

A NEW COMPOSITE MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR
MULTISTEP METHODS FOR SOLVING STIFF
INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS.

by

OKUNUGA Solomon Adewale
(849008018)

A Thesis submitted in conformity with the requirements
for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

Department of Mathematics
University of Lagos.

December 1991.

Updated January 1993

SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES
UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS

CERTIFICATION

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE

A NEW COMPOSITE MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR
MULTISTEP METHODS FOR SOLVING STIFF
INITIAL VALUE PROBLEMS

SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES
UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

IS A RECORD OF ORIGINAL RESEARCH CARRIED OUT BY

OKUNUGA SOLOMON ADEWALE

IN THE DEPARTMENT OF

MATHEMATICS

OKUNUGA S. A.

AUTHOR'S NAME

Bur

SIGNATURE

7-2-94

DATE

Dr. A. B. SOFOLUWE

SUPERVISOR'S NAME

A

SIGNATURE

7/2/94

DATE

Prof M. A. Kerekun

INTERNAL EXAMINER'S
NAME

M. A. Kerekun

SIGNATURE

7-2-94

DATE

Dr. A. B. SOFOLUWE

INTERNAL EXAMINER'S
NAME

A

SIGNATURE

7/2/94

DATE

Professor S. O. Fatunde

EXTERNAL EXAMINER'S
NAME

J. O. Fatunde

SIGNATURE

07-02-94

DATE

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincerest appreciation to my supervisor Dr. A. B. Sofoluwe for his guidance and supervision over the years. His brotherly love and encouragement and knowledge of this research area has been invaluable.

I would also like to thank various academic staff of the Department of Mathematics especially Dr. I. Adu and Dr. Hansel Erhie for their many helpful comments and suggestions.

I am also indebted to the staff of the Computer Centre, Lagos State University especially the Director of the centre and Mr. Alabi, for the support giving me in running all the programs in this thesis and in preparing the draft.

I would like to thank many of my christian friends, Revd M. A. Oladokun, Rev. J. Oyejide and Miss Yemi Yusuf and a host of others, for their love, prayers and support during this work.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my wife Toun, for the moral support she has provided and the patience and love she has demonstrated during the preparation of the thesis.

A B S T R A C T

Numerical solutions to stiff problems have over the years been a computational problem. Linear Multistep Methods (based on polynomial interpolation) which are popularly used in obtaining solutions to Ordinary Differential Equations have some drawback when applied to stiff Initial Value Problems (IVPs).

A class of Mul iderivative Linear Multistep Methods (MLMMs) of orders 2 to 7 is developed for stiff IVPs. The MLMM is applied to stiff problems in Predictor-Corrector mode. The convergence, consistency and the stability of these methods are investigated and are shown to be suitable for stiff IVPs.

Methods of orders two and four are shown to be accurate and reliable. Numerical comparison of various methods in terms of accuracy and rate of convergence are considered.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1	GENERAL INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Statement of Problem	1
1.2	Numerical Stability for Stiff Equations	4
1.3	Linear Multistep Methods	6
1.4	Classification of LMM	8
1.5	Multiderivative Formulae	10
Chapter 2	TWO-STEP INTEGRATION FORMULAS	
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Exponential Fitted Formula	13
2.3	Derivation of Order 2 Scheme	17
2.4	Derivation of Order 3 Scheme	23
2.5	Derivation of Order 4 Scheme	30
Chapter 3	PADE EXPONENTIAL FORMULAS	
3.1	Introduction	35
3.2	Order 5 Integration Formula	35
3.3	Order 6 Integration Formula	40
3.4	Order 7 Scheme	42
Chapter 4	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS	
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Numerical Examples	44
Chapter 5	CONCLUSION	
5.1	Summary	55
5.2	Suggestion for Further Work	56

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Values of Parameters a and r for order 2 scheme	22
Table 2.2 Values of Parameters a and r for order 4 scheme	33
Table 3.1 Values of Parameters a and r for order 5 formula	39
Table 4.1(a) Accuracy Table for MLMM schemes on Problem 1	46
Table 4.1(b) Comparative results on various methods	47
Table 4.2 Efficiency of order 4 scheme	49
Table 4.3 Experimental Results on second order ODE	51
Table 4.4 Experimental Results on Non-linear Stiff Problem	52
Table 4.5(a) Efficiency of orders 2 and 4 Schemes	54
Table 4.5(b) Local error per unit step on various methods	54

APPENDIX A	Programs and Results of Problem 1	57
APPENDIX B	Programs and Results of Problem 2	84
APPENDIX C	Programs and Results of Problem 3	94
APPENDIX D	Programs and Results of Problem 4	111
APPENDIX E	Programs and Results of Problem 5	123
REFERENCES		134

CHAPTER ONE

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

Many physical, biological and management problems giving rise to Ordinary Differential Equations [ODEs] cannot be solved analytically, that is, in closed form.

The focus of interest in this work is the mathematical model

$$\bar{y}' = \bar{f}(x, y), \quad \bar{y}(a) = \bar{n} \quad x \in [a, b] \quad (1.1)$$

where $\bar{y} = y(x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are known functions of x , a and \bar{n} are real known vectors given by

$$\bar{y}(a) = [y_1(a), y_2(a), \dots, y_k(a)]^T$$

$$\bar{n} = [n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k]^T$$

There are also some ODEs that do have analytical solutions, but with their numerical results being of greater interest and importance. Such problems therefore require the use of numerical methods for their solutions.

Various numerical approaches for dealing with these situations do exist. One class among such schemes is the discrete variable methods Fafunla [9], Lambert [18]. This class falls into two distinct categories:

- a) The One-step or Runge-Kutta (R-K) methods which are essentially substitution methods.
- b) The Linear Multistep Methods (LMMs) which are basically polynomial interpolation schemes.

Another group that combined the characteristics of the two distinct classes (a) and (b) is the Hybrid methods.

Numerical investigation of ODEs of the type (1.1) can broadly be carried out for problems regarded as stiff and non-stiff (see Gear [12]).

The R-K methods which has an advantage of being self-starting, has a limitation with its explicit schemes; though an implicit s-stage R-K methods which are A stable can attain order $2s$. However for stiff problems, the class of multistep methods has been a class of methods often preferred.

Often most multistep methods are of Adam's type which are broadly divided into Adam-Moulton and Adam-Bashforth Methods (see Lambert [18]).

In stiff problems, it is observed that stiffness is a property of mathematical problems and not of the numerical method. Generally, stiff equations are problems for which a typical solution is a rapidly decaying exponentially, Lambert [19]. Their investigation numerically are very tedious. For this reason, there has been serious research attention focussed on this class of problems since Gear introduced the code DIFSUB in 1971 [26].

Hence the purpose of this study is to develop a very efficient numerical method for the solutions of stiff problems, an area which is still open for research, Fatunla [9] and Gear [13].

The approach employed in obtaining the solution of (1.1) is to derive a new version of multistep methods. The usual Linear Multistep Method (LMM) is extended to the case of Multiderivative Linear Multistep Method (MLMM). The MLMM is a scheme which has been

considered for the solution of stiff IVPs by various authors. Enright [7], Brown [23] and Twizel and Khaliq [27] have considered the MLMM in various forms as a class of methods for the solution of stiff IVPs. It will be shown that the new set of formulas proposed gives accurate result comparable to other known methods.

The work done in the derivation of the formulas proposed usually involve solving linear systems to determine the parameters and constants governing the formula. The variation allowed in the formulas especially the introduction of free parameters help in the accuracy of the method.

Like some other methods, the system (1.1) possesses a Jacobian matrix $J(x)$ involving partial derivative $\partial f / \partial y$ evaluated at $(x, y(x))$. The case study of this work followed from the work done by Cash [2] and Twizel and Khaliq [27, 28]. They fitted an exponential function into a test problem

$$y' = \lambda y , \quad y(x_0) = y_0 \quad (1.2)$$

and a general second derivative linear k-step method was used for the case $k = 1$. However, since the method is based on a second derivative formula, the case $k = 2$ is of a paramount interest.

We also propose a possible extension of the formula with modifications to fitting it to functions other than exponential function.

Thus, appropriate modifications which improve on the efficiency of the method for stiff problems are then carried out having ensured that necessary convergence, consistency and stability conditions are satisfied. The more efficient and reliable algorithm is then coded and implemented on the digital computer.

The idea of integrating trapezoidal rule into the newly developed scheme for stiff system will be considered in chapter three.

Gear [12,13] stated that trapezoidal rule applied to stiff system; gives slowly damped oscillatory errors. However, errors are quickly damped for backward Euler scheme. The magnitude of the error in the trapezoidal rule is controlled by step length choice and the result will still be acceptable.

1.2 NUMERICAL STABILITY FOR STIFF EQUATIONS

The basic problem usually encountered when attempting to obtain numerical approximation to the solution $y(x)$ of stiff equation of the form (1.1) is that of numerical stability. The step length h used in a numerical method to obtain a solution usually contributes to the stability limitation of a method. Dahlquist [3,5] investigated the special stability problem connected with stiff equations, and introduced the concept of A-Stability.

DEFINITION 1.1 (A-Stability) (Lambert, 1973)

A numerical method is said to be A-stable if the region of absolute stability contains the whole of the left half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda h) < 0$.

Thus to overcome this stability limitation on the step size, h , numerical methods for solution of stiff problems have been sought to possess Region of Absolute Stability (RAS) in the open left half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda h) < 0$. However, in areas of physical importance, that gave rise to system of ODEs, it is often noticed that such system of equations are inherently very stable, but numerical methods often used are impractical because of severe step-size restriction imposed by the requirement of absolute stability.

This sort of difficulty was first encountered in 1951 by Curtis and Hirschfelder [24] in equations governing masses controlled by springs of different stiffness and it later became known as stiff equation.

Consider a test problem

$$\underline{y}' = \underline{A} \underline{y}, \quad \underline{y}(x_0) = \underline{y}_0 \quad (1.3)$$

where $\underline{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T$, $y_j \in \mathbb{R}$, \underline{A} is $n \times n$ real matrix having eigenvalues λ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$ contained in the open left half plane.

Assume that

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \left| \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\lambda}_j)}{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i)} \right| \quad \text{is large, then the system of}$$

equation (1.3) is inherently stable and the exact solution

$$y(x) = e^{(x-x_0)\underline{A}} \underline{y}_0 \quad (1.4)$$

tends to zero exponentially as x increases.

The difficulty faced with stiff equations is that though the component of the true solution $y(x)$ corresponding to λ_j , the eigenvalues of \underline{A} , soon become negligible, the restriction on step size imposed by the numerical stability of standard methods requires that $|\lambda_j h|$ remain small in the range of integration.

Dalquist [3], Gear [10, 12], Fatunla [9] and some others gave various concepts of stability regions which helped in obtaining methods satisfying some sort of stability criteria in the solutions of stiff problems.

In consideration of stiff problems, accuracy is an important factor of solution obtained. Hence one of the stability property given by Gear suggested that systems are solved by methods whose stability region extends in the negative real direction. In order to satisfy good stability criterion and have solution with a very high accuracy, the work in this thesis attempted to introduce some new algorithm based on some of the most recent numerical methods suggested by Cash [2], Fatunla[9], Twizel and Khaliq [28] and Hull and Watt [16].

1.3 LINEAR MULTISTEP METHODS

A Linear Multistep Method (LMM) with step number k for solving (1.1) is given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j} \quad (1.5)$$

where α_j and β_j are constants and not both α_0 and β_0 are zero, that is, $\alpha_0^2 + \beta_0^2 > 0$

In order to remove the arbitrariness of a constant multiplier on (1.5), we take $\alpha_k = +1$. (1.5) is said to be explicit if $\beta_k = 0$ and implicit if otherwise.

Implicit methods require greater computational efforts but are usually more accurate than the explicit ones for a given step number k , Lambert [18]. Most LMMs are formulated by one of the following approaches:

- (a) methods of undetermined coefficients,
- (b) numerical differentiation, and
- (c) numerical integration.

The last of these is the most efficient for stiff problems. It provides the avenues for error estimation and error analysis which in effect facilitates step-size adjustment, Fatunla [9].

The conventional LMM is essentially seen as a polynomial interpolation procedure, whereby the solution or its derivative is replaced by a polynomial of appropriate degree of the independent variable whose derivative or integral is readily obtained. Clearly, linear k-step methods, $k=1,2,\dots$ can be derived from various known methods. Such methods of derivation include the Taylor series approach, Newton-Cotes integration formulas and Newton-Gregory interpolation algorithms.

The derivation of other LMMs which may not be included by the approaches described above are found through the determination of the order of such methods, (see Lambert [18]).

1.4 CLASSIFICATION OF LMM

The LMM known to be vast in generating solution to IVP can be classified broadly into explicit and implicit schemes. Before the advent of computer, it was a common practice to express the right hand side of a LMM (1.5) in terms of a power series involving finite difference operators. However, since digital computer is now available, it is more convenient to compute with a fixed LMM and alter the step length, whenever there is demand for greater accuracy.

The existence of characteristic polynomial in LMM has resulted in further classification of LMM of different step numbers which share a common form of the first characteristic polynomial $\rho(\xi)$. Thus, methods for which all the spurious roots of the first characteristic polynomial are located at the origin such that

$$\rho(\xi) = \xi^k - \xi^{k-1}$$

are called Adams methods. They are known to be zero stable (Lambert [18]). Adams methods which are explicit are called Adams-Bashforth methods, while the implicit Adams methods are Adams-Moulton.

Comparison of explicit and implicit LMMs reveals that the implicit schemes are more accurate with better stability properties than the explicit ones, for a given step number k . Furthermore, the highest attainable order for a zero stable method is less in the case of an explicit method than an implicit one. The explicit methods are often used for initial evaluation of values especially when implicit scheme is being used.

Thus for higher accuracy, the combination of these two methods is often preferred to serve as Predictor and Corrector (P-C) schemes. The explicit method usually serve as Predictor while the Corrector method is implicit in nature.

For every implementation of P-C methods, three steps are involved. These are given below as follows:

Step 1 Predict the starting value $y_{n+k}^{[0]}$ by

$$p: \quad y_{n+k}^{[0]} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_j f_{n+j} - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j y_{n+j}$$

Step 2 Evaluation of $f_{n+k}^{[r]}$ by

$$E: \quad f_{n+k}^{[r]} = f(x_{n+k}, y_{n+k}^{[r]}), \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Step 3 Correction of $y_{n+k}^{[r]}$ by

$$C: \quad y_{n+k}^{[r+1]} = h \beta_k f_{n+k} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (h \beta_j f_{n+j} - \alpha_j y_{n+j})$$

A combination of the three steps is called PEC mode. There are two ways in which this mode can be implemented. This can either be in the mode $P(EC)^m$ or $P(EC)^m E$, for some positive integer m , see Lambert [18].

Generally, it is observed that LMM is limited in generating solution for various classes of IVP, especially stiff problems.

The draw back centered on the inability of the Backward Differentiation Formula (BDF) and indeed the LMM to cater for the fast decaying exponential property of stiff problems as discussed in section 1.1. Thus due to this limitation of the LMM, the concept of Multiderivative Linear Multistep Method (MLMM) is being considered for generating solution to stiff problems. Appropriate modifications that improved on the efficiency of this new method for stiff equations are then carried out. This is then coded and implemented on the digital computer.

1.5 MULTIDERIVATIVE FORMULAE

The general Multiderivative Linear Multistep Method (MLMM) is given by the formula

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = \sum_{i=0}^n h^i \sum_{j=0}^k \tau_{i,j} f_{n+j}^{(i)} \quad (1.6)$$

where $f_{n+j}^{(i)}$ are the i-th derivative of $f(x,y)$ evaluated at (x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}) .

The method of interest in this work, in particular, is the second derivative LMM obtained from (1.6) when $n = 2$.

Then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j} + h^2 \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j g_{n+j} \quad (1.7)$$

where $g_{n+j} = g(x,y) \Big|_{n+j} = \frac{df(x,y)}{dx}$

and $\beta_j = \tau_{1,j}$, $\gamma_j = \tau_{2,j}$

$\alpha_k = +1$, and not all γ_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, k$ are zero satisfying

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j^2 > 0$$

The attainable order for n^{th} -derivative linear k-step method is given by $kn+k+n-1$ for implicit methods and $kn+k-1$ for explicit ones. Attempt was made by Cash [2] to fit exponential functions to the composite form of (1.7) with $k = 1$ for the test problem

$$y' = \lambda y, \quad y(0) = 1, \quad q = \lambda h \quad (1.8)$$

Cash's work showed that the method is A-stable for orders ≤ 4 .

However, we shall consider case $k=2$ of (1.7) so as to have

higher orders leading to greater accuracy. This shall require greater computational work than the one step case. However it will exhibit a much better accuracy and stability comparable to the analytical results. It will be observed that MLMM exhibits some better accuracy than the usual LMM. This is partly due to higher powers of h introduced in (1.6) for the former method.

Due to the nature of the MLMMs in (1.7), they require greater numerical and analytical work than the LMMs. However, the derivation of these methods reduces the amount of work required on the digital computer and still retain the stability properties for the case $q \rightarrow 0$ and $q \rightarrow \infty$ when tested on the scalar problem (1.8). The set of formula to be considered is a pair in the Predictor-Corrector form based on the second derivative LMM of (1.7).

The characteristic polynomials of any of the form (1.7) are given by

$$\rho(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j \xi^j$$

$$\sigma(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j \xi^j$$

$$\theta(\xi) = \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j \xi^j$$

The stability region of the MLMM can be determined by observing that on the boundary R , one of the roots of the polynomial

$$\rho(\xi) - q\sigma(\xi) - q^2\theta(\xi) = 0 \quad (1.9)$$

will have modulus one.

Hence, when MLMM is fitted to scalar problem (1.8) with $q = \lambda h$, then the Region of Absolute Stability (RAS) in the q plane of the MLMM is that region for which the roots of the characteristic polynomial

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (\alpha_j - \beta_j q - \gamma_j q^2)$$

lie in the open unit circle.

CHAPTER TWO

TWO-STEP INTEGRATION FORMULAS

2.1 INTRODUCTION

Linear Multistep Method (LMM) whose derivation is based on polynomial interpolation, given by equation (1.5) performs poorly when applied to stiff Initial Value Problems (IVPs). Hence a form of the LMM which is an Implicit Backward Differentiation Formula (BDF) of (1.5), that is

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} - h \beta_k f_{n+k} = 0 \quad \alpha_k = 1 \quad (2.1)$$

was proved to satisfy the definition of stiff stability by Gear [10]. This was however seen to be less efficient for exponentially fitted problems.

The following definitions are necessary for the derivation of exponentially fitted formulas which satisfy the stiff stability criteria.

DEFINITION 2.1 (Stiff Stability) Gear (1971)

A numerical Integrator is stiffly stable if its stability region contains a region of the form $R_1 \cup R_2$, where

$$R_1 = \{ \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq D < 0 \}$$

$$R_2 = \{ D < \operatorname{Re}(z) < \delta, | \operatorname{Im}(z) | < \theta, \delta > 0 \}$$

DEFINITION 2.2 (Exponential Fitting) Lambert (1973)

A numerical method is said to be exponentially fitted at a (complex) value λ_0 , if when the method is applied to the scalar test problem $y' = \lambda y$, $y(x_0) = y_0$, with exact initial conditions, it yields the exact theoretical solution for $\lambda = \lambda_0$.

The stiffly stable LMM requires that the method be implicit. This has led to several investigation into possible ways of stabilising explicit methods by using Jacobian matrix. One means by which this was done is the application of Jacobian matrix on generalizing LMM by calculating the

second derivative y'' . This led to the use of second derivative multistep formulae. This kind of methods is implemented using free parameters to allow for exponential fitting. Enright [7] developed a class of stiffly stable k-step second derivative methods of order $k+2$.

