AN ANALYSIS OF THE NATIONAL POVERTY ERADICATION PROGRAMME (NAPEP) IN ADO-ODO OTA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, OGUN STATE, NIGERIA

BY

AJULOR, OMONIYI VICTOR MATRIC NUMBER: 069081051

A THESIS PRESENTED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF POLITICAL SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES, IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D.) OF THE UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS

AUGUST, 2013

SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the thesis:

"AN ANALYSIS OF THE NATIONAL POVERTY ERADICATION PROGRAMME (NAPEP) IN ADO-ODO OTA LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, OGUN STATE, NIGERIA"

Submitted to the

School of Postgraduate Studies

University of Lagos

For the award of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph. D) Is a record of original research carried out

By

AJULOR, OMONIYI VICTOR

In the Department of Political Science

AUTHOR'S NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
1 ST SUPERVISOR'S NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
2 ND SUPERVISOR'S NAME	SIGNATURE	DATE
1 ST INTERNAL EXAMINER	SIGNATURE	DATE
2 ND INTERNAL EXAMINER	SIGNATURE	DATE
EXTERNAL EXAMINER	SIGNATURE	DATE

DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to the Lord, Jesus Christ who raised me from death and brought me to life and made me a useful instrument in his vineyard in Christ Apostolic Church (Channel of Mercy) Iyana Oshun, Lusada-Igbesa in Ado-Odo Ota Local Government, Ogun State and without His special grace I would not have been able to undertake this study.

I also dedicate the thesis to my late father, Mr. Olorunjube Ajulor, my mother, lady Evangelist Beatrice Ajulor who encouraged me to grow in life, my wife and children for their patience and understanding during the course of carrying out this study.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I give all the glory, honour and adoration to the Almighty God, the omniscient, the omnipotent and Alpha and Omega for His infinite mercies and for strengthening me throughout the period of this study.

I have the privilege of carrying out this thesis under two esteemed supervisors, Dr. S. C. Ugoh and Professor S. O. Akinboye. Their encouragement is gratefully acknowledged. I sincerely appreciate them for their invaluable and constructive suggestions, comments and criticisms that motivated me to go an extra mile to improve the quality of this thesis. I also appreciate Dr. Ferdinand Ottoh for his constructive suggestions and criticisms which help me a lot to package this thesis.

Also, I appreciate other lecturers of the Department of Political Science, University of Lagos: Professor Jinadu, Professor Anifowose, Professor Soremekun (now in Covenant University), Professor C. P. Maduabum, the Dean, Faculty of Social Sciences, National Open University of Nigeria, Lagos, Associate Professor Ologbenla who is the coordinator of the Ph.D. programme in the Department of Political Science, University of Lagos, Associate Professor Onuoha, Dr. Akinyemi, Dr. Odukoya, Dr. Eneanya and Dr. Oloruntoba, among others for their contributions in various ways towards the successful completion of this thesis.

I wish to thank the non-academic staff of the Department of Political Science, University of Lagos especially Mrs. Fatodun and Mr Alatise for making information available when it was necessary.

My sincere gratitude goes to Professor I. Olojede and Professor S, O. Fajonyomi for their exemplary leadership in the Department of Public Administration, Lagos State University; they have imparted my academic life greatly. I wish to specially thank Professor Fajonyomi, the Dean of Faculty of Management Sciences for being my mentor, for his encouragement and guidance. I also thank other members of staff in the Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Management Sciences, Lagos State University, Dr. I. Akhakpe, Dr. J. O. Fatile, Dr. F. F. Nchuchuwe, Mr. S.I. Afegbua, Mr. A. A. Okewale, Mrs F. Aliu, Mr. A. Adekanbi, and the non teaching staff in the Department such as Mr. Fini, Mr. Adekoya and Mr. Ajulo.

