See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263023843

Static Reservoir Modeling Using Well Log and 3-D Seismic Data in a KN Field, Offshore Niger Delta, Nigeria

Article *in* International Journal of Geosciences · November 2013 DOI: 10.4236/ijg.2014.51011



Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

Project Groundwater Project View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Lukumon Adeoti on 24 May 2016.



Static Reservoir Modeling Using Well Log and 3-D Seismic Data in a KN Field, Offshore Niger Delta, Nigeria

Lukumon Adeoti¹, Njoku Onyekachi¹, Olawale Olatinsu², Julius Fatoba³, Musa Bello¹

¹Department of Geosciences, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria ²Department of Physics, University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria ³Department of Earth Science, Olabisi Onabanjo University, Ago-Iwoye, Nigeria Email: <u>lukade@yahoo.com</u>, <u>hydrofad@yahoo.com</u>

Received November 22, 2013; revised December 23, 2013; accepted January 18, 2014

Copyright © 2014 Lukumon Adeoti *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. In accordance of the Creative Commons Attribution License all Copyrights © 2014 are reserved for SCIRP and the owner of the intellectual property Lukumon Adeoti *et al.* All Copyright © 2014 are guarded by law and by SCIRP as a guardian.

ABSTRACT

This study focuses on the application of 3D static model using 3-D seismic and well log data for proper optimization and development of hydrocarbon potential in KN field of Niger Delta Province. 3D Seismic data were used to generate the input interpreted horizon grids and fault polygons. The horizon which cut across the six wells was used for the analysis and detailed petrophysical analysis was carried out. Structural and property modeling (net to gross, porosity, permeability, water saturation and facies) were distributed stochastically within the constructed 3D grid using Sequential Gaussian Simulation and Sequential Indicator Simulation algorithms. The reservoir structural model show system of different oriented growth faults F1 to F6. Faults 1 and Fault 4 are the major growth faults, dipping towards south-west and are quite extensive. A rollover anticline formed as a result of deformation of the sediments deposited on the downthrown block of fault F1. The other faults (2, 3, 5 and 6) are minor fault (synthetic and antithetic). The trapping mechanism is a fault assisted anticlinal closure. Results from well log analysis and petrophysical models classified sand 9 reservoir as a moderate to good reservoir in terms of facies, with good porosity, permeability, moderate net to gross and low water saturation. The volumetric calculation of modeled sand 9 horizon reveals that the (STOIIP) value at the Downthrown and Ramp segment are 15.7 MMbbl and 3.8 MMbbl respectively. This implies that the mapped horizon indicates hydrocarbon accumulation in economic quantity. This study has also demonstrated the effectiveness of 3-D static modeling technique as a tool for better understanding of spatial distribution of discrete and continuous reservoir properties, hence, has provided a framework for future prediction of reservoir performance and production behavior of sand 9 reservoir. However, more horizontal wells should be drilled to enhance optimization of the reservoir.

KEYWORDS

Porosity; Permeability; Water Saturation; Volumetric Calculation; Facies

1. Introduction

The increasing demand for petroleum products has posed a change to the search of oil and gas. This search for hydrocarbon has developed increase with advances in greater computational technology to evaluate the probability of hydrocarbon proneness of the basin thereby limiting the risk factor associated with hydrocarbon. Government revenue of most oil producing countries in the world depends on these products. In Nigeria, Niger Delta province has commercial accumulation of oil and gas. The production of oil and gas is from the accumulation in the pore spaces of reservoir rock usually sandstone, limestone or dolomite. In Niger Delta, petroleum production is produced in sandstone and unconsolidated sand of Agbada formation. This formation is characterized by alternating sandstones and shale with rock units varying in thickness from 100 ft to 15000 ft [1]. The sand in this formation is mainly hydrocarbon reservoir with shale providing lateral and vertical seals [2]. The Niger Delta is situated in the Gulf of Guinea between the longitude $5^{\circ}E - 8^{\circ}E$ and Latitude $3^{\circ}N - 6^{\circ}N$. The Niger Delta located chiefly onshore and offshore of Nigeria, covers approximately 105,000 km square.

As Oil and gas deposits are found in the porous formations in sedimentary basins, under normal conditions, the reservoir occurs at locations where the appropriate porous formation is at a higher elevation than the surrounding region. The task of the interpreter is to locate such occurrences. The means of doing this is the proper interpretation of seismic data recorded for region of interest. As with any physical procedure of this nature, it becomes highly desirable to simulate the data collection process and to gain insight by the examination of known situations [3]. Realistic 3D geological models are then required as input to reservoir simulation programs which predict the movement of rocks under various hydrocarbon scenarios. An actual reservoir can only be developed and produced once and mistakes can be tragic and wasteful. It is essential to model the reservoir as accurately as possible in order to calculate the reserves and to determine the most effective way of recovering as much of the petroleum economically as possible [4-7], hence, allows for 3D visualization of the subsurface, which enhances understanding of reservoir heterogeneities and helps to improve recovery rates, as low recovery rates stem from inefficient sweep caused by poor knowledge of inter well-scale heterogeneities [8].