2.2 EXPONENTIALLY FITTED FORMULA

The aim in this thesis is to derive exponentially fitted formulae of various orders for which stability requirements are investigated for all choices of the fitting parameters. The idea of using exponentially fitted formulae for stiff problem in the form (2.1) was first proposed by Liniger and Willoughby [20]. Integration formulae containing free parameters were derived and these parameters were chosen so that a given function $\exp(q)$ where q is real, satisfies the integration formula exactly. This was tested on LMM for $k=1$, however Jackson and Kenu [17] have derived a fourth order exponentially fitted formulae based on a linear 2-step formula and were A-stable. These methods which have been cited are deficient in the sense that they do not give estimate of the local truncation error of the formula being used. This makes control of the step length h being used difficult to choose and can lead to gross inefficiencies if an appropriate value of h is not used. The new methods being described in this thesis contain a 'built-in' local error estimate. This error estimate may be used as a basis of a step control procedure, and the resulting variable step formulae are particularly efficient in the transient phase of the region of integration.

Based on this idea, Cash [2], in his own work, attempted using MLMM with $k=1$ in the second derivative formulae. We shall however derive a more difficult exponentially fitted formulas for the case $k = 2$ of the MLMMs using a composite formula. The class of methods to be derived, using the composite MLMMs require the maximum attainable order for the

case $k=2$, $n=2$ to be seven. Hence various formulas of orders ranging from 2 to 7 will be given.

A composite formula is obtained by applying the simple formula exhibited, repeatedly to cover longer intervals.

The methods being proposed here is basically for stiff problems for which exponential fitting is appropriate. These are often recognised through some knowledge of the physical behaviour of the solution. This procedure is preferred because it is usually found that exponentially fitted integration formulae are substantially more efficient than conventional ones. A numerical investigation of the proposed methods will lead to some conclusion on the stability properties of the methods. The integration formulas which shall be used for the exponential fitting are as follows: The predictor method is chosen to coincide with the second derivative LMM (1.7), given by

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j} + h^2 \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j g_{n+j} \quad (2.1)$$

while the corrector formula which is

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} \beta_j f_{n+j} + h^2 \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j g_{n+j} \quad (2.3)$$

where $g_{n+j} = \left. \frac{df(x,y)}{dx} \right|_x^y$ and $\beta_{k+1} = 0$.

For this purpose of deriving effective schemes of different orders, the following definition is given.

Define the L operator as

$$L[y(x;h)] = \sum_{j=0}^k (\alpha_j y(x+jh) - h \beta_j f(x+jh, y(x+jh)) \\ - h^2 \gamma_j g(x+jh, y(x+jh)))$$

and by using Taylor series expansion and collecting like terms, we can write L as

$$L = c_0 y(x) + h c_1 y'(x) + \dots + h^r c_r y^{(r)}(x)$$

where

$$c_0 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_k$$

$$c_1 = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \dots + k\alpha_k - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_k)$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 + 2^2 \alpha_2 + \dots + k^2 \alpha_k) - (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + \dots + k\beta_k)$$

$$c_q = \frac{1}{q!} (\alpha_1 + 2^q \alpha_2 + \dots + k^q \alpha_k) - \frac{1}{(q-1)!} (\beta_1 + 2^{q-1} \beta_2 + \dots + k^{q-1} \beta_k)$$

$$k^{q-1} \beta_k) - \frac{1}{(q-2)!} (\gamma_1 + 2^{q-2} \gamma_2 + \dots + k^{q-2} \gamma_k)$$

$$q = 3, 4, \dots, r$$

The Method (2.2) or (2.3) is of order p if

$$c_0 = c_1 = \dots = c_p = 0 \text{ and } c_{p+1} \neq 0$$

Thus to derive a scheme of order p, we set $c_j = 0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, p$

giving rise to sets of $(p+1)$ equations from which constant parameters α_j , β_j and γ_j are determined according to equation (2.2) or (2.3).

The algorithm required for the study of the stability criterion connects the Predictor and the Corrector formulas together and is given as follows:

A1 Compute \bar{y}_{n+k} as solution of (2.2)

A2 Compute $\bar{f}_{n+k} = f(x_{n+k}, y_{n+k})$ and $\bar{g}_{n+k} = g(x_{n+k}, y_{n+k})$

A3 Compute \bar{y}_{n+k+1} as the solution of

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [\alpha_j y_{n+j+1} - h \beta_j f_{n+j+1} - h^2 \gamma_j g_{n+j+1}]$$

$$= \alpha_k y_{n+k+1} + h \beta_k f_{n+k+1} + h^2 \gamma_k g_{n+k+1}$$

A4 Compute $f_{n+k+1} = f(x_{n+k+1}, y(x_{n+k+1}))$

A5 Compute y_{n+k} as the solution of

$$y_{n+k} + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j y_{n+j} = h \sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j f_{n+j} + h^2 \sum_{j=0}^k \gamma_j g_{n+j} + h c_{k+1} f_{n+k+1}$$

This algorithm shall henceforth be regarded as Composite Integration Formula (CIF) and the complete scheme defined will be referred to as steps A1 - A5.

2.3 DERIVATION OF ORDER 2 SCHEME

The first scheme to be considered is of order 2. The procedure employed in this thesis requires that the Predictor formula be of order (k-1) while the Corrector scheme is of order k.

The predictor formula corresponding to the case k=2, with one free parameter is obtained from equation (2.2) as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 y_n + \alpha_1 y_{n+1} + y_{n+2} &= h (\beta_0 f_n + \beta_1 f_{n+1} + \beta_2 f_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + h^2 (\gamma_0 g_n + \gamma_1 g_{n+1} + \gamma_2 g_{n+2}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, to derive a two step scheme of order 2, set coefficients of y, f and g at x_{n+1} point to zero, that is
 $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$

Also let $\gamma_0 = \gamma_2 = 0$, then we have α_0 , α_2 , β_0 and β_2 to determine.
But $\alpha_k = \alpha_2 = +1$ and let $\beta_2 = a$ (free parameter).

For order two predictor formula set $c_0 = c_1 = 0$; $c_2 \neq 0$
using equation (2.4) we obtain $\alpha_0 = -1$, $\beta_0 = 2-a$

Thus (2.5) becomes

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h [a f_{n+2} + (2-a)f_n]$$

but $y' = f(x, y)$

that is $y_{n+2} - y_n = h [a y'_{n+2} + (2-a)y'_n]$

By exponential fitting, we use equation (1.8) to get step A1 of the Algorithm as

$$\bar{y}_{n+2} - y_n = h [a \lambda \bar{y}_{n+2} + (2-a) \lambda y_n]$$

leading to

$$(1-aq) \bar{y}_{n+2} = [1 + (2-a)q] y_n$$

or $\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q}{1 - aq}$ (2.6)

$$\text{where } q = \lambda h$$

From where step A2 of the CIF is also given.

The solution of the scalar problem (1.8), that is

$$y' = \lambda y \text{ is } y = e^{\lambda x}$$

$$\frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n} = \frac{y(x_n+h)}{y(x_n)} = \frac{e^{\lambda(x_n+h)}}{e^{\lambda x}} = e^{\lambda h} = e^q$$

$$\text{similarly, } \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = e^{2q} \quad (2.7)$$

using (2.7) in (2.6), we obtain

$$e^{2q} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q}{1 - aq}$$

solving for parameter a , we get

$$a = \frac{(1 - e^{2q})/q + 2}{1 - e^{2q}} \quad (2.8)$$

From equation (2.3), the corrector formula corresponding for $k=2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 y_n + \alpha_1 y_{n+1} + \alpha_2 y_{n+2} &= h (\beta_0 f_n + \beta_1 f_{n+1} + \beta_2 f_{n+2} + \beta_3 f_{n+3}) \\ &\quad + h^2 (\gamma_0 g_n + \gamma_1 g_{n+1} + \gamma_2 g_{n+2}) \end{aligned}$$

Again, setting $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = +1$ and $\beta_3 = r$ (free parameter), then a method of order 2 involving

corrector formula requires

$$c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_3 \neq 0$$

This leads to the simultaneous equations

$$\alpha_0 + 1 = 0$$

$$2 - \beta_0 - \beta_2 - r = 0$$

$$2 - 2\beta_2 - 3r = 0$$

which give

$$\alpha_0 = 1, \beta_0 = \frac{1}{2}(2+r), \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}(2-3r)$$

hence the method becomes

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h [ry_{n+3}^+ + \frac{1}{2}(2-3r)y_{n+2}^+ + \frac{1}{2}(2+r)y_n^+] \quad (2.9)$$

solving for r to get

$$r = \frac{(e^{2q} - 1)/p - e^{2q} - 1}{e^{2q} - \frac{3}{2}e^{2q} + \frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.10)$$

Proceeding on step A3 of the CIF we should have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 y_{n+1} + \alpha_1 y_{n+2} + \alpha_2 y_{n+3} - h (\beta_0 f_{n+1} + \beta_1 f_{n+2} + \beta_2 f_{n+3}) \\ - h^2 (\gamma_0 g_{n+1} + \gamma_1 g_{n+2} + \gamma_2 g_{n+3}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

This equation is a transpose of equation (2.5) by a step, hence if we solve for the constants α_j , β_j and γ_j with the same condition impose on (2.5), we shall obtain

$$y_{n+3} - y_{n+1} - h(2-a)f_{n+1} - ahf_{n+3} = 0$$

Applying exponential fitting condition to get

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_{n+1}} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q}{1 - aq} \quad (2.11)$$

This result is identical to the predictor formula (2.6).

Hence following this trend, we observe that

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+j+2}}{y_{n+j}} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q}{1 - aq}, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, r$$

satisfying the consistency conditions.

There is the need to obtain ratio $\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_n}$ in order to compute step A4 of the CIF. Thus from (2.11), using (2.7) we have

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q}{1 - aq} \cdot \frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n} = e^{3q}$$

that is

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_n} = \left(\frac{1 + (2-a)q}{1 - aq} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = R^*(q) \quad (2.12)$$

Finally for step A5, equation (2.9) becomes

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{rq(\bar{y}_{n+3}/y_n) + 1 + \frac{1}{2}q(2+r)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}q(2-3r)}$$

substituting (2.12) to get

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{rq R^*(q) + 1 + \frac{1}{2}q(2+r)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}q(2-3r)} = R(q) \quad (2.13)$$

This unites both the predictor and the corrector formulas. The formula (2.13) derived is capable of generating solution to stiff problems for which exponential fitting is applicable.

A good numerical method must satisfy reasonable stability properties. It is therefore necessary to find the range of values of a and r with certain limits of q .

To determine the conditions a and r will satisfy, we examine the inequality

$$\left| \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} \right| < 1$$

for all q with $\operatorname{Re}(q) < 0$

The necessary and sufficient conditions for this inequality to hold are given by Maximum Modulus Theorem.

THEOREM 2.1 (Maximum Modulus Theorem)

Let f be analytic and not constant in a domain D . Then $|f|$ cannot have a local maximum in D .

The proof is given in Beardon [1]

By Theorem 2.1, these conditions imply that

$$(i) \quad R(q) < 1 \text{ on } \operatorname{Re}(q) = 0$$

$$(ii) \quad R(q) \text{ is analytic in } \operatorname{Re}(q) < 0$$

If (i) holds, it follows that $R(q)$ is analytic as $q \rightarrow -\infty$ and thus (i) and (ii) will guarantee A-stability by Theorem 2.1.

Now, for $|R(q)| < 1$, we consider

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} - 1 < 0$$

hence from (2.13) we have

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{q} + (2-a)\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2}(2+r) - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{2}(2-3r)}{1/q - \frac{1}{2}(2-3r)} < 0$$

and as $q \rightarrow -\infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{r(1-\frac{2}{3})^{\frac{3}{2}} + 2 - r}{\frac{3}{2}r - 1} < 0$$

leading to $r < \frac{2}{3}$ and $a > 0$.

These inequalities may help to determine stability condition. However, by taking limits of a and r as $q \rightarrow 0$ and $q \rightarrow -\infty$, we obtain from (2.8) and (2.10) that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} a = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - e^{2q} + 2q}{q(1 - e^{2q})} = 1$$

$$\text{Also, } \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} a = \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{(a - e^{2q})/q + 2}{1 - e^{2q}}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} r = -\frac{4}{9}, \quad \text{while } \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} r = -2$$

Taking values of $q \in (-\infty, 0)$ for a large sample S, we observed that for various values of q , the values of a and r are within the range above, that is $a \in (1, 2)$ and $r \in (-2, -\frac{4}{9})$

Thus for such sample we have table 2.1 below.

TABLE 2.1
Values of parameters a and r for order 2 scheme

q	a	r
-1	1.3130	-0.7850
-2	1.5373	-1.1105
-5	1.8001	-1.6003
-50	1.9800	-1.9600
-100	1.9900	-1.9800
-200	1.9980	-1.9960

This set of values suggests that our integration formula may be A-stable because according to theorem 2.1, all the values of a and r within these ranges are bounded.

On the other hand, if equation (1.9) is applied to the predictor formula, we have

$$\xi^2 = \frac{1 + q(2-a)}{1 - aq} = \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n}$$

however, since $R(q)$ gives the stability region as $a > 0$ and $r < \frac{2}{3}$ then for $0 < a < 1$, $q = -0.125$, zero stability is satisfied since $|\xi| < 1$ for all a .

Furthermore, the combined Predictor-Corrector formula (2.13), substituted into (1.9) gives

$$\xi^2 = \frac{rq \left[\frac{1 + (2-a)q}{1 - aq} \right]^{\frac{3}{2}} + 1 + \frac{1}{2}q(2+r)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}q(2-3r)}$$

thus, for $r < \frac{2}{3}$ and $a > 0$, we have for the range $a \in (1, 2)$ and $r \in (-0.78, 1.99)$ that $|\xi| < 1$;

for example, when $a = 1.8$, $r = -1.6$ we obtain a complex value

$$\xi = -0.187i + 0.684$$

for which $|\xi| = 0.709 < 1$

This is true for all value pairs of a and r , hence the method is absolutely stable for all choices of free parameters a and r of the Predictor-Corrector method (2.13).

2.4 DERIVATION OF ORDER 3 SCHEME

The derivation of Predictor-Corrector integration formula of order 3, for the class of MLMM being used varies. This is due to the maximum order required, thereby limiting the number of equations available for the determination of coefficients in equation (2.2) and (2.3).

For the Predictor formula given by (2.2), set $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$ and let $\beta_2 = a$ (free parameter).

Fitting the Predictor formula to second order formula, we obtain,

$$\alpha_0 = -1$$

$$\beta_0 = 2 - a$$

$$\text{and } \gamma_0 + \gamma_2 = 2 - 2a$$

These lead to the choice

$$\gamma_0 = \gamma_2 = 1 - a$$

therefore (2.2) becomes

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h [(2-a)f_n + af_{n+2}] + h^2 [(1-a)g_n + (1-a)g_{n+2}]$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q + (1-a)q^2}{1 - aq - (1-a)q^2}$$

$$= \frac{(q-1)[(a-1)q - 1]}{(q+1)[(a-1)q + 1]} = R^*(q) \quad (2.14)$$

when $a=1$, (2.14) may not satisfy the stability requirements because

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} \right| = 1$$

However, by choosing

$$\gamma_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{2}a \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2 = 1 - \frac{3}{2}a$$

together with other coefficients obtained, we have equation (2.2) becoming

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q + (1-\frac{1}{2}a)q^2}{1 + aq - (1-\frac{3}{2}a)q^2} = \bar{R}(q) \quad (2.15)$$

evaluating a from this we shall obtain

$$a = \frac{(1-e^{2q})/q + 2 + q(e^{2q} + 1)}{1 - e^{2q} + \frac{1}{2}q(1+3e^{2q})} \quad (2.16)$$

For the composite Corrector formula for case $k=2$ from equation (2.3) we set

$$c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$$

since $\alpha_2 = 1$, $\beta_3 = r$ (free parameter)

then, for $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$, we solve system of equation (2.4) to get

$$\alpha_0 = -1, \quad \beta_0 + \beta_2 = 2 - r$$

$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{25}{4}r, \quad \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{3} + \frac{5}{4}r$$

choosing $\beta_0 = 1 - 2r$ and $\beta_2 = 1 + r$

then (2.3) becomes

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h[(1-2r)f_n + (1+r)f_{n+2} + rf_{n+3}] \\ + h^2[(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{25}{4}r)g_n + (-\frac{1}{3} + \frac{5}{4}r)g_{n+2}]$$

using exponential fitting to get

$$r = \frac{(e^{2q} - 1)/q - (1 + e^{2q}) + \frac{1}{3}q(e^{2q} - 1)}{e^{3q} + \frac{1}{4}(4+5q)e^{2q} - \frac{1}{4}(8+15q)} \quad (2.17)$$

and

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{4rqR^*(q) - rq(8+15q) + 4(1+q+\frac{1}{3}q^2)}{4(1-q+\frac{1}{3}q^2) - rq(4+5q)} \\ = \bar{R}(q). \quad \text{say} \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$R^*(q) = \frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_n} = \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = [\bar{R}(q)]^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

is given by step A3 of the CIF.

To examine the stability conditions required by this method, it is expected by Theorem 2.1 that (2.18) satisfies $|\bar{R}(q)| < 1$.

However, since equation (2.15) is contained in (2.18), then (2.15) must also satisfy $|\bar{R}(q)| < 1$.

From (2.18), $R(q) - 1 < 0$, as $q \rightarrow -\infty$ gives

$$\frac{\frac{4r}{q} R^*(q) - 10r}{\frac{4}{3} - 5r} < 0$$

that is, $r < \frac{4}{15}$.

Furthermore, from (2.15) examine

$$\bar{R}(q) - 1 < 0 \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow -\infty$$

and after tedious algebra, we obtain

$$\frac{2 - 2a}{-1 + \frac{3}{2}a} < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a < \frac{2}{3} \text{ or } a > 1$$

Also, taking $|R(q)| < 1$ as $-1 < R(q) < 1$,

then for $R(q) > -1$ we have $a > \frac{2}{3}$ or $a < 0$.

However from (2.16) the range of values of a for $q \in (-\infty, 0)$ taking the limits with L'Hospital rule we have

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} a = \frac{2}{3} \quad \text{while} \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} a = 2$$

that is, $a \in (\frac{2}{3}, 2)$.

Thus, as q decreases, a is monotone increasing.

Also, from (2.17)

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} r = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} r = \frac{4}{45}$$

That is for all values of $q < 0$ parameters r lies in the interval $(\frac{4}{45}, 1)$.

Thus as q increases, r also is monotone increasing.

For the ranges of a and r obtained, they suggest that the integration formula (2.18) may be A-stable. However, we established from algebraic manipulation on the condition that $R(q)$ satisfy with ranges of values of a and r , that is within the ranges

$$a \in (1, 2) \quad \text{and} \quad r \in (\frac{4}{45}, \frac{4}{15})$$

our integration formula (2.18) will satisfy the A-stability conditions given by the Maximum Modulus Theorem 2.1.

Alternative to the order three formulas (2.15) and (2.18) obtained above is by introducing two free parameters. The reason being that the choice of γ_0 and γ_2 for the predictor formula (2.15) and the choice made for β_0 and β_2 as it affects the corrector formula will go a long way to affect the stability and the accuracy

of the Predictor-Corrector scheme (2.18). This will also affect the free parameters a and r . Thus by introducing two free parameters each into the predictor and the corrector formulas, a new set of formulas are obtained.

