affecting Maintenance Management of Public Hospital Buildings in Lagos State, Nigeria', in *The construction and building research conference of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors*. Georgia Atlanta USA, pp. 6–7.
- Aluko, O. E. (2011) 'The Assessment of Housing Situation among Students in the University of Lagos', *African Research Review*, 5(20), pp. 104–118.
- APSE (2011) Insourcing update: The value of returning local authority services in-house in an era of budget constraints.
- Assaf, S. *et al.* (2011) 'Factors affecting outsourcing decisions of maintenance services in Saudi Arabian universities', *Property Management*, 29(2), pp. 195–212.
- Atkin, B. and Brooks, A. (2009) Total Facilities Management. Third. Wiley-Blackwell.
- Baker, L. and Bernstein, H. (2012) 'The Impact of School Buildings on Student Health and Performance: A Call for Research Authors', McGraw-Hill Research Foundation, pp. 1–35.
- Brown, D. and Fersht, P. (2014) The State of Services & Outsourcing in 2014.
- Cooper, D. R. and Schindler, P. S. (2014) Business Research Methods. McGraw-Hill.
- Dawne, L. (2011) 'An examination of factors motivating hotel outsourcing', *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 30(4), pp. 963–973. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2010.10.010.
- Edukugbo, E. (2013) 'Education sector stinks! Infrastructure bad, now worse', *Vanguard Newspaper*, 21 December.
- Faremi, O. J., Adenuga, O. A. and Ameh, O. J. (2017) 'Maintenance management sourcing strategies and the condition of tertiary institution buildings in Lagos and Ogun state, Nigeria', Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management, 10(1), pp. 64–74.
- Goure, D. (2011) Neither Insourcing Nor Outsourcing But Rightsourcing.
- Hopland, A. O. (2012) *School building conditions and student achievement: Norwegian evidence.* 2. Available at: www.svt.ntnu.no/iso/wp/wp.htm.
- Ifenkwe, G. E. (2013) 'Educational development in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects in the 21st century', *UniversalJournal of Education and General Studies*, 2(1), pp. 7–14.
- Ikediashi, D. I., Ogunlana, S. O. and Bowles, G. (2012) 'Outsourcing of Facilities Management Services in Nigeria' S Public Universities', (July), pp. 725–735.
- Jin, S. *et al.* (2012) 'Selection of Procurement Method for Building Maintenance Management: A Decision-Making Model'.
- Jin, S. et al. (2014) 'Procurement Method Selection for Building Maintenance Projects: The Case of Malaysian Public Universities', World Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2(September), pp. 7–13.
- Lateef, O. A., Khamidi, M. F. and Idrus, A. (2011) 'Validation of building maintenance performance model for Malaysian universities', *International Journal of Human and Social Sciences*, 6, pp. 159–163.
- Magutu, J. and Kamweru, K. (2015) 'The Phenomenon of Building Maintenance Culture: Need for Enabling Systems The Crisis of Building Maintenance in Kenya', *Global Journal*

- of Engineering, Design& Technology, 4(5), pp. 8–12.
- Marilyn, H. (2006) Best Practices Maintenance Plan for School Buildings.
- Mcintyre, E. (2016) 'Decaying school buildings have physical, psychological consequences', pp. 1–3.
- Moja, T. (2000) 'Nigeria education sector analysis: An analytical synthesis of performance and main issues. World Bank Report.', WORLD BANK Report, (January), pp. 26–28. Available
- http://siteresources.worldbank.org/NIGERIAEXTN/Resources/ed_sec_analysis.pdf.
 Muchai, E. and Acosta, F. (2012) 'Assessment of factors influencing the decision to outsource
- information and communication technology by commercial banks in Kenya', *DLSU Business and Economics Review*, 22, pp. 63–96.
- Natukunda, C. and Pitt, M. (2011) Outsourcing Vs. Insourcing Facility Management Services: The Practice In Uganda.
- Odia, L. O. and Omofonmwan, S. I. (2007) 'Educational system in Nigeria problems and prospects', *Journal of social science*, 14(1), pp. 81–86.
- Ogunmakinde, O. E., Akinola, A. A. and Siyanbola, A. B. (2013) 'Analysis of the Factors Affecting Building Maintenance in Government Residential Estates in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria', *Journal of Environmental Sciences and Resources Management*, 5(2), pp. 65–73.
- Rawlinson, S. (2006) Successful projects.
- Sheng, L. C. (2012) 'Factors Influencing Outsource Decision on Property Maintenance Services of Malaysian Office Buildings', pp. 5–17.
- Smith, S. M. (2008) 'School building quality and student performance in South Carolina public high schools'. Available at: http://search.proquest.com/docview/304675782.
- Stanimirovic, D. (2013) 'Development of a Decision-Support Model for Outsourcing of IT-Projects in the Public Sector Institute for informatization of administration', 3(7), pp. 166–177.
- Steenbeek, W. et al. (2005) 'Sourcing decision-making: Elicitating consultancy knowledge using Policy Capturing', in ECIS, p. 135.
- Toossi, A. (2011) 'A value-centric decision-making framework for maintenance services outsourcing', (December), pp. 2008–2011. Available at: http://dspace.lib.cranfield.ac.uk/handle/1826/7265.
- Waziri, B. S. (2016) 'Design and construction defects influencing residential building maintenance in Nigeria', *Jordan Journal of Civil Engineering*, 10(3), pp. 313–323.
- Waziri, B. S. and Vanduhe, B. A. (2013) 'Evaluation of Factors Affecting Residential Building Maintenance in Nigeria: Users' Perspective', *Civil and Environmental Research*, 3(8), pp. 19–25.
- Yamane, T. (1967) Statistics: An Introductory Analysis. 2nd edn. New York: Harper and Row.
- Yusuff, O. S. (2011) 'Students Access to Housing: A Case of Lagos State University Students Nigeria', *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 4(2), pp. 107–122. doi: 10.5539/jsd.v4n2p107.