I want to express my profound gratitude to all members of my Assistant Research Team-Pastor Olusegun Akanbi and Evangelist Oluseye Oyebanjo of Christ Apostolic Church (Channel of Mercy), Lusada, Igbesa, Ogun State; Mr. Tola Gbenga, Mr. Shakiru Dabiri and Mr. Abiodun Olusesi of Public Administration Unit, Lagos State University, Ojo; Miss. Funmi Oluwatoyin Dada and Mr. Timothy Dada of Bells University, Ota, Ogun State. I want to give special thanks to Mr. Bewaji who helped me with his Toyota Open Jeep V8 vehicle to transport the research team around the 22 villages in Ado-Odo Ota Local Government Areas, Ogun State. I also thank Mr Gbenga Adedoyin Crowntney of the English Department, Lagos State University and Mr. Ifeanyi Alia a former editor of a National Daily in Lagos who assisted me in editing the work. I remain grateful to others who took time out of their schedule to constructively criticize the draft of the manuscript.

I appreciate the following persons: Mrs Funmi Oshunshina of the Department of Community Development, the Director of Information in Ado- Odo Ota local Government Council, Members of Areas Community Development Committee and members of Community Development Associations who supplied me with the necessary information that helped to shape the work.

I want to give special recognition to my mother because if not for her financial and spiritual support I would have dropped out of school. She stayed by me during my trying period. She imparted me spiritually and ensured that I followed the way of the Lord.

v

The support from my home front is immeasurable. May God bless my wife, Deaconess Elizabeth Onete Ajulor for her contribution, support and understanding during the period I was studying for my Ph.D. course work and the time of putting this thesis together. To my wonderful children- Rosemary Ikhivose Ajulor, Joy Eshiofune Ajulor, Daniel Okhemue Ajulor and Shadrach Ogiemire Ajulor, I say thank you all for your support, understanding and your useful suggestions and prayers which gave me strength to carry this work to the end. May God protect all of us and grant us long life and salvation according to Psalm 91: 16 of the Holy Bible.

AJULOR, OMONIYI VICTOR FEBRUARY, 2013

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS USED

ACDC	-	Area Community Development Committee
AIDS	-	Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome
ATL	-	Autobahn Technique Limited
BLR	-	Better Life for Rural Dwellers
CAP	-	Capacity Acquisition Programme
CBOs-	-	Community Based Organizations
CDA	-	Community Development Association
CDP	-	Credit Delivery Programme
COMES	-	Community Economic Sensitization
COPE	-	Care Of the People
СРІ	-	Consumer Price Index
DFRRI	-	Directorate of Food, Road, and Rural Infrastructures
DID	-	Department for International Development
DRGs	-	Debt Relief Gains
ECGDC	-	Economic Growth and Development Centre
EPCC	-	Economic Policy Coordination Committee
EPI	-	Expanded Program on Immunization
EU	-	European Union
FEAP	-	Family Economic Advancement Programme
FCPE	-	Free and Compulsory Primary Education

FCT	-	Federal Capital Territory
FBOs	-	Faith-Based Organizations
FEP	-	Farmer Empowerment Programme
FOS	-	Federal Office of Statistics
FSP	-	Family Support Programme
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
G8 Countries	s –	Group of the most Industrialized and Developed Economies of
		the World
GTA	-	German Technical Assistance
HIV	-	Human Immune Virus
HCDI	-	Human Capacity Development Index
HDI	-	Human Development Index
ICORs	-	Incremental Capital Output Ratios
IDAs	-	International Development Associations
1DMC	-	International Displacement Monitoring Centre
IFAD	-	International Fund for Agricultural Development
IMF	-	International Monetary Fund
IPCC	-	Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change
JICA		Japanese International Cooperation Agency
Keke NAPE	•_	Three-Wheeler Tricycle Vehicle
LGCC	-	Local Government, Cooperative and Community Development