For the Predictor formula, set

$$\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = +1$$

and let $\beta_2 = a$ and $\gamma_2 = b$ (free parameters)

$$\text{then, } \beta_0 = 2 - a, \quad \alpha_0 = -1$$

$$\gamma_0 = 2 - 2a - b$$

which gives the Predictor formula as

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h [(2-a)f_n + af_{n+2}] + h[(2-2a-b)g_n + bg_{n+2}]$$

using exponential fittings we have

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q + (2-2a-b)q^2}{1 + aq - bq^2} = \bar{R}(q) \quad (2.19)$$

In a similar manner, for corrector formula, set

$$\beta_3 = r, \quad \beta_2 = s \quad \text{as free parameters;}$$

then for an order 3 corrector scheme in form of (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+2} - y_n &= h[(2-s-r)f_n + sf_{n+2} + rf_{n+3}] \\ &\quad + h^2[(\frac{4}{3} - s - \frac{3}{4}r)g_n + (\frac{2}{3} - s - \frac{9}{4}r)g_{n+2}] \end{aligned}$$

on using (1.8) we obtain

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (2-s-r)q + (\frac{4}{3} - s - \frac{3}{4}r)q + rq(y_{n+3}/y_n)}{1 - sq - q(\frac{2}{3} - s - \frac{9}{4}r)} \quad (2.20)$$

By step A3 of the Algorithm, we obtain

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_{n+1}} = \bar{R}(q) = \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n}$$

$$\text{or } \frac{\tilde{y}_{n+3}}{y_n} = \left(\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = R^*(q)$$

making corrector formula become

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} &= \frac{1 + (2-s-r)q + (\frac{4}{3} - s - \frac{3}{4}r)q^2 + rq.R^*(q)}{1 - sq - q(\frac{2}{3} - s - \frac{9}{4}r)} \\ &= R(q) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Now using exponential fitting on (2.19), we obtain

$$(e^{2q} - 1) - 2q(q+1) = aq(e^{2q} - 1 - 2q) + bq^2(e^{2q} - 1) \quad (2.22)$$

For the two unknowns a and b , this formula is fitted to two values of q as q_0 and q_1 .

$$\text{Thus let } C_i = e^{2q} - 1 - 2q_i(q_i+1)$$

$$A_i = q_i(e^{2q} - 1 - 2q_i)$$

$$B_i = q_i^2(e^{2q} - 1)$$

then (2.22) can be written as

$$C_i = aA_i + bB_i \quad i = 0, 1$$

leading to two equations

$$C_0 = aA_0 + bB_0$$

$$C_1 = aA_1 + bB_1$$

in two unknowns. Solving for a and b we obtain

$$a = \frac{B_1 C_0 - B_0 C_1}{B_1 A_0 - B_0 A_1}$$

$$b = \frac{A_1 C_0 - A_0 C_1}{B_1 A_0 - B_0 A_1}$$

Similarly, the corrector formula (2.20) can be written as

$$e^{2q}(1 - \frac{2}{3}q^2) - 1 - 2q - \frac{4}{3}q^2 = s[q(e^{2q} - 1) - q^2(e^{2q} + 1)] \\ + r[q(e^{3q} - 1) - \frac{3}{4}q^2(3e^{2q} + 1)]$$

$$\text{If } T_i = e^{2q}(1 - \frac{2}{3}q_i^2) - 1 - 2q - \frac{4}{3}q_i^2$$

$$S_i = q_i(e^{2q} - 1) - q_i(e^{2q} + 1)$$

$$R_i = q_i(e^{3q} - 1) - \frac{3}{4}q_i^2(3e^{2q} + 1)$$

then

$$T_i = sS_i + rR_i \quad i = 0, 1$$

or

$$T_0 = sS_0 + rR_0$$

$$T_1 = sS_1 + rR_1$$

solving to get

$$s = \frac{R_1 T_0 - R_0 T_1}{R_1 S_0 - R_0 S_1} \quad \text{and} \quad r = \frac{S_1 T_0 - S_0 T_1}{S_1 R_0 - S_0 R_1}$$

To investigate the behaviour of the parameters a , b , s and r , substitute (2.21) into the inequality

$$R(q) - 1 < 0$$

After much algebra we shall obtain

$$s > -\frac{1}{6} \quad \text{and} \quad s + \frac{9}{4}r - \frac{2}{3} > 0$$

with $R^*(q) < 1$ which by (2.19) we have

$$\bar{R}(q) < 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{2(a-1)}{b} < 0$$

If $b > 0$, then $a > 1$.

For all real roots of the quadratic expression of the numerator of (2.19), we have

$$(a+2)^2 > 4(2-b)$$

and its denominator gives

$$a^2 + 4b > 0 \Rightarrow b > 0$$

In conclusion, the behaviour of these parameters along with the analytical conclusion above is best done by keeping q_1 fixed and q_0 is varied in the interval $(-\infty, 0]$ to satisfy stiff problem (1.8).

2.5 DERIVATION OF ORDER 4 SCHEME

Deriving a second derivative Predictor formula of order 3 corresponding to (2.5) which also contains a free parameter, deduce from (2.4) that

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$$

$$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 - \beta_0 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{4}(\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2) - (\beta_1 + 2\beta_2) - (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{6}(\alpha_1 + 8\alpha_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + 4\beta_2) - (\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2) = 0$$

Obtaining a two step formula, we set $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$ and let $\beta_2 = a$ (free parameter), then

$$\alpha_2 = 1, \quad \alpha_0 = -1, \quad \beta_0 = 2 - a, \quad \gamma_0 = \frac{4}{3} - a, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{2}{3} - a$$

Thus the predictor method is

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h[(2-a)y'_n + ay'_{n+2}] + h^2[(\frac{4}{3} - a)y''_n + (\frac{2}{3} - a)y''_{n+2}] \quad (2.24)$$

Using (1.8), we have

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (2-a)q + (\frac{4}{3} - a)q^2}{1 - aq - (\frac{2}{3} - a)q^2} = \bar{R}(q) \quad (2.25)$$

and by (1.8) and (2.7), equation (2.24) can be written as

$$a = \frac{1 + 2q + \frac{4}{3}q^2 + \frac{1}{3}e^{2q}(2q^2 - 3)}{qe^{2q}(q-1) + q(q+1)} \quad (2.26)$$

The Corrector formula of order 4 corresponding to (2.3) gives the set of coefficient equations to be determined as follows:

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 0$$

$$\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 - \beta_0 - \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3 = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + 4\alpha_2) - (\beta_1 + \beta_2 + 3\beta_3) - (\gamma_0 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{6}(\alpha_1 + 8\alpha_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + 4\beta_2 + 9\beta_3) - (\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_2) = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{24}(\alpha_1 + 16\alpha_2) - \frac{1}{6}(\beta_1 + 8\beta_2 + 27\beta_3) - \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + 4\gamma_2) = 0$$

setting $\alpha_0 = \beta_1 = \gamma_1 = 0$, $\alpha_2 = 1$ and the free parameter $\beta_3 = r$
we obtain other coefficients as

$$\alpha_0 = -1, \quad \beta_0 = 1-r, \quad \beta_2 = 1, \quad \gamma_0 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4}r \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{9}{4}r$$

Therefore the composite Corrector Integration Formula (2.3)
becomes

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h [(1-r)y'_n + y'_{n+2} + ry'_{n+3}] \\ + h [(\frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4}r)y''_n - (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{9}{4}r)y''_{n+2}]$$

using the scalar test problem (1.8), we obtain

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{rq \cdot (\bar{y}_{n+3}/y_n) + 1(1-r)q + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4}r)q^2}{1 - q + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{9}{4}r)q^2} \quad (2.27)$$

which can also be written for r as

$$r = \frac{1 + q + \frac{1}{3}q^2 - e^{2q}(1 - q + \frac{1}{3}q^2)}{\frac{3}{4}q^2(3e^{2q} + 1) - q(e^{3q} - 1)} \quad (2.28)$$

To unite both the Predictor and the Corrector formulae, we proceed as before on steps A3 through A5 of the CIF. From previous derivation, it has been established that our set of integration formulas are consistent, that is

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_{n+1}} = \frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = R(q)$$

leading to

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_n} = \left(\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} = R^*(q)$$

Finally from step A5 of the Algorithm, (2.27) becomes

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{rqR^*(q) + 1 + (1-r)q + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4}r)q^2}{1 - q + (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{9}{4}r)q^2} \quad (2.29)$$

Equation (2.29) is the Composite Integration Formula of order 4 for stiff problems which allow exponential fittings.

For stability conditions which $R(q)$ must satisfy, we check by analytical processes for

$$R(q) < 1$$

And from (2.29) we have

$$\frac{rqR^*(q) + (1+r)q - 3rq^2}{1 - q + (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{9}{4}r)q^2} < 0$$

taking limit as $q \rightarrow -\infty$, we have

$$\frac{\lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{r}{q} R^*(q) - 3r}{\frac{1}{3} + \frac{9}{4}r} < 0$$

$$\text{this gives } r > 0 \text{ or } r < -\frac{4}{27}$$

however, we need to check the conditions satisfied by a ,
that is, $R(q) - 1 < 0$ as $q \rightarrow -\infty$

from (2.27), we have

$$a < \frac{2}{3} \text{ or } a > 1$$

hence, when $r > 0$, then $\lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{r}{q} \cdot R^*(q)$ required that $a > 1$
However, parameters a and r are bounded by certain limiting

values for $q \in (-\infty, 0)$. Thus as $q \rightarrow 0$ and $q \rightarrow -\infty$ simultaneously, we have from (2.27)

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} a = 1$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} a = \frac{4}{3}$$

similarly, $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} r = \frac{16}{135}$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} r = \frac{4}{9}$$

that is $a \in (1, \frac{4}{3})$ while $r \in (\frac{16}{135}, \frac{4}{9})$.

Hence, we established analytically for stiff system whose solutions are in the open left half plane,

that is $a > 1$ and $r > 0$

Furthermore, evaluating the values of a and r for some samples s , we obtain table 2.2. That is as q decreases, parameters a and r are monotone increasing, as given below:

TABLE 2.2
Values of parameters a and r for order 4 scheme

q	a	r
-1	1.0648	0.16829
-2	1.1204	0.21710
-3	1.16297	0.25855
-20	1.30088	0.40833
-50	1.3201	0.42977
-100	1.3267	0.43707

By Theorem 2.1, we conclude that our integration formula (2.29), which is a MLMM of order 4, is A-stable within the range of values specified for the choices of parameters a and r .

The stability polynomial used to determine the zero- and absolute-stabilities of the methods revealed that by equation (1.9), the

predictor formula (2.25) gives

$$\xi = \frac{1 + (2-a)q + (\frac{4}{3} - a)q^2}{1 - aq - (\frac{2}{3} - a)q^2}$$

Evaluating for all values of $a \in (1, \frac{4}{3})$ in the left-half plane, we obtain

$$|\xi| < 1 \text{ for all } q \in (-\infty, 0)$$

Thus, in general for all $q \in (-\infty, 0)$ the predictor scheme (2.25) is zero-stable.

Also the stability polynomial for the Predictor-Corrector formula following (1.9) gives

$$\xi^2 = \frac{rq^2 R^*(q) + 1 + (1-r)q + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{3}{4}r)q^2}{1 - q + (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{9}{4}r)q^2}$$

Testing for values of $q \in (-\infty, 0)$ we established that

$$|\xi| < 1 \text{ for all } q$$

that is, the Predictor-Corrector formula fitted to stiff scalar problem (1.8) is absolutely stable.

This order 4 formula tested on various problems is seen to be more accurate than many known methods for the same problems. This shall be illustrated in chapter 4.

CHAPTER THREE

PADE EXPONENTIAL FORMULAS

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The derivative of stiff integration formulas of orders higher than order 4¹⁰ will involve a one step ratio y_{n+1}/y_n which can be replaced either by an exponential function or by a one step scheme.

Usually the predictor formula involving this ratio is implicit. Hence to retain two step implicit scheme, the ratio y_{n+1}/y_n in the predictor formula is replaced not with an exponential function, but with an one step implicit method expressed as a 1-1 Pade approximation function. However, since the formula given in this thesis is designed for stiff problems for which exponential fitting is permitted, the exponential function will also be an appropriate substitution for the ratio y_{n+1}/y_n .

3.2 ORDER 5 INTEGRATION FORMULA

The order 5 formula introduces a one-step scheme at f_{n+1} term. This is chosen so that two-step procedure is maintained on the left hand side of equation (2.2). Hence, α_1 and γ_1 are set to zero in the simultaneous equations required to fix the fifth order integration formula.

Fitting order 4 predictor scheme using (2.4) for $c_j = 0$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ we obtain

$$\alpha_0 = -1, \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = 1$$

$$\beta_0 = a \quad \beta_1 = 2(1-a) \quad \beta_2 = a^{\dagger} \text{ (free parameter)}$$

$$\gamma_0 = -\frac{1}{6}(1-2a), \quad \gamma_1 = 0, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{6}(1-2a)$$

Hence by (2.2) we obtain the predictor formula as

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h [af_n + 2(1-a)f_{n+1} + af_{n+2}] \\ + \frac{1}{6} h^2 [(1-3a)g_{n+2} - (1-3a)g_n]$$

By (1.8) we obtain

$$\frac{\tilde{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + aq - \frac{1}{6}(1-3a)q^2 + 2(1-a)q \cdot (\frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n})}{1 - aq - \frac{1}{6}(1-3a)q^2} \quad (3.1)$$

The one-step y_{n+1}/y_n term is replaced by an exponentially fitted one-step implicit scheme. For this purpose, consider the most accurate one step implicit scheme, namely, the **Trapezoidal rule given by**

$$y_{n+1} - y_n = \frac{1}{2}h (f_n + f_{n+1})$$

using (1.8) we obtain a 1-1 Padé approximation

$$\frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}q}{1 - \frac{1}{2}q} \quad (3.2)$$

substituting in (3.1), we have

$$\frac{\tilde{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (\frac{3}{2} - a)q + (\frac{5}{6} - a)q^2 + \frac{1}{12}(1 - 3a)q^3}{1 - (\frac{1}{2} + a)q + (a - \frac{1}{6})q^2 + \frac{1}{12}(1 - 3a)q^3} \\ = \bar{R}(q)$$

However, replacing the ratio y_{n+1}/y_n in (3.1) by e^q according to the procedure in the previous chapter, we have

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + aq - \frac{1}{6}(1-3a)q^2 + 2(1-a)qe^q}{1 - aq - \frac{1}{6}(1-3a)q^2}$$

Furthermore, from (3.1), we have

$$a = \frac{-1 + \frac{1}{6}q^2 - 2qe^q + (1 - \frac{1}{6}q^2)e^{2q}}{q(1+\frac{1}{2}q) - 2qe^q + q(1-\frac{1}{2}q)e^{2q}} \quad (3.3)$$

Similarly, to derive an order 5 corrector formula, set the following unknowns in composite formula (2.3) as

$$\alpha_1 = \gamma_1 = 0, \quad \beta_3 = r \quad (\text{free parameter})$$

$$\alpha_2 = +1, \quad \alpha_0 = -1$$

On solving the system generated by $c_j = 0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 5$ we obtain

$$\beta_0 = \frac{7}{15} + \frac{7}{2}r \quad \beta_1 = \frac{16}{15} - 9r$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{7}{15} + \frac{9}{2}r \quad \gamma_0 = \frac{1}{15} + \frac{3}{2}r \quad \gamma_2 = -\frac{1}{15} - 9r$$

Hence the Corrector Integration Formula is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+2} - y_n &= h \left[\left(\frac{7}{15} + \frac{7}{2}r \right) f_n + \left(\frac{16}{15} - 9r \right) f_{n+1} + \left(\frac{7}{15} + \frac{9}{2}r \right) f_{n+2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + rf_{n+3} \right] + h^2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{3}{2}r \right) g_n + \left(-\frac{1}{15} - \frac{9}{2}r \right) g_{n+2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

And with exponential fitting, it becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} &= \frac{1 + \left(\frac{7}{15} + \frac{7}{2}r \right) q + \left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{3}{2}r \right) q^2 + \left(\frac{16}{15} - 9r \right) q \cdot \left(\bar{y}_{n+1}/y_n \right)}{1 - \left(\frac{7}{15} + \frac{7}{2}r \right) q + \left(\frac{1}{15} + \frac{9}{2}r \right) q^2} \\ &\quad + rq \left(\bar{y}_{n+3}/y_n \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with localising assumption, we obtain r from (3.4) as

$$r = \frac{1 + \frac{7}{15}q + \frac{1}{15}q^2 + \frac{16}{15}qe^q - (1 - \frac{7}{15}q + \frac{1}{15}q^2)e^{2q}}{-\frac{7}{2}q - \frac{3}{2}q^2 + 9qe^q + \frac{9}{2}qe^{2q}(q-1) - qe^{3q}}$$

From previous derivation, we established that step A3 of the algorithm gives

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_{n+1}} = \frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \bar{R}(q)$$

which leads to

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+3}}{y_n} = [\bar{R}(q)]^{\frac{3}{2}} = R_2(q)$$

and

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+1}}{y_n} = [\bar{R}(q)]^{\frac{1}{2}} = R_1(q)$$
(3.6)

Thus instead of introducing another pade approximation, we simply fit the predictor formula, which has an 'in-built' pade approximation, into (3.5) by using (3.6).

Hence the Predictor-Corrector formula of order 5 is

$$\frac{1 + (\frac{7}{15} + \frac{7}{2}r)q + (\frac{1}{15} + \frac{3}{2}r)q^2 + (\frac{16}{15} - 9r)q \cdot R_1(q)}{1 - (\frac{7}{15} + \frac{9}{2}r)q + (\frac{1}{15} + \frac{9}{2}r)q^2} + rq \cdot R_2(q)$$
(3.7)

As it is done for lower order formulas, we obtain the range of values of a and r for which $q \in (-\infty, 0)$

Now, $\lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} a = 0$

To obtain the limiting value of a as $q \rightarrow 0$, we apply L'Hospital rule at five stages involving tedious and careful differentiations to give

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} a = \frac{19}{27}$$

that is for $q \in (-\infty, 0)$, $a \in (0, \frac{19}{27})$

similarly, for $q \in (-\infty, 0)$, $r \in (-\frac{2}{45}, 0)$

The values of a and r for some samples S in the range q are given in Table 3.1 below:

TABLE 3.1

q	a	r
-1	0.464189	-0.001883
-10	0.39168	-0.026082
-20	0.36481	-0.034591
-30	0.35476	-0.037751
-40	0.34956	-0.039381
-50	0.34639	-0.040373
-1000	0.33399	-0.044237

These results, as it was done in lower orders guarantee

A-Stability of the order 5 scheme.