The advances in computational technology, modern reservoir models can accommodate increasingly detailed 3D data that illustrate the spatial distribution of reservoir properties. Subsurface reservoir characterization typically incorporates well data augmented with seismic data to establish the geological model of the reservoir [8]. [9] worked on 3D integrated static modeling using geostatistical methods in Asmari reservoir, Marun oil field, Iran. In this study, he predicted future reservoir performance and production history. [10] worked on detailed facies definition and 3D static model: Reservoir management of the Eocene producing units in Block X of the Talara Basin in Northwest Peru. Here, he constructed a reliable stratigraphic framework in the identification of specific association stratigraphic or depositional surfaces, defining genetic units. Zones of potential reservoir connectivity were built.

Applying a reservoir modeling tool effectively is affected by the integrity of the data used and an understanding of the reservoir with the lithology of its host rock. The KN field in the Niger Delta had previously been difficult to describe owing to its problem of poor data quality as a result of the 2D seismic data that was used in the interpretation process, hence when wells were drilled in the field the reservoir was missed. The study was carried out to overcome this problem by incorporating 3D seismic data interpretation and well data to develop 3D static model that would make the data more reliable.

2. Geology of the Study Area

Niger Delta is a prolific hydrocarbon belt in the world. The formation of Niger Delta basin was initiated in the early Tertiary time. The Niger Delta is situated in the Gulf of Guinea and extends throughout the Niger Delta province. From the Eocene to the present, the Delta has prograded Southwest ward, forming depobelts that represent the most active portion of the Delta at each stage of its development [11].

Deposition of the three formations occurred in each of the five off lapping siliciclastic sedimentation cycles that comprise the Niger Delta. These cycles (depobelts) are 30 - 60 kilometers wide, prograde southwestward 250 kilometers over oceanic coast into the gulf of guinea, and are defined by synsedimentary faulting that occurred in response to variable rates of subsidence and sediment supply [11]. The interplay of subsidence and supply rates resulted in deposition of discrete depobelts when further crustal subsidence of the basin could no longer be accommodated, the focus of sediment deposition shifted seaward, forming a new depobelt. Each depobelt is a separate unit that corresponds to a break in regional dip of the delta and is bounded landward by growth faults and seaward by large counter-regional faults or the growth fault of the next seaward belt [11]. Five major depobelts are generally recognized, each with its own sedimentation, deformation and petroleum history (Figure 1).

The sedimentary wedge of the Niger Delta contains a major submarine part [13] which forms part of the complex continental margin intruding into the Gulf of Guinea. In the Niger delta province, the Tertiary Niger delta (Akata-Agbada) petroleum system has been identified. The Delta formed at the site of a rift triple junction related to the opening of the Southern Atlantic starting in the late Jurassic from interbedded marine shale of the lowermost Agbada formation and continuing into the cretaceous. The Delta proper began developing in the Eocene, accumulating sediments that now are over 10km thick. The primary source rock is the upper Akata formation, the marine-shale facies of the Delta, with possibly contribution from interbedded marine shale of the lowermost Agbada formation. Oil is produced from sandstone facies within the Agbada Formation, however, turbidite sand in the upper Akata Formation is a potential target in deep water offshore and possibly beneath currently producing intervals onshore.

The study area falls within the western margin of offshore depobelt of Niger Delta (**Figure 2**). The fault pattern is NW- SE and the traps involved in this field are mainly structural in nature. The study area (KN field) is within the parasequence set of Agbada formation. Hence, the local geology of the area is similar to that of the Niger Delta. The Niger Delta area is situated in the Gulf of Guinea between longitudes 5° and 8°E and latitude 3° and 6°N [14].



Figure 1. Map of Niger Delta showing the depobelts [12].



Figure 2. Location map of the study area [15].

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Data Acquisition

The data used for this research were obtained from an oil company in Nigeria.

The data provided include 3D seismic data and well log data. Six wells were drilled on KN-field and are labelled KN-1, 2,3,4,5 and 6. **Figure 3** shows the base map of the KN Field.

3.2. Data Processing and Interpretation

3.2.1. Petrophysical Interpretation and Evaluation

Necessary environmental correction that aimed at re-

moving the effect of variable hole size and acquisition conditions (such as mud weight, salinity, etc.) was performed. Normalization was carried out at scaling the log response for various wells to a reference well (KN 4), to provide a homogeneous dataset and attenuate bias from different vintages and toolset.