LGMCs	-	Local Government Monitoring Committees
MAP	-	Mandatory Attachment Programme
MDGs	-	Millennium Development Goals
MFI	-	Micro Finance Institutions
NACCIMA	-	Nigerian Association of Chambers of Commerce, Industry,
		Mines and Agriculture
NAPEP	-	National Poverty Eradication Programme
NAEC	-	National Assessment and Evaluation Committee
NAPEC	-	National Poverty Eradication Council
NCC	-	National Coordination Committee
NECA	-	Nigerian Employers Consultative Association
NEEDS	-	National Economic Empowerment Development Strategy
NEIC	-	National Economic Intelligence Committee
NEPA	-	National Electricity Power Authority
NGOs	-	Non-Government Organizations
NRDCS	-	Natural Resources Development and Conservation Scheme
NYSC	-	National Youth Service Corps
ODA	-	Official Development Assistance
OFN	-	Operation Feed the Nation
ORT -		Oral Rehydration Therapy
PAP	-	Poverty Alleviation Programme

PEF	-	Poverty Eradication Fund
PHCN	-	Power Holding Company of Nigeria
РКР	-	Promise Keeper Programme
PLWHAS	-	People living with HIV and AIDS
PPP	-	Purchasing Power Parity
RBRDA	-	River Basin and Rural Development Authorities
REBs	-	Rural Electrification Boards
RIDS	-	Rural Infrastructure Development Scheme
SCCs	-	State Coordination Committees
SOWESS	-	Social Welfare Services Scheme
SPEC	-	State Poverty Eradication Council
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for Social Science
SSP	-	State Support Programme
ТВ	-	Tuberculosis
UNDP	-	United Nations Development Programme
UNO	-	United Nations Organizations
UPE	-	Universal Primary Education
YES	-	Youth Empowerment Scheme

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents	Page
Title Page	i
Certification	ii
Dedication	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
List of Abbreviations Used	vii
Table of Contents	xi
List of Tables	xvi
List of Figures	xviii
Abstract	xix
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background to the Study	1
1.1. Background to the Study1.2. Statement of the Problem	1 4
1.2. Statement of the Problem	4
1.2. Statement of the Problem1.3. Objectives of the Study	4 7
1.2. Statement of the Problem1.3. Objectives of the Study1.4. Research Questions	4 7 8
 1.2. Statement of the Problem 1.3. Objectives of the Study 1.4. Research Questions 1.5. Research Hypotheses 	4 7 8 8
 1.2. Statement of the Problem 1.3. Objectives of the Study 1.4. Research Questions 1.5. Research Hypotheses 1.6. Significance of the Study 	4 7 8 8 9

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW/THEORETICAL FRAMEV	VORK 17
2.1. Literature Review	17
2.1.1. Conceptual Discourse	17
2.1.1.1. The Concept of Poverty	17
2.1.1.2. The Classifications of Poverty	19
2.1.1.3. The Measurement of Poverty	21
2.1.1.4. Poverty in the World	24
2.1.1.5. Poverty in Nigeria	29
2.1.1.6. The Causes of Rural Poverty in Nigeria	34
2.1.1.7. The Concept of Public Policy	37
2.1.1.8. Factors Influencing Public Policy	41
2.1.1.9. Approaches to Public Policy	42
2.1.1.10. Public Policy Making Process	43
2.1.1.11. Public Policy Analysis.	49
2.1.2. Review of Relevant Literature.	58
2.1.2.1. The Problems of Policy Implementation in Developing Countries like	Nigeria 58
2.1.2.2. Past Policy Measures at Tackling the Problem of Poverty in Nigeria	65
2,1,2,3 National Poverty Eradication Programme	71
2.1.2.3.1. The Major Objectives of NAPEP	71
2.1.2.3.2. Institutional Arrangement for the Implementation of NAPEP	74