3.3 ORDER 6 INTEGRATION FORMULA

Following the procedure for order 5, the Predictor formula is obtained by solving six simultaneous equations corresponding to equation (2.4) to give

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h \left[\left(\frac{14}{15} - a \right) f_n + \frac{16}{15} f_{n+1} + af_{n+2} \right] + h^2 \left[\left(\frac{2}{9} - \frac{1}{3} a \right) g_n + \frac{4}{3} \left(\frac{7}{15} - a \right) g_{n+1} + \left(\frac{4}{45} - \frac{1}{3} a \right) g_{n+2} \right] \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, choosing $\beta_3 = r$ as the free parameter, we obtain the Corrector formula by equation (2.4) as

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = h \left[\left(\frac{7}{15} - 10r \right) f_n + \left(\frac{16}{15} - 9r \right) f_{n+1} + \left(\frac{7}{15} + 18r \right) f_{n+2} + rf_{n+3} \right] + h^2 \left[\left(\frac{1}{15} - 3r \right) g_n - 18rg_{n+1} - \left(\frac{1}{15} + 9r \right) g_{n+2} \right] \quad (3.9)$$

On fitting to the scalar problem (1.8), the Predictor formula (3.8) becomes

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + \left(\frac{14}{15} - a \right) q + \left(\frac{2}{9} - \frac{1}{3} a \right) q^2 + \left[\frac{16}{15} q + \left(\frac{28}{45} - \frac{4}{3} a \right) q^2 \right] \cdot \frac{y_{n+1}}{y_n}}{1 - aq - \left(\frac{4}{45} - \frac{1}{3} a \right) q^2} \quad (3.10)$$

using a 1-1 pade approximation (3.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} &= \frac{1 + \left(\frac{3}{2} - a \right) q + \left(\frac{41}{45} - \frac{7}{6} a \right) q^2 + \left(\frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{2} a \right) q^3}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} + a \right) q - \left(\frac{4}{45} - \frac{5}{6} a \right) q^2 + \left(\frac{2}{45} - \frac{1}{6} a \right) q^3} \\ &= \bar{R}(q) \end{aligned}$$

Replace the ratio y_{n+1}/y_n by e^q in (3.10) the sixth order Predictor formula can be expressed as

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (\frac{14}{15} - a)q + (\frac{2}{9} - \frac{1}{3}a)q^2 + [\frac{16}{15}q + (\frac{28}{45} - \frac{4}{3}a)q^2]e^q}{1 - aq - \frac{1}{3}(\frac{4}{45} - a)q^2}$$

obtaining parameter a from (3.10), we have

$$a = \frac{1 + \frac{14}{15}q + \frac{2}{3}q^2 + \frac{4}{15}qe^q(12+7q) + \frac{1}{15}e^{2q}(4q^2 - 45)}{q(3+q) + 4q^2e^q - qe^{2q}(3-q)} \quad (3.11)$$

In a similar manner we fit (1.8) into (3.9) to get the corrector integration scheme as

$$\frac{y_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{1 + (\frac{7}{15} - 10r)q + (\frac{1}{15} - 3r)q^2 + [(\frac{16}{15} - 9r)q - 18rq^2].[\bar{R}(q)]^{\frac{1}{2}} + rq.[\bar{R}(q)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1 - (\frac{7}{15} + 18r)q + (\frac{1}{15} + 9r)q^2} \quad (3.12)$$

and obtaining r from (3.9) we have

$$r = \frac{1 + \frac{7}{15}q + \frac{1}{15}q^2 + \frac{16}{15}qe^q - e^{2q}(1 - \frac{7}{15}q + \frac{1}{15}q^2)}{q(10+3q) + 9qe^q(1+2q) - 9qe^{2q}(2-q) - qe^{3q}} \quad (3.13)$$

To obtain the range of values of a and r for which the order 6 Predictor -Corrector formula may be A-Stable, we apply L'Hospital rule for six derivatives on equation (3.11) to get

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} a = \frac{7}{15}$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} a = \frac{2}{3}$$

Similarly, 7 times application of L'Hospital rule on (3.13) gives

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} r = \frac{4}{945}$$

$$\text{while } \lim_{q \rightarrow -\infty} r = \frac{1}{45}$$

hence for $q \in (-\infty, 0)$ we have $a \in (\frac{7}{15}, \frac{2}{3})$ and $r \in (\frac{4}{945}, \frac{1}{45})$.

These show that as q increases, both a and r decreases.

Following previous work, we established that within these ranges of values of a and r , the Predictor-Corrector formula (3.12) will be A-Stable for all choices of parameters a and r .

3.4 ORDER 7 SCHEME

Finally, the most accurate second derivative LMM to be given is order seven scheme. We hereby derive an order 7 Predictor-Corrector formula. The formula is derived without any free parameter as this is not required by the formula of this order.

However, obtaining a predictor formula of order 6 from (2.4), we obtain

$$y_{n+2} - y_n = \frac{h}{15} (7f_n + 16f_{n+1} + 7f_{n+2}) + \frac{h^2}{15} (g_n - g_{n+2})$$

Similarly, for order 7 Corrector scheme, eight equations for $c_j = 0$, $j = 0, 1, \dots, 7$ obtained from (2.4) are solved to give

$$\begin{aligned} y_{n+2} - y_n &= \frac{h}{35} \left(\frac{1049}{54} f_n + 34f_{n+1} + \frac{33}{2} f_{n+2} + \frac{2}{27} f_{n+3} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{h^2}{105} \left(\frac{37}{3} g_n + 4g_{n+1} - 8g_{n+2} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Equation (3.14) is the composite corrector formula.

Using exponential fitting, the predictor formula gives

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{15 + 7q + q^2 + 16q \cdot (y_{n+1}/y_n)}{15 - 7q + q^2}$$

Using 1-1 pade approximation, we obtain

$$\frac{\bar{y}_{n+2}}{y_n} = \frac{30 + 31q + 11q^2 - q^3}{30 - 29q + 9q^2 - q^3} = \bar{R}(q) \quad (3.15)$$

substituting (3.15) into the corrector scheme (3.14) after using exponential fitting, we obtain the Predictor-Corrector formula of order 7 as

$$y_{n+2} = \frac{1 + \frac{1049}{1890}q + \frac{37}{315}q^2 - (\frac{34}{35}q + \frac{4}{105}q^2) \cdot [\bar{R}(q)]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{2}{945}[\bar{R}(q)]^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1 - \frac{33}{70}q + \frac{8}{105}q^2}$$

These formulas derived so far in chapters 2 and 3 are coded in fortran language to solve several system of Ordinary Differential Equations, among which are the standard stiff Initial Value Problems discussed in the next chapter.

CHAPTER FOUR

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS

4.1 INTRODUCTION

The formulas derived so far in the last two chapters are tested on several standard Stiff Initial Value Problems (IVPs) [2,6,7,8,11,16]. The aim of the computational analysis carried out in this chapter is firstly to show how these formulas compare favourably with other known methods and the accuracy of these formulas when compared with the exact solution. Secondly, to demonstrate the performance of the step control procedure. For system of stiff IVPs, the eigenvalues are obtained from the Jacobian matrix of the system.

All computations given in this thesis are coded in a Fortran 77 on a 12/286MX, Leading Technology (IBM compatible) computer of Lagos State University, Ojo; using double precision arithmetic.

4.2 NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

PROBLEM 1

Consider the system of stiff IVP

$$\begin{aligned} y' &= -y + 95z & y(0) &= 1 \\ z' &= -y - 97z & z(0) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad x \in [0,1] \quad (4.1)$$

Attempt will be made to demonstrate the efficiency of the formulas derived in chapters 2 and 3. Also given is the comparison of accuracy with other exponentially fitted schemes proposed by Kenu and Jackson [17] and Cash [2].

The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of system (4.1) are

$$\lambda_1 = -2 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = -96$$

and the general solution is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} y(x) &= A e^{\lambda_1 x} + B e^{\lambda_2 x} \\ z(x) &= C e^{\lambda_1 x} + D e^{\lambda_2 x} \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

however, imposing another initial conditions at the derivatives of y and z , we obtain from (4.1)

$$y'(0) = 94$$

$$z'(0) = -98$$

and $e^{\lambda_2 x} \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$

leaving us with $A = \frac{95}{47}$ and $C = \frac{-1}{47}$

Problem (4.1) is solved and tested on all our newly developed schemes of orders 2 to 7 using various step lengths.

For example, by using a step length $h = 0.0625$, we obtain

$$q = -0.125 \text{ because } q = \lambda_1 h$$

The procedure for obtaining a result required the evaluation of parameters a and r and the quantity $R(q)$. The program to solve this problem along with various output for orders two to seven are given in Appendix A.

Denote the second derivative two-step composite schemes derived in chapter 2 for orders 2 through 4 by SS2, SS3, and SS4 respectively. Furthermore, denote orders 5, 6 and 7 formulas involving pade-approximations by PS5, PS6 and PS7, while those involving exact-exponential fittings are denoted by ES5, ES6 and ES7 respectively.

Some results for the solution of problem 1 which are extracted from Appendix A are given in Table 4.1(a) below:

TABLE 4.1(a)

Accuracy table for MLMM on Problem 1

step size	method	max error y	max error z
0.03125	SS4	2.5×10^{-11}	2.6×10^{-13}
	SS2	5.6×10^{-17}	8.7×10^{-19}
	SS3	2.9×10^{-8}	3.1×10^{-10}
	SS4	4.4×10^{-16}	4.3×10^{-18}
	PS5	4.9×10^{-6}	5.2×10^{-8}
	ES5	5.6×10^{-17}	8.7×10^{-19}
	PS6	4.8×10^{-6}	4.8×10^{-8}
	PS7	6.0×10^{-3}	6.3×10^{-5}
	ES7	6.0×10^{-3}	6.3×10^{-5}
	SS4	1.1×10^{-16}	8.7×10^{-19}
0.125	ES5	1.1×10^{-16}	8.7×10^{-19}
	SS2	0.0000	0.0000

The results above show that formulas SS2, SS4 and ES5 gave the least error for this problem. 1-1 Pade-approximation integrated into the formulas of orders 5, 6 and 7 affected the accuracy of their solutions, hence rather than using 1-1 Pade-approximation to estimate y_{n+1}/y_n , an exponential function e^q is rather preferred since it is exact for the exponential fittings. Hence ES5 gave high accuracy as orders 2 and 4 and identical to method SS4 for $h = 0.125$. Methods PS7 and ES7 performed

poorly due to non existence of a free parameter which is an important factor in the derivation of other lower order schemes. Hence for a second derivative 2-step multistep methods, the maximal order 7 will perform poorly. However, orders 2 to 6 are sufficient to generate accurate solutions to any stiff problem for which exponential fitting is permitted.

We shall compare the results obtained by these methods with that of Cash [2] and Jackson and Kenu [17]. Let J-K denotes the method proposed by Jackson and Kenu, while SC4 and SC5 respectively denote the fourth and the fifth order schemes given by Cash for the case $k = 1$ of the MLMM. As shown in the Table 4.1(b) below, it will be observed that the methods proposed in this thesis are more accurate than the already existing ones.

TABLE 4.1(b)

Method	y(1)	$z(1) \times 10^{-2}$	Error y	Error z
$h = 0.0625$				
J-K	0.2725503	-0.2879477	3×10^{-7}	4×10^{-9}
SC4	0.2735498	-0.2879471	3×10^{-7}	3×10^{-9}
SC5	0.27355005	-0.28794742	1×10^{-8}	1×10^{-10}
SS2	0.2735500406	-0.287947411	6×10^{-17}	9×10^{-19}
SS4	0.2735500406	-0.287947411	3×10^{-16}	3×10^{-18}
ES5	0.2735500405	-0.287947411	6×10^{-17}	9×10^{-19}
PS6	0.2735465656	-0.287943753	4×10^{-6}	4×10^{-8}
$h = 0.03125$				
J-K	0.27355005	-0.28794742	1×10^{-8}	1×10^{-10}
SC4	0.27355003	-0.28794740	1×10^{-8}	1×10^{-10}
SS4	0.27355005	-0.287947402	2×10^{-17}	2×10^{-19}
True Sol.	0.2735500406	-0.287947411	---	---

Consider the 4×4 system of stiff Initial Value Problem

$$\begin{aligned} y_1' &= -10^4 y_1 + 100y_2 - 10y_3 + y_4 & y_1(0) &= 1 \\ y_2' &= -1000y_2 + 10y_3 - 10y_4 & y_2(0) &= 1 \\ y_3' &= -y_3 + 10y_4 & y_3(0) &= 1 \\ y_4' &= -0.1y_4 & y_4(0) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

Putting in the matrix form, we obtain the eigenvalues of the Jacobian as

$$\lambda_1 = -0.1, \quad \lambda_2 = -1, \quad \lambda_3 = -1000, \quad \lambda_4 = -10000$$

Following the argument in Problem 1, the exact solution will be of the form

$$y_i(x) = v_i e^{\lambda_1 x} + w_i e^{\lambda_2 x}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 4 \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$v_1 = \frac{-9900 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

~~$v_2 = \frac{-1000 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$~~ problem error

$$v_3 = \frac{-9 + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

$$v_4 = \frac{-0.1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

$$w_1 = \frac{9900 + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

~~$w_2 = \frac{1000 + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$~~ error

$$w_3 = \frac{9 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

$$w_4 = \frac{0.1 + \lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$$

The system (4.3) was considered by Enright and Pryce [8] and we adopted the error tolerance of 10^{-5} . The order 4 scheme which gives a very high accuracy in Problem 1 is used to solve the stiff system (4.3) over the interval $[0, 1]$. Both versions of order 7 formula, that is PS7 and ES7, perform poorly as given in Appendix B. The error for y at $x = 0.1$ is poor with

$$y_1 = 20.0, \quad y_2 = 2.0, \quad y_3 = 0.04, \quad y_4 = 0.04$$

However, the result obtained using the order 4 formula derived in Chapter 2 is given in Table 4.2 below.

TABLE 4.2

Efficiency of Order 4 Scheme

Step Size	$y_1(1)$	$y_2(1)$	$y_3(1)$	$y_4(1)$
0.05	-5910.942866	-595.6555	6.334079	0.90483742
0.1	-5910.942866	-595.6555	6.334079	0.90483742
True Sol.	-5910.942866	-595.6555	6.334079	0.90483742
		ERROR		
0.05	4.5×10^{-12}	4.5×10^{-13}	3.6×10^{-15}	2×10^{-16}
0.1	3.6×10^{-12}	3.4×10^{-13}	3.1×10^{-15}	1×10^{-16}

The results obtained by order 4 show that the error tolerance can be raised to 10^{-12} .

The results obtained at $x = 1$ for $h = 0.05$ involved 10 steps while for $h = 0.1$ required only 5 steps. However, the results obtained for $h = 0.1$ are more accurate.

PROBLEM 3 (SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION)

Dalquist and Björck [6] showed that there are some stiff problems for which Runge-Kutta (R-K) method is unsuitable. One of such problems is a second order differential equation

$$\begin{aligned}y'' + 1001 y' + 1000 y &= 0 \\y(0) = 1, \quad y'(0) = -1\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

This problem has a general solution given by

$$y(x) = A e^{-x} + B e^{-1000x}$$

and for solution in $[0,1]$ the exact solution is $y(x) = e^{-x}$.

By setting $y' = z$, a 2×2 system of stiff IVP is obtained, that is

$$\begin{aligned}y' &= z \\z' &= -1001 z - 1000 y\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

with initial conditions $y(0) = 1$, $z(0) = -1$.

Explicit 4th order R-K method explodes for $h = 0.0027$. Although this is unsatisfactory step size for describing the function e^{-x} however on trying it on the new methods much improved result is obtained. The performance of this R-K method is due to its explicit nature which are generally unsuitable for stiff problems.

The eigenvalues of the Jacobian matrix of (4.6) are $\lambda_1 = -1$, and $\lambda_2 = -1000$. This problem is solved (see Appendix C) using orders 3, 4 and 6 of the newly derived schemes and the results obtained at $x = 1$ are given in Table 4.3 below.

TABLE 4.3
Experimental Result on Second order ODE.

h	Formula	$y(1)$	Error
0.0027	R-K	0.367885	5.6×10^{-6}
	SS4	0.367879435	1.7×10^{-15}
0.05	SS3	0.367879435	2.0×10^{-10}
	SS4	0.367879435	1.7×10^{-16}
0.1	PS6	0.367879436	5.6×10^{-8}
	SS4	0.367879435	2.2×10^{-16}
	Exact Solution	0.367879435	----

It will be observed that for $h = 0.0027$ our new set of formulas including the less accurate order 6 method gave better accuracy than the explicit classical R-K formula of order 4.

Other results obtained for this problem are given in Appendix C.

PROBLEM 4 (NON-LINEAR WITH REAL EIGENVALUES)

Chemical Kinetic Problem (Test problem from Enright and Pryce [8])

Gear [11] discussed the application of stiffly-stable integration formulae based on backward difference approximation of the derivative and used the following example to illustrate the method.

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_1' &= -0.013 y_1 + 1000 y_1 y_3 & y_1(0) &= 1 \\
 y_2' &= 2500 y_2 y_3 & y_2(0) &= 1 \quad (4.7) \\
 y_3' &= 0.013y_1 - 1020y_1y_3 - 2500y_2y_3 & y_3(0) &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

This application is from chemical kinetic reaction and y represents the concentration of a very reactive species which is an intermediate in the course of the reaction and always stays small.

y_1 and y_2 are monotonically decreasing and increasing respectively while y_3 increases to a maximum and thereafter is monotonically decreasing. Hull and Watt [16] showed that y_3 is bounded above by 1.3×10^{-5} while Enright and Pryce [8] suggested the error tolerance as 2.9×10^{-4} .

The eigenvalues of system (4.7) are given by

$$\lambda_1 = 0, \quad \lambda_2 = -0.00928572 \text{ and } \lambda_3 = -3500.003714$$

The general solution of (4.7) is given by

$$y_i = A_i + B_i e^{\lambda_2 x} + C_i e^{\lambda_3 x} \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

for solution $x \in [0, 1]$, as $x \rightarrow 1$, $e^{\lambda_3 x} \rightarrow 0$.

Thus the exact solution is given by

$$y_i = A_i + B_i e^{\lambda_2 x}$$

where A_i and B_i are determined using the initial conditions.

The coded program and the set of solutions obtained for $x \in [0, 1]$ are given in Appendix D. The error values at $x = 1$ when compared to the exact solution are given in Table 4.4 below.

TABLE 4.4
Experimental results on non-linear stiff problem

h	method	Error $y_1(1)$	Error $y_2(1)$	Error $y_3(1)$
0.0625	SS2	-4.4×10^{-16}	4.4×10^{-16}	1.3×10^{-15}
	SS4	4.4×10^{-16}	-4.4×10^{-16}	-8.9×10^{-16}
0.1	SS2	-6.7×10^{-16}	6.7×10^{-16}	1.8×10^{-15}
	SS4	-6.7×10^{-16}	6.7×10^{-16}	1.8×10^{-15}

It will be observed from Table 4.4 that for a step length $h = 0.1$,

both schemes SS2 and SS4 are identical for y_1 , y_2 , and y_3 and very accurate when compared to the exact solution.

PROBLEM 5

A system of stiff problem which was considered both by Gear (see Hull et al [16]) and Enright [7] is discussed in this work. While these writers discussed function calls, Jacobian evaluations and matrix inversion for the efficiency of a method, the MLMM does not require any matrix inversion, instead a Predictor-Corrector formula of a given order is used. The Jacobian evaluation is only required for the determination of the eigenvalues.

Consider the system

$$\underline{y}' = \begin{bmatrix} -0.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -100 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1000 \end{bmatrix} \underline{y}, \underline{y}(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.8)$$

with $x \in [0, 10]$

The error tolerance given by Enright is $\tau = 10^{-3}$

The eigenvalues are the non zero elements in the leading diagonal of the system, while the exact solution is

$$y_i(x) = A_i e^{\lambda_i x} + B_i e^{\lambda_2 x}$$

where $\lambda_1 = -0.1$, $\lambda_2 = -10$ and the values of A_i and B_i determined from the initial conditions are given in Appendix E. For the purpose of comparison of results, Gear discussed variable-order, variable step stiffly-stable method, while Enright used a second derivative

scheme. Denote the error of our second and fourth order formulas respectively by ER2 and ER4.