A detailed petrophysical evaluation was conducted for the KN wells namely KN 3, 4, 5 and 6. The GeoGraphix software was used to carry out the petrophysical evaluation. The interpretation of the logs in general was performed using a deterministic approach and generated output curves for shale volume, net to gross, effective porosity, effective water saturation and permeability.



Figure 3. Base map of KN field.

These logs were correlated by picking shale markers to delineate between reservoir rocks and non reservoir rocks using GR and resistivity logs. Shale volume was determined from gamma ray log, Steiber and neutron - density was used for shale volume correction.

The porosity was estimated using the available porosity logs (Density and Neutron). The effective porosity was calculated from the total porosity corrected for shale fraction.

The apparent water resistivity was determined from calculated R_w log of a clean water bearing formation. This gave a good match with picket plot.

Permeability curve was determined using Timur model that put also effective porosity into consideration. Hence, reservoir pay summation using water saturation cut-off of 0.6; effective porosity of 0.1 - 0.4 and a volume shale cut-off of 0.3 was used to constrain pay summation. **Tables 1** and **2** proposed by [16] were used as guides for the classification of porosity and permeability respectively. Water saturation was estimated using Indonesia model because of the presence of shale and was determined using the formula [17] below:

$$S_{w}^{n/2} = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{R_{t}}}}{\frac{V_{cl}^{\left(1-v_{cl}\right)}}{\sqrt{R_{cl}}} + \frac{\sqrt{\phi_{e}^{m}}}{\sqrt{a*R_{w}}}}$$
(3.0)

Water Saturation (S_w) , True Formation Resistivity Ω m (R_t) , Formation Water Resistivity Ω m (R_w) , Shale/Clay Volume (V_{cl}) , Shale/Clay Resistivity (R_{cl}) , Saturation Exponent (n), Cementation Exponent (m), Effective (shale corrected) Porosity ϕ_e , and Formation Factor (F).

Hydrocarbon saturation (S_h) , movable oil saturation (MOS), Residual hydrocarbon saturation (S_{hr}) , Hydrocarbon movability index (HMI) and Water saturation of flushed zone (S_{xo}) [18] were evaluated as follow:

Table 1. Qualitative evaluation of porosity [16].

Percentage Porosity (%)	Qualitative Evaluation
0 - 5	Negligible
5 - 10	Poor
15 - 20	Good
20 - 25	Very good
Over 30	Excellent

Table 2. Qualitative evaluation of permeability [16].

Average k-value (MD)Quantitative Description<10.5Poor to fair15 - 50Moderate		
<10.5 Poor to fair 15 - 50 Moderate	Average k-value (MD)	Quantitative Description
15 - 50 Moderate	<10.5	Poor to fair
	15 - 50	Moderate
50 - 250 Good	50 - 250	Good
250 - 1000 Very good	250 - 1000	Very good
>1000 Excellent	>1000	Excellent

 $S_h = 1 - S_w \tag{3.1}$

$$MOS = S_{y_0} - S_{w} \tag{3.2}$$

$$S_{...} = S^{1/5} \tag{3.3}$$

$$=1-S_{ra}$$
 (3.4)

$$HMI = \frac{S_w}{S_w} \tag{3.5}$$

3.2.2. Seismic Analysis

1) Fault Picking and Well Tie to Seismic

 S_{hr}

The identification of prominent features such as major and minor faults was carried out on the seismic sections (**Figure 4**). Well tie to Seismic was done using KN 4 well data and checkshot survey from KN1 to generate synthetic seismograms, hence, tying the seismic to wells **Figure 5**. Hence, the Top of the Sand 9 was expected to be a seismic +/- zero crossing on SEG normal polarity



Figure 4. Picking of faults.



Figure 5. Seismic to well tie using synthetic seismogram on logs.

section. Synthetic match with seismic was quite good in KN 4. Picking of Sand 9 Top hydrocarbon sand was found to be difficult because it fell on a thin line zero crossing on seismic. Hence mapping was done on the continuous negative polarity (trough) beneath the zero crossing and bulk shifted.

2) Mapping of Seismic Horizon

The mapping of the horizon was based on synthetic process. The key seismic reflections which corresponded to top of main reservoir sands were identified on seismic data for mapping (Figure 6). The sedimentary section can be subdivided into three distinct intervals based on general seismic reflection character, regional studies and the uniformly blocky, low-value gamma-ray patterns,

some low to high amplitude, parallel and discontinuous reflection pattern, was observed [11,12,19-21], Upper Agbada section with thick shale on the sandy sequence and lower Agbada formation characterized by thick shale, parallel and high amplitude followed by sand shale intercalation. Although, a chaotic and low amplitude reflections interpreted as the Akata formation was also observed.