15

2.1.2.3.3. Monitoring Strategies and Guidelines	78	
2.1.2.3.4. NAPEP's Performance Targets	80	
2.1.2.3.5. Implementation of NAPEP	84	
2.1.2.3.6. The Critique of NAPEP		86
2.1.3. Gaps in Literature Review	91	
2.2. Theoretical Framework	92	
2.2.1. Relevance of System Theory	93	
2.2.2. Relevance of Process Theory	94	
2.2.3. Policy Formulation Process Model	94	4
2.2.4. Model for Role and Involvement of local Communities in the Developm	ent	
Projects and Programmes of Local Government Authorities in Nigeria		95
2.2.5. Change Management	97	
2.2.6. The Policy Analysis Model of Participation and Change Management		98
2.2.7. The Relevance of the Model in the Analysis of NAPEP and its		
Relation to Rural Poverty		102
Reference	103	
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	1	109
3.1. Study Design.	109	
3.2. The Study Location	109	
3.2.1. Ogun State	110	
3.2.2. Ado-Odo Ota Local Government	111	

3.3. Study Population, Sample Size and Sampling Method	113
3.4. Data Collection	114
3.5. Administration of Questionnaires	114
3.5. Validity and Reliability of Instruments of Data Collection	116
3.6. Pilot Study.	116
3.7. Method of Presentation of Data	116
Reference	117
CHAPTER FOUR: DATA PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS	118
4.1. Administration of Questionnaires in Ado-Odo Ota Rural Communities	118
4.2. Presentation and Analysis of Data	120
4. 3. Summary of Results	148
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS	153
5.1. The Effect of NAPEP on Rural Poverty Reduction in Nigeria	153
5.2. The Constraints of NAPEP in the Rural Communities	158
5.3. The Extent of Rural Communities Participation in Policy Decisions	166
5.4. Policy Formulation and Policy Implementation Dichotomy- Implementation	ion
Gap in NAPEP	170
5.5. Summary of Findings	175
Reference	176

CHAPTER SIX: SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, RECOMMENDATIONS

AND CONTR	IBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE		178
6.1. Summary of	of the Study	178	
6.2. Conclusion	l.	179	
6.3. Recommen	idations	181	
6.4. Contributio	ons to Knowledge	186	
6.5. Recommen	dation for Further Studies	187	
Bibliograp	hy	188	
Appendix I:	Questionnaire	196	
Appendix II:	Question Guide for Interview	199	
Appendix III:	Poverty Eradication Policy Targets for 2003	20	1
Appendix IV	Allocations of KeKe NAPEP according to 36 States in Niger	ia	203
Appendix V:	The Contributions by 36 States in Nigeria to Promise Keeper		
	Programme		205
Appendix VI:	Co-operatives and Capacity Widening Activities in VSP in th	e States	206
Appendix VII:	States' Contribution towards the Implementation of Village		
S	colution Programme in 2009	208	
Appendix VIII:	Map of Nigeria Indicating Absolute Poverty Measure for 2010	0	
S	howing states above and below National Average	209)
Appendix VIX:	Map of Nigeria Indicating Relative Poverty Measure 2010		210
Appendix X: 1	Map of Ado-Odo Ota Local Government	21	1

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Relative I	Poverty	Headcount	from	1980 -	2010

- Table 2:Relative Poverty: Non-poor, Moderate Poor and Extremely Poor, 1980 2010
- Table 3:Prevalence of Poverty in Nigeria 1980 2010
- Table 4:
 Distributions of Questionnaire in Research Locations
- Table 5:Statistics of Bio Data
- Table 6:Sex of Respondent
- Table 7:Age Group of Respondent
- Table 8:Marital Status of Respondent
- Table 9:Number of Children of Respondent
- Table 10:Educational Qualification of Respondent
- Table 11:Occupational Status of Respondent
- Table 12:
 Poverty is inevitable in the rural area in Nigeria because the people are lazy
- Table 13:The rural people have been neglected by government policies over the years
- Table 14:Skill acquisition training programmes have been carried out by NAPEP's
officials in Ado-Odo Ota rural communities.
- Table 15:
 "Keke" NAPEP are available in Ado-Odo Ota rural communities
- Table 16:Rural farmers in Ado-Odo Ota rural communities have been supported with
seedlings and fertilizers by NAPEP.
- Table 17:Infrastructure (such as roads, pipe borne water and electricity) has beenprovided by NAPEP in Ado-Odo Ota rural communities.