The tables of values below give solutions to problem (4.8) in the range $x \in [0,10]$

TABLE 4.5(a)
Efficiency of order 2 and 4 scheme
 $h = 0.5$, $x \in [0,10]$

method	$y_1(10)$	$y_2(10)$	$y_3(10)$	$y_4(10)$
SS2	.3678794357	.37201x10	-3.344358	-36.7879435
SS4	.3678794357	.37201x10	-3.344358	-36.7879435
True Sol.	.3678794357	.37201x10	-3.344358	-36.7879435
ER2	3.3×10^{-16}	3.4×10^{-55}	3.1×10^{-15}	3.5×10^{-14}
ER4	1.7×10^{-16}	1.3×10^{-55}	1.3×10^{-15}	1.4×10^{-14}

TABLE 4.5(b)
Local error per unit step on various methods

method	steps	max local error/ unit step	Time/sec
GEAR	422	1.06×10^{-6}	0.72
ENRIGHT	125	0.44×10^{-6}	0.44
SS2	10	3.55×10^{-14}	0.50
SS4	10	1.42×10^{-14}	0.50

CHAPTER FIVE

CONCLUSION

5.1 SUMMARY

This research work shed some light into some manner by which accuracy can be improved for solution of stiff Initial Value Problems (IVPs). New algorithms have also been given to support this claim. In this thesis, solutions to stiff IVPs have been considered with methods based on an algorithm which allows exponential fitting. This suggests that the methods given in this thesis, basically are meant to solve stiff problems whose solutions can be expressed as exponential function.

In light of the work done, we observed that any of the schemes derived from orders 2 to 6 could be used for solutions of stiff IVPs. However, orders 2 and 4 seem to give higher accuracy than the others; though all the schemes still perform better than ~~some~~ of the existing methods for the same class of problems.

For order 3 formula, two parameters each were introduced as alternative approach to the predictor and the corrector schemes, thereby leading to two values for q . One of these is fixed while the other is varied for $q \in (-\infty, 0)$. This approach introduced by Cash [2] may give good accuracy, but the work involved may not justify better accuracy over the illustrated approach given here. Hence, the two approaches were given in chapter 2, but a good choice of the free parameters a and r led to better accuracy which made our approach preferable.

5.2 SUGGESTION FOR FURTHER WORK

The MLMM discussed in this thesis permits us, not only to evaluate the second derivative of the dependent variable y , but may be extended to third or fourth derivative formula. The approach may eventually be extended to a general l -th order derivative. Furthermore, a new class of stiff problems having solutions in the form of trigonometric or hyperbolic function may be adapted and fitted into the sum of exponential functions. Since stiff problems have solutions with fast decaying exponents, then a solution on the left half plane may then be obtained within a small interval for which any of these oscillatory functions is decreasing.

The choice of free parameters may improve the accuracy of some methods both for 1-step MLMM and 2-step MLMM. Thus like in order 3 of our scheme, we observed that the free parameters are well chosen, so as to obtain such high accuracy. Hence, the positioning of the free parameters will affect the accuracy of the methods.

Finally, there is still a need to extend the scope of this work to derivation of methods which may be efficient when dealing with a wider class of stiff problems. However, we conclude and affirm that the methods given in this thesis is capable of solving all systems of stiff problems for which exponential fitting is applicable.

APPENDIX A

PROGRAMS AND NUMERICAL VALUES FOR PROBLEM 1

Each program is for specific order k - scheme and the step length for each program is varied to obtain various solutions thereafter.

APPENDIX A

```
IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION YH(16),YN(16), EYX(16),EXZ(16)
OPEN(UNIT=1, FILE='PEC12', STATUS='NEW')
A1=0.5/17.0
C1=-1.0/47.0
D=-2.0
PHE=.25
Q=2.0
TO ESTIMATE X(.1) AND Z(.1) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-  
ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE P=2 ORDER 2 OF PROBLEM 1
APH=(1.0+EXP(2.*Q))/Q*2.0
APH=1.0+EXP(2.*Q)
A=APH/APD
RPH=(EXP(2.*Q)-1.0)/Q EXP(2.*Q)-1.0
RPD=1.0+EXP(2.*Q)*Q*.5+EXP(3.*Q)
R=RPH/RPD
RQH=1.1*(2.*A)*D
RQH=RQH/RPD
WRITE(3,901)
WRITE(3,85)D
WRITE(3,100)A,P,RQ
YH1=RQH*(RQH+1.5)+1.010.5804(2)*R
YD=1.0-0.5804(2)*R
YN2=YH1/YD
WRITE(3,20)YN2
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,2
H=H+0.5
Y=Y*YN2
YN(I)=Y*A1
ZN(I)=Y*C1
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.5
EYX(I)=A1*EXP(D*X)
ERY=EYX(I)-YN(I)
EXZ(I)=C1*EXP(D*X)
ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EYX(I),ERY
WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
CONTINUE
FORMAT(5X,'RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 2 h=0.25',/)
FORMAT(5X,'YN2= ',E18.12 '/')
FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ '2(F18.12,2X)/)
FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F10.12,/)
FORMAT(5X,'YH= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4;/)
FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4;/)
FORMAT(25X,' Q= ',F7.1,2X/)
STOP
END
```

RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 2 h=0.0625

Q= -1.1250

A = -1.041623328376 R = -.482344792021 RQ = .778800783071

VN2= .778800783071E+00

H= .125 Y= .778800783071

VN4= -1.574171795570 1.574171795570 .0000E+00

ZN= -.016570229427 +.016570229427 .0000E+00

H= .250 Y= .606530659713

VN= 1.2259066227079 1.2259066227079 .0000E+00

ZN= .012904907653 -.012904907653 .0000E+00

H= .375 Y= .472366552741

VN= -.954783457669 .954783457669 .0000E+00

ZN= .010050352186 -.010050352186 .0000E+00

H= .500 Y= .367879441171

VN= .743586104495 -.743586104495 .0000E+00

ZN= .007827222153 -.007827222153 .0000E+00

H= .625 Y= .286504796860

VN= -.579105440462 .579105440462 .0000E+00

ZN= .006095846742 -.006095846742 .0000E+00

H= .750 Y= .223130160143

VN= -.451007770513 .451007770513 .0000E+00

ZN= .004717456216 -.004717456216 .0000E+00

H= .875 Y= .173773943450

VN= -.351245264347 .351245264347 .35751E-16

ZN= .003697317946 -.003697317946 .3671E-16

H= 1.000 Y= .1357052893237

VN= -.273550046583 .273550046583 .5651E-16

ZN= .002879174111 -.002879174111 .6741E-16

RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 2 h=0.25

Qn = 15000

X = 1.172973113739 R = -0.604971602672 HQ = 136787944471

XN = 1.173444711100

H = 1.500 Yn = 1.36787944471

YN = .2713586104195 ZN = .743586104195 1.0000E+00

ZN = .007827222153 YN = .007827222153 1.0000E+00

H = 1.000 Yn = 1.135335203237

YN = .273550040585 ZN = .273550040585 1.0000E+00

ZN = .0028794741111 YN = .0028794741111 1.0000E+00

```

1      IMPLICIT_real*8 (a-h,o-z)
2      dimensionxn(13),zn(16),eyu(16),ezx(16)
3      open(3,file='valte')
4      a=95.0/47.0
5      c1=1.0447.0
6      d1=2.0
7      h=0.03125
8      i=1
9      iedzhb
10     c=to-evaluate.y(k)-and-z(k)-using multiderivative linear multis
11     method-for-the-case-k=2
12     a=ar1+1.0+2.0*xn4.0/3.0*x(qxx2)+exp(2.0*x4)*x(2.0*x(qxx2)-3.0)/3.0
13     ar2=ar1*x2exp(2.0*x4)-exp(2.0*x4)*exp(x4+1.0)
14     ar3=ar2*ard
15     ar4=ar1*x2exp(1.0*x4+qxx2)/3.0-exp(2.0*x4)*(1.0-qxx2)/3.0
16     ar5=3.0*x(qxx2)*x(3.0*xp(2.0*x4)+1.0)/4.0-q*x(pexp(3.0*x4)-1.0)
17     ar6=ar5*ard
18     ar7=ar1*(2.0-a)*xp(4.0/3.0-a)*x(qxx2)
19     ar8=ar7*ard
20     ar9=ar8*ard
21     write(3,85)ar1,ar2,ar3,ar4,ar5,ar6,ar7,ar8,ar9
22     write(3,10)a,r,rq
23     yndexxa(xn1,yd1,5)+1.0*(1.0-c)*xp(1.0/3.0+3.0*xr/4.0)*x(qxx2)
24     yndex1,yndex1,0.0+2.0*xr/4.0*x(qxx2)
25     yn2=yn1*yd
26     write(3,20)yn2
27     x=1.0
28     x=1.0
29     h=0.0
30     x=0.0
31     do 30 i=1,16
32       h=h+0.0625
33       xmxpxn2
34       xn(i)=xnad
35       xn10=xpxc1
36       write(3,40)h,y
37       y=x+0.0625
38       c=c(i)*ad*xp(d*x)
39       eyu=eyu(1)*xn(i)
40       lek=(i)*c1*xp(d*x)
41       lek=lek*(i)*xp(i)
42       write(3,65)xn(i),eyu(1),eyu
43       write(3,70)xn(i),eyu(i),eyz
44
45     continue
46     format(5k,f10.12)
47     format(5k,3(f10.12,2k))
48     format(5k,2he1.0e4,5k,1y1,f15.12,z)
49     format(5k,1y1,f13.12,5k,f15.12,5k,e12.5,z)
50     format(5k,2he1.0e12,5k,f15.12,5k,e12.5,z)
51     format(5k,4f15.10,2,z)
52
53   stop
54 end

```

TRE RESULT FOLLOWING IS FOR ORDER 4 SCHEME FOR h=0.03125

000009599	000009599	0000000398	00000003278
.004182438248	.1121495731331	.932496902649	
.882494902586			
0625	x=	.882496902586	
1.783770437982	.1.783770437975	.73475E-11	
.197765292677E-01	.019776529246	.77341E-13	
1250	x=	.773900793079	
1.574171886438	.1.574171886435	.12969E-10	
.165202289025E-01	.016520228902	.113631E-12	
1879	x=	.1687289278799	
1.389201813932	.1.389201813946	.117147E-10	
.146231756916E-01	.014623175681	.18070E-12	
500	x=	.606530659723	
.223966297965	.0.223966297944	.20200E-10	
129049072450E-01	.012904907245	.21263E-12	
125	x=	.535261429530	
.081911460543	.0.081911460521	.22263E-10	
.13885406719E-01	.011388540672	.123435E-12	
50	x=	.472366532753	
.954783512804	.0.954783512780	.23597E-10	
.00503519480E-01	.010050351960	.24339E-12	
75	x=	.416862017591	
.042352349213E-02	.0.04235234921360	.242295E-10	
.86950439362E-02	.0.0869504393	.25574E-12	
70	x=	.3628705441184	
.43636142442	.0.436361424412	.248503E-10	
.12219401192E-02	.0.12219401192	.25793E-12	
5	x=	.824652567370	

xne = .656212471923 .656212424900 .343276E-10
 zne = .690242208703E-02 .006902422087 .25608E-12
 h= .6250 y= .284504296972
 yne = .579105473819 .579105473809 .23854E-10
 zne = .602504654093E-02 .006025046549 .25440E-12
 h= .6875 y= .2528395926816
 yne = .511058297000 .511058296772 .23156E-10
 zne = .592956569809E-02 .005929565699 .24375E-12
 h= .7500 y= .223130160359
 yne = .451007796569 .451007796546 .22293E-10
 zne = .424745006503E-02 .004247450066 .23467E-12
 h= .8125 y= .196911675215
 yne = .398012983515 .398012983493 .21313E-10
 zne = .418960997629E-02 .004189609978 .22438E-12
 h= .8750 y= .173773948460
 yne = .351265225142 .351265225921 .29256E-10
 zne = .369231732309E-02 .003692317329 .21322E-12
 h= .9375 y= .153354966854
 yne = .309972823236 .309972823247 .19152E-10
 zne = .326207153109E-02 .003262071502 .20460E-12
 h= 1.0000 y= .135071835161
 yne = .273550066132 .273550066129 .16012E-10
 zne = .287247408149E-02 .00287247408129 .16013E-12

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16), EXY(16),EXZ(16)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='RES2', STATUS = 'NEW')
A1=95.0/47.0
C1=-1.0/47.0
D=-2.0
HH=.125
Q=D*HH
TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 4 OF PROBLEM 1
APN=1.0+2.0*Q+1.0/3.* (Q**2)+EXP(2.*Q)*(2.0*(Q**2)-3.)/3.0
APD=Q**2*EXP(2.*Q)+Q*EXP(2.0*Q)+Q*(Q+1.0)
A=APN/APD
RPN=1.0+Q*(Q**2)/3.0-EXP(2.*Q)*(1.0-Q+(Q**2)/3.)
RPD=3.* (Q**2)*(3.*EXP(2.*Q)+1.)/4.-Q*(EXP(3.*Q)-1.)
R=RPN/RPD
RQN=1.+ (2.-A)*Q+(4./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQD=1.-A*Q-(2./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,99)
WRITE(3,85)Q
WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
YN1=R*Q*(RQ**1.5)+1.+ (1.-R)*Q+(1./3.-3*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YD=1.-Q+(1./3.+9.0*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YN2=YN1/YD
WRITE(3,20)YN2
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 T=1,4
H=H+0.25
Y=Y*YN2
YN(T)=Y*A1
ZN(T)=Y*C1
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.25
EXY(T)=A1*EXP(D*X)
ERY=EXY(T)-YN(T)
EXZ(T)=C1*EXP(D*X)
ERZ=EXZ(T)-ZN(T)
WRITE(3,65)YN(T),EXY(T),ERY
WRITE(3,70)ZN(T),EXZ(T),ERZ
30 CONTINUE
99 FORMAT(5X,'RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 4 h=0.125',/)
20 FORMAT(5X,'YN2= ',E18.12/)
10 FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ '3(F18.12,2X),/)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)
65 FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.42,5X,'E10.4',/)
70 FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.42,5X,'E10.4',/)
85 FORMAT(25X,' Q= 'F7.4,2X,/)
100 STOP
END

```

RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 4 h=0.0625

Q= - .1250

A = 1.008329615677 R = .124500218663 HQ = .778800783071

YN2= .778800783071E+00

H= .125 Y= .778800783071

YN= .157417179557E+01 .157417179557E+01 .2220E-15

ZN= -.165702294271E-01 -.165702294271E-01 .3469E-17

H= .250 Y= .606530659713E+00

YN= .122596622708E+01 .122596622708E+01 .2220E-15

ZN= -.129049076535E-01 -.129049076535E-01 .3469E-17

H= .375 Y= .472366552741

YN= .954783457668E+00 .954783457668E+00 .2220E-15

ZN= -.100503521860E-01 -.100503521860E-01 .3469E-17

H= .500 Y= .367879441171

YN= .743586104495E+00 .743586104495E+00 .3331E-15

ZN= -.782722215258E-02 -.782722215258E-02 .3469E-17

H= .625 Y= .286504796860

YN= .579105440462E+00 .579105440462E+00 .4441E-15

ZN= -.609584674171E-02 -.609584674171E-02 .4337E-17

H= .750 Y= .223130160148

YN= .451007770513E+00 .451007770513E+00 .3331E-15

ZN= -.474745021592E-02 -.474745021592E-02 .3469E-17

H= .875 Y= .173773943450

YN= .351245204847E+00 .351245204847E+00 .3331E-15

ZN= -.369731794575E-02 -.369731794575E-02 .3469E-17

H= 1.000 Y= .135335283237

YN= .273550040585E+00 .273550040585E+00 .2776E-15

ZN= -.287947411142E-02 -.287947411142E-02 .3036E-17

RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 4 h=0.125

Q= -.2500

A = 1.016636987186 R = .130583414558 RQ = .606530659713

YN2= .606530659713E+00

H= .250 Y= .606530659713

YN= 1.225966227079 1.225966227079 .0000E+00

ZN= -.012904907653 -.012904907653 .0000E+00

H= .500 Y= .367879441171

YN= .743586104495 .743586104495 .0000E+00

ZN= -.007827222153 -.007827222153 .0000E+00

H= .750 Y= .223130160148

YN= .451007770513 .451007770513 -.1110E-15

ZN= -.004747450216 -.004747450216 .8674E-18

H= 1.000 Y= .135335283237

YN= .273550040585 .273550040585 .0000E+00

ZN= -.002879474111 -.002879474111 .0000E+00

EXPO. RES PRB 1. CASE K=2 ORDER 5 h=0.0025

Q= -1250

A = .460626999630	R = -.000183739779	RQ = .778800783071
YN2= .778800783071E100		
H= .125	Y= .778800783071	
YN= 1.574171795570	1.574171795570	.0000E+00
ZN= -.016570229427	-.016570229427	.0000E+00
H= .250	Y= .606530659713	.0000E+00
YN= 1.225906227079	1.225906227079	.0000E+00
ZN= -.012904907653	-.012904907653	.0000E+00
H= .375	Y= .472366552741	.0000E+00
YN= .954783457668	.954783457668	.0000E+00
ZN= -.010050352186	-.010050352186	.0000E+00
H= .500	Y= .367079441171	.0000E+00
YN= .743586104495	.743586104495	.0000E+00
ZN= -.007827222153	-.007827222153	.0000E+00
H= .625	Y= .286504796860	.0000E+00
YN= .579105440462	.579105440462	.0000E+00
ZN= -.006095846742	-.006095846742	.0000E+00
H= .750	Y= .223130160148	.0000E+00
YN= .451007770513	.451007770513	.0000E+00
ZN= -.004717450216	-.004717450216	.0000E+00
H= .875	Y= .173773943450	.0000E+00
YN= .351245204847	.351245204847	.5551E-16
ZN= -.003697317946	-.003697317946	.6674E-18
H= 1.000	Y= .135325283237	
YN= .273550040585	.273550040585	.5551E-16
ZN= -.002879474111	-.002879474111	.6674E-18

PADE-RESULT OF PROBL 1 FOR ORDER 5 h=0.0625

Q = -.1250

A = .466626999630E+00	R= -.183739778859E-03	RQ=.778818903546E+00
YN2= .778799489296E+00		
H= .125 Y= .778799489296		
YN= 1.574169180493	1.574171795570	.2615E-05
ZN= -.016570201900	-.016570229427	-.2753E-07
H= .250 Y= .606528644528		
YN= 1.225962153834	1.225966227079	.4073E-05
ZN= -.012904864777	-.012904907653	-.4288E-07
H= .375 Y= .472364198602		
YN= .954778699303	.954783457668	.4758E-05
ZN= -.010050302098	-.010050352186	-.5009E-07
H= .500 Y= .367876996633		
YN= .743581163408	.743586104495	.4941E-05
ZN= -.007827170141	-.007827222153	-.5201E-07
H= .625 Y= .286502417102		
YN= .579100630313	.579105440462	.4310E-05
ZN= -.006095796109	-.006095846742	-.5063E-07
H= .750 Y= .223127936121		
YN= .451003275139	.451007770513	.4495E-05
ZN= -.004747402896	-.004747450216	-.4732E-07
H= .875 Y= .173771922639		
YN= .351241120349	.351245204847	.4084E-05
ZN= -.003697274951	-.003697317946	-.4299E-07
H= 1.000 Y= .135333484652		
YN= .273546405148	.273550040585	.3635E-05
ZN= -.002879435844	-.002879474111	-.3827E-07

EXPO. RES PRB 1, CASE K=2 ORDER 5 h=0.125

Q= -.2500

A = .466508184129 R = -.000382298798 RQ = .606530659713

YN2= .606530659713E+00

H= .250 Y= .606530659713

YN= 1.225966227079 1.225966227079 .0000E+00

ZN= -.012904907653 -.012904907653 .0000E+00

H= .500 Y= .367879441171

YN= .743586104495 .743586104495 .0000E+00

ZN= -.007827222153 -.007827222153 .0000E+00

H= .750 Y= .223130160148

YN= .451007770513 .451007770513 -.1110E-15

ZN= -.004747450216 -.004747450216 .8674E-18

H= 1.000 Y= .135335283237

YN= .273550040585 .273550040585 .0000E+00

ZN= -.002879474111 -.002879474111 .0000E+00

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16), EXY(16),EXZ(16)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='EVALTE6', STATUS = 'NEW')
A1=95.0/47.0
C1=-1.0/47.0
D=-2.0
HH=0.05
Q=D*HH
C TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
C ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 6
APN=3.0+14.0*Q/5.+ (2./3.)*(Q**2)+(4.*Q/15.)*EXP(Q)*(12.0+7.
10*Q)+EXP(2.0*Q)*(4.*(Q**2)-45.0)/15.0
APD=Q*(3.0*Q)+4.*(Q**2)*EXP(Q)-Q*EXP(2.*Q)*(3.-Q)
A=APN/APD
RPN=1.+7.*Q/15.+ (Q**2)/15.+16.*Q*EXP(Q)/15.-EXP(2.*Q)*(1.0-
17.*Q/15.+ (Q**2)/15.0)
RPD=Q*(10.+3.*Q)+9.*Q*EXP(Q)*(1.+2.*Q)-9*Q*EXP(2.*Q)*(2.-Q)
1-Q*EXP(3.0*Q)
R=RPN/RPD
RQN=1.0+Q*(1.5-A)+(Q**2)*(41./45.-7.*A/6.)+(Q**3)*(.2-.5*A)
RQD=1.0-Q*(A+0.5)-(Q**2)*(4.0/45.-5.0*A/6.0)+(Q**3)*(2./45
1.0-A/6.0)
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,99)
WRITE(3,85)Q
WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
S=RQ**0.5
T=RQ**1.5
YN1=1.0+(7./15.-10.0*R)*Q+(1./15.-3.*R)*(Q**2)+R*Q*T+S*((1
16./15.-9.*R)*Q-18.*R*(Q**2))
YD=1.-(7/15.+18.*R)*Q+(1./15.+9.*R)*(Q**2)
YN2=YN1/YD
WRITE(3,20)YN2
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,10
H=H+0.1
Y=Y*YN2
YN(I)=Y*A1
ZN(I)=Y*C1
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.1
EXY(I)=A1*EXP(D*X)
ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
EXZ(I)=C1*EXP(D*X)
ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
30 CONTINUE
99 FORMAT(5X,'RESULT OF PRBLM(I: FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 6 h=.05',/)
20 FORMAT(5X,'YN2= ',E18.12)
10 FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ ',3(E12.6,2X)/)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)
65 FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
70 FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
85 FORMAT(25X,'Q = ',F6.4,2X/)
100 STOP
END