3) Time-Depth Conversion

The checkshot data was utilized for Sand 9 reservoir in KN field. This interval represented the deepest hydrocarbon potential as established from KN wells. The single average time-depth function (**Figure 7**) was used.

4) Seismic Attribute

RMS amplitude was generated for sand 9 in order to extract information on reservoir characteristics, area extent and influence of hydrocarbon fluids on seismic response.

3.2.3. Static Geological Model

In view of the necessity of dynamic simulation process and to arrive at a final well and production behaviour, it was necessary to build a static model that represented as closely as possible the subsurface reality of the Sand 9 that have been encountered by most wells. The static geological model of Sand 9 for the entire KN Field in Lower Agbada formation was built by integrating relevant sub-surface data and interpretation presented in the preceding sections. The 3D seismic structural interpretation, lithological descriptions and facies interpretation, porosity, permeability and initial water saturation from log analyses were used to build the static model. The PETREL (Version 2009.1) suite was used in building the static model. The structural model and property model (net to gross, porosity, permeability, water saturation and facies) were used for the static modeling of Sand 9 which are briefly described as follows:

1) Structural Model of Sand 9

The structural model was based on the depth-converted 3D seismic interpretation. The input data consist



Figure 6. Top showing sand 9 horizon.



Figure 7. Time-depth function.

of the following: Sand 9 Top Depth surfaces, polygons and fault surfaces of interpreted faults. Fault modelling was the first step for building structural models with Petrel workflow tools. The process was used to create structurally and geometrically corrected fault representations within horizon. Pillar gridding is a way of storing XYZ locations to describe a surface which was used to generate a 3-D framework. A 3-D grid divided the space up into cells within which it assumes materials were essentially the same. The proportional layering was aimed at capturing equal layer thickness from top of the reservoir to the base. The reservoir modelled as one major lithostratigraphic unit. Thus the structural model was defined by one zone. The faults were modelled mainly from the input fault surfaces based on interpretation. The areal dimension of the grid cells was optimized at 50×50 m, considering the reservoir description in Sand 9 prospect. The grid was oriented parallel to the main northern boundary fault. The 3D static model contained 260,040 cells.

2) Net to Gross Model of Sand 9

The petrophysical evaluation generated a net to gross log curve from interpretation, which was upscaled. The distribution of net to gross values was done stochastically using Sequential Gaussian Simulation (SGS) with the net to gross value of the reservoir calculated.

3) Porosity Model of Sand 9

The porosity model was based on the porosity logs generated from petrophysical interpretation of well KN-3, 4, 5, and 6. The logs were upscaled to the layering scheme using the facies as a controlling bias that ensured that the porosity was appropriate for the facies property of the cell. The porosity was distributed in the model using "Sequential Gaussian Simulation" (SGS) that was conditioned to the facies model and to the wells. A total porosity cut-off of 7% was applied to the model, consistent with the cut-offs estimated from petrophysical analysis for the reservoir facies. Multiple realizations were run on the porosity model conditioned the data to the respective facies.

4) Permeability Model of Sand 9

The permeability model was based on the permeability logs generated. This effective in-situ permeability was distributed in the static model using Sequential Gaussian Simulation conditioned to the facies of the modelled zone. A permeability cut-off of 50 mD was applied to the model, consistent with the log observation. Multiple realizations were run on the permeability model conditioned to the respective facies and respective porosity as a secondary variable.

5) Water Saturation Model of Sand 9

In absence of core data, a deterministic average water saturation that was derived from petrophysical interpretation was used in the model. Water saturation value of 26% was used. The model captured the in-put parameters from the petrophysical analysis.

6) Facies Model of Sand 9

Sequential Indicator Simulation (SIS) technique was used for this Model. In Sand 9 model, no apparent trends have been used as the system net-to-gross (NTG) is low and presumably deposited in marine environment. It was considered reasonable to use stochastic method for the modeling. The method allows easy modeling of facies environments where the facies volume proportions vary vertically, laterally, or both. Facies associations have been coded at the wells based on the available log data (primarily GR) in wells.

The petrophysical non-reservoir facies was determined with GR > 75 API Units. The reservoir facies was further divided into good and moderate reservoir facies based on GR distribution. During the facies modeling process, the facies realizations were conditioned to the wells and multiple realizations were run in the facies modeling to capture the inherent heterogeneity if any.