- Table 18:Implementation of policies on poverty has impacted positively on the lives of
Ado- Ota rural people.
- Table 19:The representatives of the Ado-Odo Ota rural people were consulted in the
formulation and implementation of NAPEP
- Table 20:
 NAPEP is being implemented as formulated in Ado-Odo Ota rural communities.
- Table 21:NAPEP has been very effective in Ado-Odo Ota rural communities.
- Table 22:There has been a clear performance indicator to evaluate the performance of
NAPEP in rural communities.
- Table 23:There is high level of participation by the Ado-Odo Ota rural people in
NAPEP's activities.
- Table 24:The representatives of the Ado-Odo Ota rural people are part of NAPEP's
decision making.
- Table 25:NAPEP's officials are accountable to the people.
- Table 26:NAPEP has been used for political patronage.
- Table 27:
 There have been no corruption and mismanagement of funds by NAPEP officials..
- Table 28:The people of Ado-Odo Ota rural communities have benefited from NAPEP's
programmes.
- Table 29:
 NAPEP have been adequately promoted in Ado-Odo Ota rural communities.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Policy Formulation Process Model
Figure 2:	Model for Role and Involvement of local Communities in the Development Projects and Programmes of Local Government Authorities in Nigeria
Figure 3:	Change Management
Figure 4:	Policy Analysis Model of Participation and Change Management
Figure 5:	Map of Ogun State indicating the Local Government Areas.

ABSTRACT

This study entitled "An Analysis of National Poverty Eradication Programme (NAPEP) in Ado-Odo Ota Local Government Ogun State, Nigeria" is on policy implementation and rural poverty reduction in Nigeria. This study becomes imperative in view of the growing incidence of poverty and underdevelopment in the rural areas in Nigeria. This situation is due to neglect and inconsistence and problems of the various governments' poverty reduction policies and programmes over the years.

In carrying out the study, primary and secondary data were used. In the case of primary data, survey was carried out and questionnaires were used to elicit information from twenty-two rural communities of Ado-Odo local government, the Local Government Council and the NAPEP office at the Federal Secretariat, Ogun State. Non parametric Chi-square was used to test the hypotheses. All the data obtained were computer processed through the use of Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS). Key informant interview was also carried out. The secondary data were collected from the library and internet sources which include books, journals, newspaper articles and government publications from National Poverty Eradication Programme office, Abuja.

The research findings reveal that there have been constraints of policy implementation in Nigeria. Policies have been top-down resulting in implementation gaps between the policy makers and implementers on one hand and the policies and the target populations on the other hand. The gap is further widened by inability of the bureaucrats to take into consideration the socio-political and economic environments in analyzing policy implementation. These problems are compounded by unrealistic goal setting, inadequate implementation planning, political patronage, inadequate manpower and high level of corruption. NAPEP is constrained by these problems and could not make impact in the rural communities in Nigeria.

The study therefore, recommends that government should show more sincerity and commitment to rural poverty reduction through effective policy planning, implementation, evaluation and monitoring. This can be achieved if policies and programmes like NAPEP are made relevant to the rural people through the participation of the target beneficiaries in the determination and realization of their needs. Effort should be made to bridge the gap between the intention and the actual implementation of policy through appropriate planning and the use of change management. The demand for accountability and transparency in the performance of central government structure at local areas should be strengthened. Public officials and political leaders should be made accountable and to deliver their promises to the people. This will reduce corruption and political influence. When all these measures are taken into consideration, failure of policies will be eradicated, thus reducing poverty and achieving the desire development in the rural areas in Nigeria.