```

PADE-RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 6 h=.0625

$$Q = -.1250$$

$$A \ R \ H Q \quad .129658E-06 \quad .438503E-02 \quad .778819E+00$$

$$YN2= \quad .778799546412E+00$$

$$H= \quad .125 \quad Y= \quad .778799546412$$

$$YN= \quad 1.574169295939 \quad 1.574171795570 \quad .2500E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.016570203115 \quad -.016570229427 \quad -.2631E-07$$

$$H= \quad .250 \quad Y= \quad .606528733492$$

$$YN= \quad 1.225962333653 \quad 1.225966227079 \quad .3893E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.012904866670 \quad -.012904907653 \quad -.4098E-07$$

$$H= \quad .375 \quad Y= \quad .472364302529$$

$$YN= \quad .954778909367 \quad .954783457668 \quad .4548E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.010050304309 \quad -.010050352186 \quad -.4788E-07$$

$$H= \quad .500 \quad Y= \quad .367877104551$$

$$YN= \quad .743581381539 \quad .743586104495 \quad .4723E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.007827172437 \quad -.007827222153 \quad -.4972E-07$$

$$H= \quad .625 \quad Y= \quad .286502522160$$

$$YN= \quad .579100842663 \quad .579105440462 \quad .4598E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.006095798344 \quad -.006095846742 \quad -.4840E-07$$

$$H= \quad .750 \quad Y= \quad .223128034304$$

$$YN= \quad .451003473593 \quad .451007770513 \quad .4297E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.004747404985 \quad -.004747450216 \quad -.4523E-07$$

$$H= \quad .875 \quad Y= \quad .173772011908$$

$$YN= \quad .351241300664 \quad .351245204847 \quad .3904E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.003697276849 \quad -.003697317946 \quad -.4110E-07$$

$$H= \quad 1.000 \quad Y= \quad .135333564053$$

$$YN= \quad .273546565639 \quad .273550040585 \quad .3475E-05$$

$$ZN= \quad -.002879437533 \quad -.002879474111 \quad -.3658E-07$$

PADE-RESULT OF PROBLEM 1 FOR ORDER 6 h=.05

Q = -.1000

A R RQ .441857E-07 .435442E-02 .818738E+00

YN2= .818730334674E+00

H= .100 Y= .818730334674

YN= 1.654880463704 1.654881304481 .8408E-06

ZN= -.017419794355 -.017419803205 -.8850E-08

H= .200 Y= .670319360916

YN= 1.354900835894 1.354902212634 .1377E-05

ZN= -.014262114062 -.014262128554 -.1449E-07

H= .300 Y= .548810794702

YN= 1.109298414823 1.109300105591 .1691E-05

ZN= -.011676825419 -.011676843217 -.1780E-07

H= .400 Y= .449328045619

YN= .908216262422 .908218108134 .1846E-05

ZN= -.009560171183 -.009560190612 -.1943E-07

H= .500 Y= .367878501168

YN= .743584204489 .743586093415 .1889E-05

ZN= -.007827202153 -.007827222036 -.1988E-07

H= .600 Y= .301193288381

YN= .608794944600 .608796800426 .1856E-05

ZN= -.006408367838 -.006408387373 -.1954E-07

H= .700 Y= .246596081798

YN= .498438888741 .498440661399 .1773E-05

ZN= -.005246725145 -.005246743804 -.1866E-07

H= .800 Y= .201895692580

YN= .408087038193 .408088696855 .1659E-05

ZN= -.004295653034 -.004295670493 -.1746E-07

H= .900 Y= .165298127955

YN= .334113237356 .334114765103 .1528E-05

ZN= -.003516981446 -.003516997527 -.1608E-07

H= 1.000 Y= .135334591622 73

YN= .273548642640 .273550032432 .1390E-05

ZN=

-.002879459396

-.002879474026

-.1463E-07

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16), EXY(16),EXZ(16)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='RES8', STATUS = 'NEW')
H=95.0/47.0
C1=-1.0/47.0
D=-2.0
H=.0625
C=D*HH
EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
STEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 7 FOR PROBLEM 1
REPLACE Y(n+1)/Y(n) BY EXP Q
RQN=15.+7.*Q+Q**2+16.*Q*EXP(Q)
RQD=15.0-7.*Q+Q**2
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,99)
WRITE(3,10)Q,RQ
R1Q=RQ**0.5
R2Q=RQ**1.5
YN1=1.0+1049.*Q/1890.+37.* (Q**2)/315.+2.*R2Q/945.+R1Q*(34.*Q/35.+4.* (Q**2)/105.)
YD=1.-33.*Q/70.+8*(Q**2)/105.
YN2=YN1/YD
WRITE(3,20)YN2
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,8
H=H+0.125
Y=Y*YN2
YN(I)=Y*A1
ZN(I)=Y*C1
WRITE(3,40)I,Y
X=X+0.125
EXY(I)=A1*EXP(D*X)
ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
EXZ(I)=C1*EXP(D*X)
ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
CONTINUE
FORMAT(5X,'EXP FN RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=.0625 OF PROBLEM 1',
/)
FORMAT(5X,' YN2 = ',E18.12/)
FORMAT(5X,' Q= ',F10.4,5X,'RQ= 'F18.12,/ )
FORMAT(5X,' H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/ )
FORMAT(5X,' YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/ )
FORMAT(5X,' ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/ )
FORMAT(5X,4F15.10,2X/)
STOP
END

```

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16), EXY(16),EXZ(16)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='RES7',STATUS = 'NEW')
A1=95.0/47.0
C1=-1.0/47.0
D=-2.0
HH=.005
Q=D*HH
TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 7 ON PROBLEM 1
RQN=30.+31.*Q+11.*(Q**2)-Q**3
RQD=30.0-29.*Q+9.*(Q**2)-Q**3
EVALUATE R(q) USING PADE APPROXIMATION FOR Y(n+1)/Y(n)
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,99)
WRITE(3,10)Q,RQ
R1Q=RQ**0.5
R2Q=RQ**1.5
YN1=1.0+1049.*Q/1890.+37.* (Q**2)/315.+2.*R2Q/945.+R1Q*(34.*1Q/35.+4.* (Q**2)/105.)
YD=1.-33.*Q/70.+8*(Q**2)/105.
YN2=YN1/YD
WRITE(3,20)YN2
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,20
H=H+0.01
Y=Y*YN2
YN(I)=Y*A1
ZN(I)=Y*C1
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.02
EXY(I)=A1*EXP(D*X)
ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
EXZ(I)=C1*EXP(D*X)
ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
30 CONTINUE
99 FORMAT(5X,'PADE APPROX.RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=0.005 OF
1PROBLEM 1',/)
20 FORMAT(5X,'YN2= ',F18.12)
10 FORMAT(5X,'Q= ',F10.4,5X,'RQ= ',F18.12,/)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)
65 FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
70 FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
100 STOP
END

```

EXP FN RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=0.0625 OF PROBLEM 1

Q= - .1250 RQ= .778800783156

YN2 = .780361932486E+00

H= .125 Y= .780361932486

YN= 1.577327310344 1.574171795570 -.3156E-02

ZN= -.016603445372 -.016570229427 .3322E-04

H= .250 Y= .608964745673

YN= 1.230886188063 1.225966227079 -.4920E-02

ZN= -.012956696716 -.012904907653 .5179E-04

H= .375 Y= .475212905749

YN= .960536724387 .954783457668 -.5753E-02

ZN= -.010110912888 -.010050352186 .6056E-04

H= .500 Y= .370838061473

YN= .749566294466 .743586104495 -.5980E-02

ZN= -.007890171521 -.007827222153 .6295E-04

H= .625 Y= .289387906290

YN= .584933002076 .579105440462 -.5828E-02

ZN= -.006157189496 -.006095846742 .6134E-04

H= .750 Y= .225827305791

YN= .456459447875 .451007770513 -.5452E-02

ZN= -.004804836293 -.004747450216 .5739E-04

H= .875 Y= .176227032755

YN= .356203576845 .351245204847 -.4958E-02

ZN= -.003749511335 -.003697317946 .5219E-04

H= 1.000 Y= .137520867837

YN= .277967711585 .273550040585 -.4418E-02

ZN= -.002925975911 -.002879474111 .4650E-04

PAGE APPROX. RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=0.0625 OF PROBLEM 1

EXP FN RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=0.005 OF PROBLEM 1

Q. .0100 RQ=.980108673715

YH2 = .982263325691E+00

H. .010 Y= .982263325691

YH2 1.985125871077 1.981252638421 .4173E-02

ZH .020899219696 -.020855290031 .4393E-04

H. .020 Y= .964841240997

YH2 1.950211019037 1.942021203534 .8190E-02

ZH .020528537042 -.020142328511 .8621E-04

H. .030 Y= .917720166146

YH2 1.915620761359 1.903566612983 .1205E-01

ZH .020164429067 .020037513295 .1209E-03

H. .040 Y= .930918020329

YH2 1.881614019815 1.865873169437 .1577E-01

ZH .019300779156 .019640773362 .1660E-03

H. .050 Y= .914407219952

YH2 1.848269912669 1.828926700118 .1931E-01

ZH .019155172765 .019251860001 .2036E-03

H. .060 Y= .898188676906

YH2 1.815187751193 1.792711525832 .2276E-01

ZH .019116397381 .018870647640 .2397E-03

H. .070 Y= .832257796876

YH2 1.783267036236 1.757213460023 .2607E-01

ZH .018771442487 -.018196933790 .2745E-03

H. .080 Y= .866600477676

YH2 1.751657454877 1.722418303006 .2924E-01

ZH .018138499525 -.018130718979 .3078E-03

H=	.100	Y=	.661239707617	
VH=	1.720560377093		1.690312136211	.3226E-01
ZH=	.018111161964		.017771706607	.3398E-03
H=	.100	Y=	.836140563901	
YH=	1.690071952566		1.654801316811	.3519E-01
ZH=	.017790221764		.017419803335	.3704E-03
H=	.110	Y=	.821310211042	
VH=	1.660005107426		1.622112471943	.3798E-01
ZH=	.017171685311		.017074863126	.3998E-03
H=	.120	Y=	.800742899322	
YH=	1.630650511183		1.589992493664	.4066E-01
ZH=	.017161742539		.016736703091	.4230E-03
H=	.130	Y=	.792133963266	
VH=	1.601729223623		1.558500533551	.4322E-01
ZH=	.016800297091		.016465352985	.4549E-03
H=	.140	Y=	.776379320118	
YH=	1.572318391788		1.527647997610	.4567E-01
ZH=	.016561251493		.016080505233	.4807E-03
H=	.150	Y=	.761572960526	
VH=	1.5415413117920		1.497306541206	.4801E-01
ZH=	.016287509969		.015762089907	.5054E-03
H=	.160	Y=	.751611986790	
VH=	1.511609952030		1.467748064158	.5025E-01
ZH=	.015933734413		.015119979623	.5290E-03
H=	.170	Y=	.737691531761	
VH=	1.491056626977		1.432631765379	.5239E-01
ZH=	.01565561506		.015114619736	.5515E-03

H= .180	Y= .724607337346		
YN= -.010973489411	-.010565644927	.4078E-03	
ZN= -.015417177390	-.014844177270	.5730E-03	
H= .190	Y= .711755213001		
YN= -.010778856203	-.010356131145	.4224E-03	
ZN= -.010565420555	-.010151360073	.4141E-03	
H= .200	Y= .699131042600		
YN= -.010587675141	-.015113334187	.4526E-02	
ZN= -.010378025131	-.014814070126	.4436E-02	
H= .210	Y= .686730782938		
YN= -.010399884995	-.015111800056	.4712E-02	
ZN= -.010193953480	-.014812566373	.4619E-02	
H= .220	Y= .674550462762		
YN= -.010215425622	-.015110266081	.4895E-02	
ZN= -.010013146647	-.014811062772	.4798E-02	
H= .230	Y= .662586180899		
YN= -.010034237945	-.015108732261	.5074E-02	
ZN= -.009835546726	-.014809559324	.4974E-02	
H= .240	Y= .650834105607		
YN= -.009856263935	-.015107198597	.5251E-02	
ZN= -.009661096837	-.014808056029	.5147E-02	
H= .250	Y= .639290473047		
YN= -.009681446591	-.015105665089	.5424E-02	
ZN= -.009489741109	-.014806552086	.5317E-02	

PADE APPROX. RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=0.005 OF PROBLEM 1

Q=	.0100	RQ=	.980198074621
YN2=	.982203325689		
H=	.010	Y=	.982203325609
YN=	1.985125871074	1.942021208534	.4340E-01
ZR=	.020399219696	.020442328511	.4569E-03
H=	.020	Y=	.964841210994
YN=	1.950211019031	1.865873469437	.8434E-01
ZN=	.020528537642	.019640773362	.8878E-03
H=	.030	Y=	.917728166141
YN=	1.915620761350	1.792711525832	.1229E+00
ZN=	.020464120067	.018870647610	.1294E-02
H=	.040	Y=	.930913620324
YN=	1.884611019802	1.722418303066	.1592E+00
ZN=	.019806779156	.018130718970	.1670E-02
H=	.050	Y=	.911107219945
YN=	1.848269912655	1.654881316311	.1934E+00
ZN=	.019117172765	.017419803335	.2036E-02
H=	.060	Y=	.893186676898
YN=	1.815107754476	1.580902493664	.2255E+00
ZN=	.019416757331	.016736763091	.2374E-02
H=	.070	Y=	.862267796366
YN=	1.783237036249	1.527647997610	.2550E+00
ZN=	.019774442437	.016080505235	.2694E-02
H=	.080	Y=	.800609477065
YN=	1.751057454655	1.467748064153	.2839E+00
ZN=	.018436499525	.015449979623	.2989E-02

H= .090	Y=	.851238707605	
YNz	1.720588877071	1.410196840640	.3104E+00
ZNz	.013144161364	.014814177270	.3267E-02
H= .100	Y=	.836140563868	
YNz	1.690071352530	1.354902232824	.3352E+00
ZNz	.017790224764	.011262428767	.3528E-02
H= .110	Y=	.821310211020	
YNz	1.660095107397	1.301775757545	.3583E+00
ZNz	.017471685341	-.013702902711	.3772E-02
H= .120	Y=	.806742899307	
YNz	1.630650511153	1.250732401112	.3799E+00
ZNz	.017104712538	-.013165604222	.3999E-02
H= .130	Y=	.792433963250	
YNz	1.601723223590	1.201690483269	.4000E+00
ZNz	.016860297000	-.012649372508	.4214E-02
H= .140	Y=	.778376620131	
YNz	1.573348891754	1.154571526487	.4187E+00
ZNz	.016561251492	-.012153384189	.4108E-02
H= .150	Y=	.764572968508	
YNz	1.545113146934	1.109300130386	.4361E+00
ZNz	.016267509968	-.011676843178	.4591E-02
H= .160	Y=	.751041936779	
YNz	1.516661952000	1.065803651073	.4522E+00
ZNz	.0159736973442	-.011218937986	.4700E-02
H= .170	Y=	.737691531766	
YNz	1.481076626033	1.021013065239	.4671E+00
ZNz	.015693561506	-.010779085108	.4916E-02

APPENDIX B

PROGRAMS AND NUMERICAL VALUES FOR PROBLEM 2

Each program is for specific order k - scheme and the step length for each program is varied to obtain various solutions thereafter.