3.2.4. Reservoir Volumetric

Reservoir volumetric is the process by which the quantity of hydrocarbon in a reservoir is estimated. This is very important because it acts as a guide for field exploration and development. After a static model of a field was done, the structural model and the petrophysical model built were used to calculate the reserves [22] in terms of stock tank of original oil in place (STOOIP) of the Sand 9 under study were estimated using Equation (3.6).

$$STOIIP(STB) = 7758 \times A \times h \times \varphi \times (1 - S_w) \times \frac{1}{B_0} \quad (3.6)$$

A = Area in acres, h = Net Pay Thickness in feet, φ = porosity, S_w = Water Saturation, B_0 = Formation Volume Factor, 7758 = Acre-feet conversion for oil.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Results

Correlation of six well logs in KN field is displayed in **Figure 8**. The summary of the pay is shown in **Table 3**. **Figure 9** indicates the Top of sand 9 time structure map. The display of the Top of sand 9 depth structure map is shown in **Figure 10**. The RMS attribute map is presented in **Figure 11**. **Figure 12** reflects the 3D component of the structural model of Sand 9. The NTG (Net to Gross) model is displayed in **Figure 13**. The porosity model is gresented in **Figure 15**. The water saturation model is shown in **Figure 16**. **Figure 17** shows the facies model. Volumetric obtained after modelling is showed in **Table 4**.



Figure 8. Six well logs showing structural correlation of KN field.



Figure 9. Top showing time structure map of Sand 9.

Table 3.	Pay	summary	of	sand	9
----------	-----	---------	----	------	---

	TVDSS	MD	GROSS RES	NET RES	NET PAY	N/G PAY	PHI PAY	Sw PAY	V _{SH} PAY	PHI RES	K	Wells	\mathbf{S}_{h}	\mathbf{S}_{xo}	MOS	\mathbf{S}_{hr}	HMI
Sand 9Top KN6	-3418.97	3923.2	17.98	17.98	0.21	0.74	0.16	0.27	0.2	0.17	43	Well 06	0.73	0.78	0.49	0.22	0.37
Sand 9Base KN6	-3454.61	3964.45															
Sand 9Top KN5	-3345.82	3359.54	29.2	25.452	24.2	0.82	0.18	0.32	0.23	0.25	76.8	Well 05	0.68	0.78	0.49	0.22	0.37
Sand 9Base KN5	-3375.04	3388.76															
Sand 9Top KN4	-3376.56	3389.36	37.85	37.83	29.82	0.78	0.17	0.29	0.21	0.19	58.9	Well 04	0.71	0.8	0.48	0.2	0.4
Sand 9Base KN4	-3414.37	3427.17															
Sand 9Top KN3	-3398.38	3616.75	65	65	12.27	0.38	0.19	0.29	0.19	0.26	70	Well 03	0.71	0.77	0.5	0.23	0.35
Sand 9Base KN3	-3430.9	3652.62															



Figure 10. Top showing depth structure map of sand 9.



Figure 11. Top showing RMS attribute map.



Figure 12. Top showing 3D view components of the structural model.



Figure 13. Top showing NTG model.



Figure 14. Top showing porosity model.



Figure 15. Top showing permeability model.



Figure 16. Top showing water saturation model.



Figure 17. Top showing facies model.

Table 4. Volumetric obtained after modeling.

Zones		Bulk Volume	Net Volume	Pore Volume	HCPV Oil	STOIIP (in Oil)	STOIIP (in Oil)	\mathbf{B}_0	k
		$*10^6 \text{ m}^3 \text{ STB}$	MMbbl						
Zone 1	Down thrown	94.23	58.61	4.58	3.00	15.73	15.73	1.2	6.29
Zone 2	Up thrown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Zone 3	Ramp	17.65	13.63	1.07	0.73	3.81	3.81	1.2	6.29

4.2. Discussion of Results

4.2.1. Petrophysical Analysis

Figure 8 shows correlation of six well logs reflecting nine reservoirs with horizon 2 cutting across them. Sand 9 reservoir in KN field was picked between depth of 3385.55 m - 3414.97 m (TVDSS) in Well 1, Well 2 3486.41 m - 3454.55 m (TVDSS), Well 3 3430.9 m - 3398.38 m (TVDSS), Well 4 3414.37 m - 3376.56 m (TVDSS), Well 5 3345.82 m - 3375.04 m (TVDSS),

Well 6 3418.97 m - 3454.61 m (TVDSS). All these reservoirs were correlated across all the wells to see their lateral continuity which gives a good description of the reservoir.

Well 03 is at a total depth of 3500 m (TVDSS) with a Kelly Bushing (KB) of 45 ft. The base of Benin formation is at 2290 m. Resistivity of water (R_w) in this well was determined by considering low gamma response with low resistivity value. R_w is 0.13 Ω m which was determined at the depth interval 3482 m - 3495 m (TVDSS)

103

with the true resistivity (R_t) of 1.5 Ω m. The total depth of this Well 03 is 3685 m (TVDSS). Well 04 is at 3487 m (TVDSS) with a Kelly Bushing (KB) of 42 ft. The base of Benin formation is at 2230 m. Resistivity of water, (R_w) is 0.08 Ω m which was determined at depth interval 3422 m - 3426 m (TVDSS) with true resistivity (R_t) of 1.0 Ω m. The total depth of Well 05 is 3566 m (TVDSS) with a Kelly Bushing (KB) of 45ft. The base of benin formation is at 2240 m. Resistivity of water, (Rw) is 0.15 at depth interval 3419 m - 3424 m (TVDSS) with true resistivity of (R_t) of 1.8 Ωm . Well 06 is at total depth of 4057 m (TVDSS) with a Kelly Bushing (KB) of 34 ft. The base of Benin formation is at 2280 m. Resistivity of water, (R_w) is 0.12 Ωm. at depth interval 3470 m - 3460 m with a true resistivity (R_t) of 1.6 Ω m. Oil/water contact (OWC) was observed at Well 03 and 01 at depth -3410 m, and -3459 m in Well 02 due to fault compartmentalization, Well 04 and 05 encountered an Oil-down-to (ODT) at -3415 m. Well 06 is more or less a water pool.

Therefore, porosity values 16% - 19% in the four wells as shown in **Table 3** fall within good porosity (**Table 1**). These values indicate that the reservoir rocks in the wells have enough pore space to accommodate fluids. The permeability values (43 - 76.8 mD) in the four wells as shown in **Table 3** fall within high to very high permeability.

Generally, water saturation values in the four wells vary from 27% - 32% while Hydrocarbon saturation values range from 68% - 73%. This shows that the percentage of hydrocarbon that occupies the pore spaces is more than the percentage of formation water, hence, the prospective accumulation of hydrocarbon in the reservoir rocks.

The values of hydrocarbon movability index (S_w/S_{xo}) ranging from 0.35 - 0.4 are less than 0.7 in the four wells (Table 3) which indicates that hydrocarbon in the four wells will move. High movable oil saturation (0.48 - 0.5) and low residual hydrocarbon (0.2 - 0.23) suggest that higher percentage of the total hydrocarbon accumulated in the reservoir will move. Petrophysical results of the study show that the predominant fluid type in the three wells is oil. Sand 9 has an "Oil Down To" (ODT) in both wells 04 and well 05. Three reservoir sands (sand 5, 7 and 9) were delineated, but sand 9 was more promising because it was characterized by good cap rock facies, good to very good porosity values (29% - 32%), low water saturation (68% - 73%), acceptable limit of resistivity of formation water, high hydrocarbon saturation (S_h) (0.68% - 0.73%), good net pay (12 m - 30 m), low residual hydrocarbon saturation (S_{hr}), high movable hydrocarbon saturation (MOS) and favourable values of movable hydrocarbon index ($S_w/S_{xo} < 0.7$). Sand 9 has the highest potential due to its high net-pay of about 30 m and hydrocarbon saturation of about 78%.

4.2.2. Seismic Analysis

The time and depth structure contour maps show system of different oriented growth faults F1 to F6 (Figures 9 and 10). The fault F1 lies centrally within the mapped area and extends up to 86% of the entire breath of the mapped area. A rollover anticline formed as a result of deformation of the sediments deposited on the downthrown block of fault F1. The fault F4 is also extensive and shows sub-parallel relationship with the fault F1. This sub-parallel relationship is sustained in all the structural contour maps. The fault F1 can be interpreted as the active fault, while the F4 is inactive fault, but must have been active in the past and located in offshore direction of F1. The other faults are (2, 3, 5 and 6) both synthetic and antithetic faults are minor faults. The synthetic faults are syndepositional faults while the antithetic faults were formed as a result of tectonics after depositional has stopped. It can be deduced from this study that the wells were located to target the rollover anticline formed on the downthrown side of the fault F1 which indicates structural closure in these areas.

4.2.3. Static Modeling

1) Structural Model of Sand 9

Figure 12 indicates the system of different oriented growth faults F1 to F6. Faults 1 and Fault 4 are the major growth faults, dipping towards south-west and are quite extensive. The other faults are (2, 3, 5 and 6) which are indicative of synthetic and antithetic faults are categorised as minor faults. This model further buttresses the information gathered from the depth structure map.

2) Net to Gross map in Sand 9

Figure 13 reveals good net to gross which falls between 0.8 and 1 within the well area (3, 4, 5 and 6) of the KN field while the region farther away from the well location is indicative of low net to gross which oscillates between 0 and 0.1.