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSTON Y1N(16),Y2N(16), Y3N(16),Y4N(16),EXY1(16),EXY2(16
1),EXY3(16),EXY4(16),D(3),Q1(6),C(6),V(5),W(5),YP(3)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='SL3', STATUS = 'NEW')
D(1)=-0.1
D(2)=-1.0
P=D(1)-D(2)
V(1)=(-9909.0-D(2))/P
V(2)=(-1000.0-D(2))/P
V(3)=(9.0-D(2))/P
V(4)=(-0.1-D(2))/P
W(1)=(9909.0+D(1))/P
W(2)=(1000.0+D(1))/P
W(3)=(-9.0+D(1))/P
W(4)=(0.1+D(1))/P
WRITE(3,99)
WRITE(3,90)V(1),V(2),V(3),V(4)
WRITE(3,91)W(1),W(2),W(3),W(4)
HH=0.1
DO 31 K=1,2
Q1(K)=D(K)*HH
Q=Q1(K)
TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERTIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
TSTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 4 ON PROBLEM 2
APN=1.0+2.0*Q+4.0/3.*(Q**2)+EXP(2.*Q)*(2.0*(Q**2)-3.)/3.0
APD=Q**2*EXP(2.*Q)-Q*EXP(2.0*Q)+Q*(Q+1.0)
A=APN/APD
RPN=1.0+Q+(Q**2)/3.0-EXP(2.*Q)*(1.0-Q+(Q**2)/3.)
RPD=3.*(Q**2)*(3.*EXP(2.*Q)+1.)/4.-Q*(EXP(3.*Q)-1.)
R=RPN/RPD
RQN=1.+ (2.-A)*Q+(4./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQD=1.-A*Q-(2./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,11)Q
WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
IF(RQ.LT.0.0)GO TO 55
55 RQ=ABS(RQ)
T=RQ**1.5
YN1=R*Q*T+1.+ (1.-R)*Q+(1./3.-3*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YD=1.-Q+(1./3.+9.0*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YP(K)=YN1/YD
31 CONTINUE
WRITE(3,20)YP(1),YP(2)
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 T=1,5
H=H+0.2
Y=Y*YP(1)
Z=Z*YP(2)
Y1N(T)=V(1)*Y+W(1)*Z
Y2N(T)=V(2)*Y+W(2)*Z
Y3N(T)=V(3)*Y+W(3)*Z
Y4N(T)=V(4)*Y+W(4)*Z
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.2
EXY1(T)=V(1)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(1)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER1=EXY1(T)-Y1N(T)
EXY2(T)=V(2)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(2)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER2=EXY2(T)-Y2N(T)
EXY3(T)=V(3)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(3)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER3=EXY3(T)-Y3N(T)
EXY4(T)=V(4)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(4)*EXP(D(2)*X)

```

```
ER4=EXY4(I)-Y4N(I)
WRITE(3,65)Y1N(I),EXY1(I),ER1
WRITE(3,70)Y2N(I),EXY2(I),ER2
WRITE(3,80)Y3N(I),EXY3(I),ER3
WRITE(3,75)Y4N(I),EXY4(I),ER4
30 CONTINUE
99 FORMAT(5X,'RESULT FOR ORDER 4 h=0.1 ON PROBLEM 2',/)
90 FORMAT(5X,'V(I)=',4(E12.4,2X)//)
91 FORMAT(5X,'W(I)=',4(E12.4,2X)//)
20 FORMAT(5X,'Y(I)=',2F18.12,2X//)
10 FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ',3(E15.6,2X)//)
11 FORMAT(5X,' Q = ',2(F10.4,2X)//)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/,)
65 FORMAT(5X,'Y1= ',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)
70 FORMAT(5X,'Y2= ',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)
80 FORMAT(5X,'Y3= ',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)
75 FORMAT(5X,'Y4= ',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)
100 STOP
END
```

RESULT FOR ORDER 4 h=0.05 ON PROBLEM 2

V(I) = -.1101E+05 - .1111E+04 .1000E+02 -.1111E+00

W(I) = .1101E+05 .1111E+04 -.1000E+02 .1111E+00

A R RQ .100033E+01 .118728E+00 .990050E+00

A R RQ .100333E+01 .120898E+00 .904837E+00

Y(I) = .990049833454 .904837416688

H= .100 Y= .990049833454

Y1= -938.188710152108 -938.188710152108 .0000E+00

Y2= -94.680463230609 -94.680463230609 .0000E+00

Y3= .852124169075 .852124169075 .0000E+00

Y4= -.009468046464 -.009468046464 .0000E+00

H= .200 Y= .980198672723

Y1= -1777.761825094169 -1777.761825094169 .0000E+00

Y2= -179.408802613197 -179.408802613197 .0000E+00

Y3= 1.614679223519 1.614679223519 .0000E+00

Y4= -.017940880529 -.017940880529 .0000E+00

H= .300 Y= .970445532681

Y1= -2528.196745758465 -2528.196745758464 .1364E-11

Y2= -255.141461878945 -255.141461878945 .1137E-12

Y3= 2.296273156911 2.296273156911 -.1332E-14

Y4= -.025514146568 -.025514146568 .1388E-16

H= .400 Y= .960789438007

Y1= -3198.068054888938 -3198.068054888937 .1364E-11

Y2= -322.743773830754 -322.743773830754 .1137E-12

Y3= 2.904693964477 2.904693964477 -.1332E-14

Y4= -.032274377864 -.032274377864 .1388E-16

H= .500 Y= .951229423083

Y1= -3795.133440748525 -3795.133440748522 .2728E-11

Y2= -382.998631622618 -382.998631622618 .2274E-12

Y3= 3.446987684604 3.446987684604 -.2220E-14

Y4= -.038299863733 -.038299863733 .2776E-16

H= .600 Y= .941764531900

Y1=	-4326.411444013024	-4326.411444013021	.3638E-11
Y2=	-436.614334848423	-436.614334848423	.3979E-12
Y3=	3.929529013636	3.929529013636	-.3109E-14
Y4=	-.043661434135	-.043661434135	.3469E-16
H=	.700	Y= .932393817961	
Y1=	-4798.251805979109	-4798.251805979105	.4547E-11
Y2=	-484.231688967515	-484.231688967515	.3979E-12
Y3=	4.358085200708	4.358085200708	-.4441E-14
Y4=	-.048423169618	-.048423169618	.4163E-16
H=	.800	Y= .923116344186	
Y1=	-5216.399122165437	-5216.399122165433	.3638E-11
Y2=	-526.430429121550	-526.430429121549	.4547E-12
Y3=	4.737873862094	4.737873862094	-.3553E-14
Y4=	-.052643043697	-.052643043697	.4857E-16
H=	.900	Y= .913931182820	
Y1=	-5586.050438383845	-5586.050438383841	.4547E-11
Y2=	-563.735032635366	-563.735032635366	.4547E-12
Y3=	5.073615293718	5.073615293718	-.3553E-14
Y4=	-.056373504104	-.056373504104	.4163E-16
H=	1.000	Y= .904837415339	
Y1=	-5911.907365731702	-5911.907365731698	.4547E-11
Y2=	-596.619978376395	-596.619978376395	.4547E-12
Y3=	5.369579805388	5.369579805388	-.4441E-14
Y4=	-.059661998727	-.059661998727	.4857E-16

RESULT FOR ORDER 4 h=0.1 ON PROBLEM 2

V(I)=	-.1101E+05	-.1111E+04	.1000E+02	-.1111E+00
W(I)=	.1101E+05	.1111E+04	-.1000E+02	.1111E+00
A R RQ	.100067E+01	.118993E+00	.980199E+00	
A R RQ	.100666E+01	.123295E+00	.818731E+00	
YP(I)=	.980198672723	.818730750638		
H= .200	Y= .980198672723			
Y1= -1777.761825094168	-1777.761825094169		-.1137E-11	
Y2= -179.408802613197	-179.408802613197		-.1137E-12	
Y3= 1.614679223519	1.614679223519		.1110E-14	
Y4= -.017940880529	-.017940880529		-.1041E-16	
H= .400	Y= .960789438007			
Y1= -3198.068054888935	-3198.068054888937		-.2274E-11	
Y2= -322.743773830753	-322.743773830754		-.2842E-12	
Y3= 2.904693964477	2.904693964477		.2220E-14	
Y4= -.032274377864	-.032274377864		-.2082E-16	
H= .600	Y= .941764531900			
Y1= -4326.411444013019	-4326.411444013021		-.1819E-11	
Y2= -436.614334848423	-436.614334848423		-.2274E-12	
Y3= 3.929529013636	3.929529013636		.2220E-14	
Y4= -.043661434135	-.043661434135		-.2082E-16	
H= .800	Y= .923116344186			
Y1= -5216.399122165430	-5216.399122165433		-.3638E-11	
Y2= -526.430429121549	-526.430429121549		-.3411E-12	
Y3= 4.737873862094	4.737873862094		.3553E-14	
Y4= -.052643043697	-.052643043697		-.3469E-16	
H= 1.000	Y= .904837415339			
Y1= -5911.907365731694	-5911.907365731698		-.3638E-11	
Y2= -596.619978376395	-596.619978376395		-.3411E-12	
Y3= 5.369579805388	5.369579805388		.2665E-14	
Y4= -.059661998727	-.059661998727		-.3469E-16	

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION Y1N(16),Y2N(16), Y3N(16),Y4N(16),EXY1(16),EXY2(16
1),EXY3(16),EXY4(16),D(3),Q1(6),C(6),V(5),W(5),YP(3)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='SL1', STATUS = 'NEW')
D(1)=-0.1
D(2)=-1.0
P=D(1)-D(2)
V(1)=(-9909.0-D(2))/P
V(2)=(-1000.0-D(2))/P
V(3)=(9.0-D(2))/P
V(4)=(-0.1-D(2))/P
W(1)=(9909.0+D(1))/P
W(2)=(1000.0+D(1))/P
W(3)=(-9.0+D(1))/P
W(4)=(0.1+D(1))/P
WRITE(3,99)
WRITE(3,90)V(1),V(2),V(3),V(4)
WRITE(3,91)W(1),W(2),W(3),W(4)
HII=0.005
DO 31 K=1,2
Q1(K)=D(K)*HII
Q=Q1(K)
C TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
C ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 7
C EXPONENTIAL FUNCTION IS USED FOR PROBLEM 2
RQN=15.+7.*Q+Q**2+16.*Q*EXP(Q)
RQD=15.-7.*Q+Q**2
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,10)Q,
WRITE(3,11)RQ
IF(RQ.LT.0.0)GO TO 55
55 RQ=ABS(RQ)
T1=RQ**0.5
T=RQ**1.5
YN1=1.+1049.*Q/1890.+37.* (Q**2)/315.+2.*T/945.+T1*(34.*Q/35
1.+4.* (Q**2)/105.)
YD=1.-33.*Q/70.+8.* (Q**2)/105.
YP(K)=YN1/YD
31 CONTINUE
WRITE(3,20)YP(1),YP(2)
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,20
H=H+0.01
Y=Y*YP(1)
Z=Z*YP(2)
Y1N(I)=V(1)*Y+W(1)*Z
Y2N(I)=V(2)*Y+W(2)*Z
Y3N(I)=V(3)*Y+W(3)*Z
Y4N(I)=V(4)*Y+W(4)*Z
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.01
EXY1(I)=V(1)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(1)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER1=EXY1(I)-Y1N(I)
EXY2(I)=V(2)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(2)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER2=EXY2(I)-Y2N(I)
EXY3(I)=V(3)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(3)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER3=EXY3(I)-Y3N(I)
EXY4(I)=V(4)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(4)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER4=EXY4(I)-Y4N(I)
WRITE(3,65)Y1N(I),EXY1(I),ER1
WRITE(3,70)Y2N(I),EXY2(I),ER2

```

```
      WRITE(3,80)Y3N(I),EXY3(I),ER3
      WRITE(3,75)Y4N(I),EXY4(I),ER4
30 CONTINUE
99 FORMAT(5X,'RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=0.005 ON PROBLEM 2',/)
90 FORMAT(5X,'V(I)=',4(E12.4,2X)/)
91 FORMAT(5X,'W(I)=',4(E12.4,2X)/)
20 FORMAT(5X,'YP(I)=',2F18.12,2X/)
10 FORMAT(5X,'Q = ',2F10.4,5X,2X/)
11 FORMAT(5X,'RQ = ',E15.6,2X/)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)

65 FORMAT(5X,'Y1=',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

70 FORMAT(5X,'Y2=',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

80 FORMAT(5X,'Y3=',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

75 FORMAT(5X,'Y4=',F18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

100 STOP
      END
```

RESULT FOR ORDER 7 h=0.05 ON PROBLEM 2

V(I)=	-.1101E+05	-.1111E+04	.1000E+02	-.1111E+00
W(I)=	.1101E+05	.1111E+04	-.1000E+02	.1111E+00
RQ	.990050E+00			
RQ	.904837E+00			
YP(I)=	.992140220779	.906706869786		
H= .100	Y= .992140220779			
Y1= -940.621195996117	-938.188710152108	.2432E+01		
Y2= -94.925945705532	-94.680463230609	.2455E+00		
Y3= .854333511350	.852124169075	-.2209E-02		
Y4= -.009492594712	-.009468046464	.2455E-04		
H= .200	Y= .984342217688			
Y1=-1786.095821341269	-1777.761825094169	.8334E+01		
Y2= -180.249855822108	-179.408802613197	.8411E+00		
Y3= 1.622248702399	1.614679223519	-.7569E-02		
Y4= -.018024985851	-.017940880529	.8411E-04		
H= .300	Y= .976605505180			
Y1=-2545.358505377342	-2528.196745758464	.1716E+02		
Y2= -256.873398463754	-255.141461878945	.1732E+01		
Y3= 2.311860586174	2.296273156911	-.1559E-01		
Y4= -.025687340229	-.025514146568	.1732E-03		
H= .400	Y= .968929601523			
Y1=-3226.509881192059	-3198.068054888937	.2844E+02		
Y2= -325.614076212742	-322.743773830754	.2870E+01		
Y3= 2.930526685915	2.904693964477	-.2583E-01		
Y4= -.032561408106	-.032274377864	.2870E-03		
H= .500	Y= .961314028775			
Y1=-3836.894395329803	-3795.133440748522	.4176E+02		
Y2= -387.213078547765	-382.998631622618	.4214E+01		
Y3= 3.484917706930	3.446987684604	-.3793E-01		
Y4= -.038721308432	-.038299863733	.4214E-03		
H= .600	Y= .953758312747			

Y1=-4383.170858362740	-4326.411444013021	.5676E+02
Y2= -442.342401691668	-436.614334848423	.5728E+01
Y3= 3.981081615225	3.929529013636	-.5155E-01
Y4= -.044234240828	-.043661434135	.5728E-03
H=.700 Y= .946261982979		
Y1=-4871.376413550332	-4798.251805979105	.7312E+02
Y2= -491.611304223467	-484.231688967515	.7380E+01
Y3= 4.424501738011	4.358085200708	-.6642E-01
Y4= -.049161131155	-.048423169618	.7380E-03
H=.800 Y= .938824572708		
Y1=-5306.984537634598	-5216.399122165433	.9059E+02
Y2= -535.572160423312	-526.430429121549	.9142E+01
Y3= 4.820149443810	4.737873862094	-.8228E-01
Y4= -.053557216840	-.052643043697	.9142E-03
H=.900 Y= .931445618840		
Y1=-5694.957630532069	-5586.050438383841	.1089E+03
Y2= -574.725767537801	-563.735032635366	.1099E+02
Y3= 5.172531907840	5.073615293718	-.9892E-01
Y4= -.057472577610	-.056373504104	.1099E-02
H= 1.000 Y= .924124661920		
Y1=-6039.794698741433	-5911.907365731698	.1279E+03
Y2= -609.526157911135	-596.619978376395	.1291E+02
Y3= 5.485735421200	5.369579805388	-.1162E+00
Y4= -.060952616699	-.059661998727	.1291E-02

APPENDIX C

PROGRAMS AND NUMERICAL VALUES FOR PROBLEM 3

Each program is for specific order k - scheme and the step length for each program is varied to obtain various solutions thereafter.

```

      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
      DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16),EXY(16),EXZ(16)
      OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='RS1', STATUS = 'NEW')
      A1=1.0
      C1=-1.0
      D=-1.0
      HH=0.05
      Q=D*HH
C     TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MU
C     TSTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 3 PROBLEM 3
      APN=(EXP(2.*Q)-1.)/Q-2.-Q*(EXP(2.*Q)+1.)
      APD=-1.-Q+(1.-Q)*EXP(2.*Q)
      A=APN/APD
      RPN=(EXP(2.*Q)-1.)/Q-1.-EXP(2.*Q)+Q*(EXP(2.*Q)-1.)/3.
      RPD=EXP(3.*Q)+EXP(2.*Q)*(4.+5.*Q)/4.-(8.+15.*Q)/4.
      R=RPN/RPD
      RQN=1.0+Q*(2.0-A)+(Q**2)*(1.0-A)
      RQD=1.0-A*Q-(1.0-A)**(Q+1)
      RQ=RQN/RQD
      WRITE(3,99)
      WRITE(3,85)Q
      WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
      T=RQ**1.5
      YN1=4.*R*Q*T-R*Q*(8.+15.*Q)+4.*(1.+Q+(Q**2)/3.)
      YD=4.*(-1.-Q+(Q**2)/3.)-R*Q*(4.+5.*Q)
      YN2=YN1/YD
      WRITE(3,20)YN2
      V=1.0
      Z=-1.0
      H=0.0
      X=0.0
      DO 30 I=1,10
      H=H+0.1
      Y=Y*YN2
      YN(I)=Y*A1
      ZN(I)=Y*C1
      WRITE(3,40)H,Y
      X=X+0.1
      EXY(I)=A1*EXP(D*X)
      ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
      EXZ(I)=C1*EXP(D*X)
      ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
      WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
      WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
30    CONTINUE
99    FORMAT(5X,'RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 3 USIN'
100   G1=0.05',/)
20    FORMAT(5X,'YN2= ',E18.12 '/')
10    FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ ',3(E12.6,2X)/)
40    FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F6.4,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)
65    FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
70    FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
85    FORMAT(25X,'Q= ',F7.4,2X/)
100   STOP
END

```

RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 3 USING h = 0.05

Q# 0500

A	B	RQ	.190000E+02	.255324E-05	.904547E+00
YH2			.904837416637E+00		
H#	.1000	Y#		.904837416637	
YN4			.904837416637		.5040E-10
ZN#			.904837416637	- .904837416638	- .5040E-10
H#	.2000	Y#		.818730750547	
YN4			.818730750547		.9121E-10
ZN#			.818730750547	- .818730750638	- .9121E-10
H#	.3000	Y#		.740818217246	
YN4			.740818217246		.1238E-09
ZN#			.740818217246	- .740818217370	- .1238E-09
H#	.4000	Y#		.670320041891	
YN4			.670320041891		.1494E-09
ZN#			.670320041891	- .670320042040	- .1494E-09
H#	.5000	Y#		.606530655025	
YN4			.606530655025		.1689E-09
ZN#			.606530655025	- .606530655194	- .1689E-09
H#	.6000	Y#		.548811631004	
YN4			.548811631004		.1834E-09
ZN#			.548811631004	- .548811631187	- .1834E-09
H#	.7000	Y#		.496585298418	
YN4			.496585298418		.1936E-09
ZN#			.496585298418	- .496585298612	- .1936E-09
H#	.8000	Y#		.449328958561	
YN4			.449328958561		.2002E-09
ZN#			.449328958561	- .449328958761	- .2002E-09

H=	.9000	Y=	.406569654084	
YN=	.406569654084	Y=	.406569654288	.2038E-09
ZH=	.406569654084	Z=	.406569654288	-.2038E-09
H=	1.0000	Y=	.367879435485	
YN=	.367879435485	Y=	.367879435690	.2049E-09
ZN=	.367879435485	Z=	.367879435690	-.2049E-09

```

      IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
      DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16),EXY(16),EXZ(16)
      OPEN(UNIT=3,FILE='SOL1',STATUS='NEW')
      A1=1.0
      C1=-1.0
      D=-1.0
      H=0.1
      Q=D*H
C      TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT
C      ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 4 PROBLEM 3
      APN=1.+2.*Q+4.*((Q**2)/3.+EXP(2.*Q)*(2.*(Q**2)-3.)/3.
      APD=Q*EXP(2.*Q)*(Q-1.)+Q*(1.+Q)
      A=APN/APD
      RPN=1.+Q+(Q**2)/3.-EXP(2.*Q)*(1.-Q+(Q**2)/3.)
      RPD=3.*((Q**2)*(3.*EXP(2*Q)+1)/4.0-Q*(EXP(3.*Q)-1.))
      R=RPN/RPD
      RQN=1.0+Q*(2.0-A)+(Q**2)*(4.0/3.-A)
      RQD=1.0-Q*A-(Q**2)*(2./3.-A)
      RQ=RQN/RQD
      WRITE(3,99)
      WRITE(3,85)Q
      WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
      T=RQ**1.5
      YN1=R*Q*T+1.+Q*(1.-R)+(Q**2)*(1./3.-(3./4.)*R)
      YD=1.-Q+(Q**2)*(1./3.+(3./4.)*R)
      YN2=YN1/YD
      WRITE(3,20)YN2
      Y=1.0
      Z=-1.0
      H=0.0
      X=0.0
      DO 30 I=1,10
      H=H+0.2
      Y=Y*YN2
      YN(I)=Y*A1
      ZN(I)=Y*C1
      WRITE(3,40)H,Y
      X=X+0.2
      EXY(I)=A1*EXP(D*X)
      ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
      EXZ(I)=C1*EXP(D*X)
      ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
      WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
      WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
30    CONTINUE
99    FORMAT(5X,'RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 4 USING H
      1=0.1',/)
20    FORMAT(5X,'YN2= ',E18.12)
10    FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ '3(E12.6,2X)//)
40    FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F6.4,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)
65    FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
70    FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
85    FORMAT(25X,'Q= ',F6.2,2X//)
100   STOP
      END

```

RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 4 USING H = 0.0027

$Q = -1.00$

A R RG .100019E+01 .118102E+00 .994615E+00
 YN24 .991911553652E+00 .