3) Porosity Map in Sand 9

A 3D perspective view of the porosity model is shown in **Figure 14**. The map shows the prominence of good porosity distribution (0.15 - 0.20) within well area (3, 4, 5 and 6) of the KN field. This indicates the pore spaces have enough space to accommodate fluid while the region farther away from the well location in the northern part and some parts in the south-west direction indicate porosity range from 0% - 2.5% which indicate poor porosity (**Table 1**).

4) Permeability Map in Sand 9

Figure 15 shows a 3D perspective view of the permeability model. The map underscores good permeability values which range from 50 mD to 100 mD (**Table 2**) within the well area (3, 4, 5 and 6) of KN field. The values are reflective of good interconnectivity of pore spaces of the sand within the well area and their ability to transmit fluids. In contrary, the region farther away from the well location in the northern part and some parts in the south-west direction indicate poor to fair permeability which ranges from 1 mD to 5 mD.

5) Water Saturation Map in Sand 9

Figure 16 shows a 3D perspective view of the water saturation. The map reveals that water saturation distribution within the well area (3, 4, 5 and 6) of the KN field varies from 0.3 to 0.4. This is indicative of more hydrocarbon zone region. The region farther away from the well location has water saturation more than 0.75. The result shows abundant of water than hydrocarbon.

6) Facies Map in Sand 9

A 3D perspective view of the facies model is shown in **Figure 17**. The map shows facies within the well area (3, 4, 5 and 6) of KN field indicate moderate to good reservoir (sand) while the regions farther away from the well location along the north and south west directions reveal shale (poor reservoir). The Sand 9 reservoir shows clear abundance of shales deposited in a trangressive marine environment with minor influence of tides in marine condition. Poor facies are more than the good facies in the reservoir rocks which is an indication of shaliness in Sand 9. The regional depositional direction assumed is NW-SE direction.

4.2.4. Reservoir Volumetric

Table 4 reveals volumetric after modeling. This shows that the downthrown segment has bulk volume, pore volume, net volume, HCPV Oil and STOIIP values of 9.40 $\times 10^7$ m³ STB, 5.80 $\times 10^7$ m³ STB, 0.45 $\times 10^7$ m³ STB, 0.3 $\times 10^7$ m³ STB and 1.50×10^7 m³ STB while the Ramp segment has bulk volume of 1.80×10^7 m³ STB, net volume of 1.30×10^7 m³ STB, pore volume of 0.11×10^7 m³ STB, HCPV Oil of 0.73×10^6 m³ STB and STOIIP of 3.81×10^6 m³ STB. The sand 9 reservoir shows that the downthrown and ramp segment indicate hydrocarbon of commercial value thus, the Sand 9 static model could be as input for simulation and performance.

5. Conclusions

This research work shows the versatility of integrating 3D seismic reflection and well log data for reservoir modeling. The results of the comprehensive petrophysical analysis of the six wells show one dominant reservoir across the well 01 and 06 in the entire field at different depth intervals. This sand 9 reservoir is very promising because of its good to very good porosity values, low water saturation, high hydrocarbon saturation (S_h), high movable oil saturation (MOS), low residual hydrocarbon saturation (S_{hr}), low values of hydrocarbon movability index ($S_w/S_{xo} < 0.7$), good permeability and moderate net to gross. Also, the mapped sand 9 horizon indicates hydrocarbon accumulation in economic quantity. The ac-

The discrete properties gave the knowledge of the facies properties in the field while the continuous properties gave the petrophysical properties of the field in terms of porosity, permeability, net to gross and water saturation. The facies analysis indicates that both good and moderate sand quality are found in the sand 9 reservoir which support the properties from petrophysics in terms of porosity, net to gross and permeability. The volumetric calculation indicates that the downthrown segment of the reservoir has a STOIIP of 15.73 MMbbl of oil and the Ramp segment has a STOIIP of 3.81 MMbbl. This analysis will serve as a control of the reservoir during development.

The 3-D Static Modeling of the KN field has provided a better understanding of the spatial distribution of the discrete and continuous properties in the field. The study has also created a geological model for KN field that can be updated as new data acquired for field development. The model could be transferred to reservoir engineer for proper characterization during simulation. However, more horizontal wells should be drilled to enhance optimization of the reservoir.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the Department of Petroleum Resources for authorizing one of the oil companies to release the data for this research.

REFERENCES

- K. C. Short and A. J. Stauble, "Outline of Geology of Niger Delta," *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin*, Vol. 51, No. 1, 1967, pp. 761-779.
- [2] O. S. Adegoke, "High Resolution Biostratigraphy, Sequence Stratigraphy and 3-D Modeling Indispensable Tools for E & P Activities in the New Millennium," *Nigerian Association of Petroleum Explorationist Bulletin*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2000, pp. 46-65.
- [3] K. J. Weber and E. M. Daukoru, "Petroleum Geology of the Niger Delta," *Proceedings of the Ninth World Petroleum Congress, Geology*, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1975, pp. 210-221.
- [4] F. J. Lucia and G. E. Fogg, "Geologic/Stochastic Mapping of Heterogeneity in a Carbonate Reservoir," *Journal* of Petroleum Technology, Vol. 42, No. 1, 1990, pp. 1298-1303.
- [5] I. W. Lake, H. B. Carrol and T. C. Wesson, "Reservoir Characterization," Academic Press, San Diego, 1991.
- [6] P. F. Worthington, "Reservoir Characterization at the Mesoscopic Scale," In: I. W. Lake, H. B. Carrol and T. C. Wesson, Eds., *Reservoir characterization*, Academic Press, Waltham, 1991, pp. 123-165.

- [7] H. H. Haldersen and E. Dasleth, "Challenges in Reservoir Characterization," AAPG Bulletin, Vol. 77, No. 1, 1993, pp. 541-551.
- [8] D. D. Patrick, S. S. Gerilyn and P. C. John, "Outcrop-Base Reservoir Characterization: A Composite Phylloid-Algal Mound, Western Orogrande Basin (New Mexico)," AAPG Bulletin, Vol. 86, No. 1, 2002, pp. 780.
- [9] H. Sheikhzadeh, "3D Integrated Static Modelling Using Geostatistical Methods in Asmari Reservoir, Marun Oil Field, Iran," 14th International Oil, Gas and Petrochemical Congress, Tehran, 1389, pp. 5-30
- [10] L. Fabio, P. Gerardo, F. Miranda de Oliveira, C. Gonzalo, M. T. Kevin, S. William, L. A. B. Jose and C. Fabio, "Detailed Facies Definition and 3D Static Model: Reservoir Management of the Eocene Producing Units in Block X of the Talara Basin in Northwest Peru," *Society of Petroleum Engineer, Latin American and Caribbean Petroleum Engineering Conference*, Lima, 1-3 December 2010, pp. 280-320.
- [11] H. Doust and E. Omatsola, "Niger Delta," In: J. D. Edwards and P. A. Santogrossi, Eds., *Divergent/Passive Margin Basins*, *AAPG Memoir*, Vol. 48, No. 1, 1990, pp. 239-248.
- [12] K. J. Weber, "Sedimentological Aspects of Oil Fields in the Niger Delta," *Geologic en Mijnbouw*, Vol. 50, No. 1, 1971, pp. 559-576.
- [13] T. J. A. Reijers, S. W. Peters and C. S. Nwajide, "The Niger Delta Basin," In: R. C. Selley, Ed., *African Ba*sin-Sedimentary Basin of the World 3," Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1997, pp. 151-172.

- [14] T. R. Klett, T. S. Ahlbrandt, J. W. Schmoker and J. L. Dolton, "Ranking of the World's Oil and Gas Provinces by Petroleum Volumes," Geological Survey Open-File Report- 97-463, CD-ROM, 1997.
- [15] Microsoft Encarta, "Reference Library Premium," DVD-ROM, 2009.
- [16] J. O. Etu-Efeotor, "Fundamental of Petroleum Geology," Paragraphics, Port Harcourt, 1997.
- [17] P. F. Worthington, "The Evolution of Shaly-Sand Concepts in Reservoir Evaluation," *The Log Analyst*, Vol. 26, No. 1, 1985, pp. 23-40.
- [18] R. Bateman, "Open Hole Log Analysis and Formation Evaluation" Texas Exploration and Production Technology Division, Houston, 1990, pp. 32-74.
- [19] J. M. Orife and A. A. Avbovbo, "Stratigraphy and the Unconformity Traps in Niger Delta," *American Association of Petroleum Geologist Memoire*, Vol. 32, No. 1, 1982, pp. 265.
- [20] D. K. Larue and H. Legare, "Flow Units, Connectivity and Reservoir Characterization in a Wave-Dominated Deltaic Reservoir, Meren Reservoir, Nigeria," *American Association of Petroleum Geologist Bulletin*, Vol. 88, No. 1, 2004, pp. 303-324.
- [21] D. N. Obiora, "Comparative Study of Graphical Methods and Velocity Analysis of 2D Seismic Reflection Data with Application to Niger Delta," *Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol. 7, No. 2, 2006, pp. 204-210.
- [22] I. Dean, "Reservoir Engineering for Geologist," Part 3— Volumetric Estimation, Reservoir No. 11, 2007, pp. 21-23.