$H \approx -0.0054$ $Y \approx -0.994614553652$

YIRs 991614553652 991614553652 000000

ZN- 991614553659 991614554659

$$H_2 = -0.0103 \quad Y_{\text{B}} = 0.00005931000007$$

YHE-2000253110297 | 2020-07-10

2020 RELEASE UNDER E.O. 14176

$\{z = 0.162, \quad v_0 = 0.000000000000000\}$

11110E 15

978631603367 14110E 15

4-10270 YR .973361240643

.973361240843 .973361240843 - .2220E-15

.973361240343 .973361240813 .22208 15

960119256103 960119256103 96000E-15

969119256103 968119256103 9250E-15

$\beta = .0370$ $V_2 = .962905501791$

962903501791 962903501791 962903501791

3 .962905501791 .962905501791 .962905501791

$$F = -0.132 \quad \chi^2 = 1.9577 \quad 96.25\% \quad$$

900719325873 952240105026

162719325373 952610005360

H+	.9136	Yz	.952562077134	
VNz		.952562077134		.3331E-15
ZNz		.952562077134		.3331E-15
H+	.9540	Yz	.947432105175	
VNz		.947432105175		.4441E-15
ZNz		.947432105175		.4441E-15
H+	.9594	Yz	.942329760404	
VNz		.942329760404		.4441E-15
ZNz		.942329760404		.4441E-15
H+	.9648	Yz	.937254894037	
VNz		.937254894037		.3331E-15
ZNz		.937254894037		.3331E-15
H+	.9702	Yz	.932207358091	
VNz		.932207358091		.3331E-15
ZNz		.932207358091		.3331E-15
H+	.9756	Yz	.927187005379	
VNz		.927187005379		.4441E-15
ZNz		.927187005379		.4441E-15
H+	.9810	Yz	.922193689507	
VNz		.922193689507		.4441E-15
ZNz		.922193689507		.4441E-15
H+			.917227264070	
VNz		.917227264070		.5551E-15
ZNz		.917227264070		.5551E-15
H+			.912287586659	
VNz		.912287586659		.1276E-10
ZNz		.912287586659		.1276E-10

Hx	.0972	Yz	.907374510808
YHx	.827786502649	-	.827786502637 .1226E-10
ZHx	.907374510808	-	.907374510794 .1344E-10
Hx	.1026	Yz	.902487894063
YHx	.823328502852	-	.823328502839 .1287E-10
ZHx	.818694511373	-	.818694511360 .1280E-10
Hx	.1030	Yz	.360 .4441E-15
Hx	.1030	Yz	.897627593916
YHx	.818391511360	-	.835069259634 .1617E 01
ZHx	.814434398905	-	.830572038939 .1609E 01

RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 4 USING H = 0.05

Q= .05

A R Rq = .100533E+01 .120398E+00 .904837E+00

YNz= .904837416688E+00

H= .1000 Yz= .904837416688

YNz= .904837416688 .904837116688 .0000E+00

ZNz= .904837416688 -.904837416688 .0000E+00

H= .2000 Yz= .818730750638

YNz= .818730750638 .818730750638 .0000E+00

ZNz= .818730750638 -.818730750638 .0000E+00

H= .3000 Yz= .740818217370

YNz= .740818217370 .740818217370 .0000E+00

ZNz= .740818217370 -.740818217370 .0000E+00

H= .4000 Yz= .670320042040

YNz= .670320042040 .670320042040 .0000E+00

ZNz= .670320042040 -.670320042040 .0000E+00

H= .5000 Yz= .606530655194

YNz= .606530655194 .606530655194 .1110E-15

ZNz= .606530655194 -.606530655194 .1110E-15

H= .6000 Yz= .548811631187

YNz= .548811631187 .548811631187 .1110E-15

ZNz= .548811631187 -.548811631187 .1110E-15

H= .7000 Yz= .496585298612

YNz= .496585298612 .496585298612 .1665E-15

ZNz= .496585298612 -.496585298612 .1665E-15

H= .8000 Yz= .449328958761

YNz= .449328958761 .449328958761 .1665E-15

ZNz= .449328958761 -.449328958761 .1665E-15

Xz	.9000	Yz	.406569654288	
XNz	.406569654288	YNz	.406569654288	.1665E-15
ZNz	.406569654288	Zz	.406569654288	-.1665E-15
Xz	1.0000	Yz	.367879435690	
YNz	.367879435690	ZNz	.367879435690	.1665E-15
ZNz	.367879435690	Zz	.367879435690	.1665E-15

RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 4 USING $\Delta t = 0.1$

$Q = -10$

A	R	RQ	.100666E+01	.123295E+00	.618731E+00	
YNz	=		.618730750638E+00			
Hz	=	.2000	Yz	.818730750638		
YNz	=		.818730750638		.818730750638	.1110E-15
ZNz	=		.818730750638		.818730750638	.1110E-15
Hz	=	.4000	Yz	.670320042040		
YNz	=		.670320042040		.670320042040	.2220E-15
ZNz	=		.670320042040		.670320042040	.2220E-15
Hz	=	.6000	Yz	.548811631187		
YNz	=		.548811631187		.548811631187	.2220E-15
ZNz	=		.548811631187		.548811631187	.2220E-15
Hz	=	.8000	Yz	.449328958761		
YNz	=		.449328958761		.449328958761	.2220E-15
ZNz	=		.449328958761		.449328958761	.2220E-15
Hz	=	1.0000	Yz	.367879435690		
YNz	=		.367879435690		.367879435690	.2220E-15
ZNz	=		.367879435690		.367879435690	.2220E-15

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 ( A-H,O-Z )
DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16), EXY(16),EXZ(16)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='WAS1', STATUS = 'NEW')
A1=1.0
C1=-1.0
D=-1.0
HII=0.0027
Q=D*HII
C TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
C ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 6 PROBLEM 3
APN=3.0+14.0*Q/5.+(2./3.)*(Q**2)+(4.*Q/15.)*EXP(Q)*(12.0+7.
10*Q)+EXP(2.0*Q)*(4.*(Q**2)-45.0)/15.0
APD=Q*(3.0*Q)+4.*(Q**2)*EXP(Q)-Q*EXP(2.*Q)*(3.-Q)
A=APN/APD
RPN=1.+7.*Q/15.+ (Q**2)/15.+16.*Q*EXP(Q)/15.-EXP(2.*Q)*(1.0-
17.*Q/15.+(Q**2)/15.0)
RPD=Q*(10.+3.*Q)+9.*Q*EXP(Q)*(1.0+2.*Q)-9*Q*EXP(2.*Q)*(2.-Q)
1-Q*EXP(3.0*Q)
R=RPN/RPD
RQN=1.0+Q*(1.5-A)+(Q**2)*(41./45.-7.*A/6.)+(Q**3)*(2.-5*A)
RQD=1.0-Q*(A+0.5)-(Q**2)*(4.0/45.-5.0*A/6.0)+(Q**3)*(2./45
1.0-A/6.0)
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,99)
WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
S=RQ**0.5
T=RQ**1.5
YN1=1.0+(7./15.-10.0*R)*Q+(1./15.-3.*R)*(Q**2)+R*Q*T+S*((1
16./15.-9.*R)*Q-18.*R*(Q**2))
YD=1.0-(7/15.+18.*R)*Q+(1./15.+9.*R)*(Q**2)
YN2=YN1/YD
WRITE(3,20)YN2
Y=1.0
Z=-1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,20
H=H+0.0054
Y=Y*YN2
YN(I)=Y*A1
ZN(I)=Y*C1
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.0054
EXY(I)=A1*EXP(D*X)
ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
EXZ(I)=C1*EXP(D*X)
ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
30 CONTINUE
99 FORMAT(5X,'RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 6 USING h
1=0.0027',/)
20 FORMAT(5X,'YN2',F18.12)
10 FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ',3(E12.6,2X)/)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F6.4,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)
65 FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
70 FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)
100 STOP
END

```

RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 6 USING h = 0.0027

A = -1.100743E-13 R = -.453438E+03 RQ = .994615E+00

YN2 = .994614553653

H= .0054 Y= .994614553653

YN= .994614553653 .994614553652 -.3162E-12

ZN= .994614553653 -.994614553652 .6162E-12

H= .0108 Y= .989258110338

YN= .989258110338 .989258110337 -.1628E-11

ZN= -.989258110338 -.989258110337 .1628E-11

H= .0102 Y= .983930513861

YN= .983930513861 .983930513859 .2428E-11

ZN= .983930513861 -.983930513859 .2428E-11

H= .0216 Y= .978631608870

YN= .978631608870 .978631608867 .3220E-11

ZN= .978631608870 -.978631608867 .3220E-11

H= .0270 Y= .973361240847

YN= .973361240847 .973361240843 .4004E-11

ZN= .973361240847 -.973361240843 .4004E-11

H= .0324 Y= .968119256108

YN= .968119256108 .968119256103 .4779E-11

ZN= .968119256108 -.968119256103 .4779E-11

H= .0378 Y= .962905501796

YN= .962905501796 .962905501791 .5515E-11

ZN= .962905501796 -.962905501791 .5515E-11

H= .0432 Y= .957719825879

YN= .957719825879 .957719825873 .6303E-11

ZN= .957719825879 -.957719825873 .6303E-11

H+	.0186	Yz	.952562077144	
YH+		.952562077144	.952562077134	.7053E-11
ZH+		.952562077144	.952562077134	.7053E-11
H+	.0510	Yz	.947432105183	
YH+		.947432105183	.947432105175	.7794E-11
ZH+		.947432105183	.947432105175	.7794E-11
H+	.6594	Yz	.942329760413	
YH+		.942329760413	.942329760404	.8526E-11
ZH+		.942329760413	.942329760404	.8526E-11
H+	.0610	Yz	.937254894047	
YH+		.937254894047	.937254894037	.9253E-11
ZH+		.937254894047	.937254894037	.9253E-11
H+	.0702	Yz	.932207358101	
YH+		.932207358101	.932207358091	.9970E-11
ZH+		.932207358101	.932207358091	.9970E-11
H+	.0756	Yz	.927187005390	
YH+		.927187005390	.927187005379	.1063E-10
ZH+		.927187005390	.927187005379	.1063E-10
H+	.0810	Yz	.922193689518	
YH+		.922193689518	.922193689507	.1136E-10
ZH+		.922193689518	.922193689507	.1136E-10
H+	.0864	Yz	.917227264882	
YH+		.917227264882	.917227264870	.1207E-10
ZH+		.917227264882	.917227264870	.1207E-10
H+	.0918	Yz	.912287586659	
YH+		.912287586659	.912287586646	.1276E-10
ZH+		.912287586659	.912287586646	.1276E-10

H=	.0972	Y=	.907374510808
YNz	.827706502649	-	.827706502637 .1220E-10
ZHx	.907374510808	-	.907374510794 .1341E-10
H=	.1026	Y=	.902487894063
YNx	.823328502852	-	.823328502839 .1287E-10
ZHx	.918894511373	-	.818894511360 .1280E-10

RESULT OF PROBLEM 3 FOR CASE K=2 ORDER 6 USING $\Delta t = 0.05$

$A = .449485E-03$ $R = .429431E-02$ $RQ = .904838E+00$

YH2 = .904837402903

H= .1000 Y= .904837402903

YH2 = .904837402903 Y= .904837416668 R= .1370E-07

ZH2 = .904837402903 Y= .904837416668 R= .1370E-07

H= .2000 Y= .818730725836

YH2 = .818730725836 Y= .818730750038 R= .2480E-07

ZH2 = .818730725836 Y= .818730750038 R= .2480E-07

H= .3000 Y= .740818183703

YH2 = .740818183703 Y= .740818217370 R= .3366E-07

ZH2 = .740818183703 Y= .740818217370 R= .3366E-07

H= .4000 Y= .670320001429

YH2 = .670320001429 Y= .670320042040 R= .4061E-07

ZH2 = .670320001429 Y= .670320042040 R= .4061E-07

H= .5000 Y= .606530609260

YH2 = .606530609260 Y= .606530655191 R= .4593E-07

ZH2 = .606530609260 Y= .606530655191 R= .4593E-07

H= .6000 Y= .548811531312

YH2 = .548811531312 Y= .548811631187 R= .4937E-07

ZH2 = .548811531312 Y= .548811631187 R= .4937E-07

H= .7000 Y= .496585245962

YH2 = .496585245962 Y= .496585290012 R= .5265E-07

ZH2 = .496585245962 Y= .496585290012 R= .5265E-07

H= .8000 Y= .4419326904315

YH2 = .4419326904315 Y= .4419326904315 R= .5445E-07

ZH2 = .4419326904315 Y= .4419326904315 R= .5445E-07

Hx	.90000	Yz	.406569598866	
XH			.406569598866	.5542E-07
ZH			.406569598866	.5542E-07
Hx	-1.00000	Yz	.367879379969	
YH			.367879379969	.5572E-07
ZH			.367879379969	.5572E-07

APPENDIX D

PROGRAMS AND NUMERICAL VALUES FOR PROBLEM 4

Each program is for specific order k - scheme and the step length for each program is varied to obtain various solutions thereafter.

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16), EXY(16),EXZ(16),EXZZ(16),D(6),Q1(16),C(6),ZZN(16),V(4),YP(4)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='RST2', STATUS = 'NEW')
D(1)=0.0
D(2)=-0.00928572
D(3)=-3500.003714
V(1)=(D(2)+0.013)/D(2)
V(2)=1.0+32.5/(D(2)*D(3))
V(3)=(0.013*(D(3)-D(2))+45.5002)/(D(2)*D(3))
B1=(13.00002+0.013*D(3))/(D(2)*(D(2)-D(3)))
B2=-32.5/(D(2)*(D(3)-D(2)))
B3=(0.013*D(3)-45.5002)/(D(2)*(D(3)-D(2)))
WRTTE(3,99)
WRTTE(3,90)V(1),V(2),V(3),B1,B2,B3
HH=0.1
DO 31 K=1,3
Q1(K)=D(K)*HH
Q=Q1(K)
IF(Q.EQ.0.0)GO TO 44
TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULTISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 2 ON PROBLEM 4
APN=(1.0-EXP(2.*Q))/Q+2.0
APD=1.-EXP(2.0*Q)
A=APN/APD
RPN=(EXP(2.+Q)-1.)/Q-EXP(2.*Q)-1.0
RPD=EXP(3.*Q)-3.*EXP(2.*Q)/2.+0.5
R=RPN/RPD
RQN=1.+(2.-A)*Q
RQD=1.-A*Q
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRTTE(3,10)A,R,RQ
TF(RQ,1.0,0.0)GO TO 55
55 RQ=ABS(RQ)
T=HQ**1.5
YN1=R*Q*T+1.+0.5*Q*(2.+R)
YD=1.-0.5*Q*(2.-3.*R)
YP(K)=YN1/YD
44 C(K)=V(K)
31 CONTINUE
WRTTE(3,50)C(1),C(2),C(3)
WRTTE(3,20)YP(1),YP(2),YP(3)
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
ZZ=0.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,5
H=H+0.2
Y=Y*YP(2)
YN(I)=V(1)+Y*B1
ZN(I)=V(2)+Y*B2
ZZN(I)=V(3)+Y*B3
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.2
EXY(I)=V(1)+B1*EXP(D(2)*X)
ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
EXZ(I)=V(2)+B2*EXP(D(2)*X)
ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
EXZZ(I)=V(3)+B3*EXP(D(2)*X)
ERZZ=EXZZ(I)-ZZN(I)
WRITE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
WRITE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ
WRITE(3,80)ZZN(I),EXZZ(I),ERZZ

```

```
99 FORMAT(5X,'RESULT FOR ORDER 2 h=0.1 ON PROBLEM 4 ',/)  
90 FORMAT(5X,'V(I) B',6(F8.4,2X)//)  
50 FORMAT(5X,'C(I)=',3(F6.4,2X)//)  
20 FORMAT(5X,'YP(I) ='3(E10.4,2X)//)  
10 FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ',3(E18.12,2X)//)  
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/)  
65 FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)  
70 FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)  
80 FORMAT(5X,'ZZ= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/)  
100 STOP  
END
```

RESULT FOR ORDER 2 h=0.0625 ON PROBLEM 4

V(1)	B	,1000	-2.0000	,0000	-1.0000	1.0000	
A	R	R#	,100149345053E+01	,444640590177E+00	,999939959364E+00		
A	R	R#	,199512357621E+01	,199995715212E+01	,220793622073E+00		
C(1)	,	,4000	-2.0000	,0000			
YD(1)	,	,0000E+00	,9990E+00	,1152E-10			
H	,420	Y#		,999939959364			
XH			,593312660537	,593312660537	,0000E+00		
YH			,1.061157300718	,1.061157300718	,0000E+00		
ZH			2.796753900738	2.796753900738	,0000E+00		
WH	,420	Y#		,997631262419			
XH#			,597633962437	,597633962437	,1110E-15		
YH#			,1.002316086804	,1.002316086804	,2220E-15		
ZH#			2.793509540102	2.793509540102	,4441E-15		
WH#	,376	Y#		,996523910612			
XH#			,596526608475	,596526608475	,1110E-15		
YH#			,1.003473439747	,1.003473439747	,2220E-15		
ZH#			2.790268943061	2.790268943061	,4441E-15		
WH#	,500	Y#		,995367901381			
XH#			,595370597092	,595370597092	,2220E-15		
YH#			,1.004629450116	,1.004629450116	,2220E-15		
ZH#			2.787032105246	2.787032105246	,8882E-15		
WH#	,625	Y#		,991213233169			
XH#			,594215926731	,594215926731	,3331E-15		
YH#			,1.005784119161	,1.005784119161	,2220E-15		
ZH#			2.783799022299	2.783799022299	,8882E-15		

H=	.750	Y=	.993059904421	
YN=	.593062595835	ZN=	.593062595835	.3331E-15
ZH=	1.006937449348	ZI=	1.006937449348	.4441E-15
ZL=	2.780569689862	ZR=	2.780569689862	.8882E-15
H=	.875	Y=	.991907913581	
YN=	.591910602851	ZN=	.591910602851	.4441E-15
ZH=	1.000009141321	ZI=	1.000009141321	.4441E-15
ZL=	2.777344103585	ZR=	2.777344103585	.4332E-14
H=	1.000	Y=	.990757259100	
YN=	.590759916227	ZN=	.590759916227	.4441E-15
ZH=	1.009240096936	ZI=	1.009240096936	.4441E-15
ZL=	2.774422259123	ZR=	2.774422259123	.4332E-14

RECDIT FOR ORDER 2 4.0.1 ON PROBLEM 4

X(1) = .1000	2.0000	.0000	.10000	1.0000	2.0000
X(2) = .100000000000E+01			.4447196293691160	.9931445793341196	
X(3) = .100000000000E+01			.499123572033E+01	.100200502993E+00	
X(4) = .1000 - 2.0000		.0000			
Y(1) = .0000E+00		.9931E+00	.9923E-17		
H = .200	Y=		.993144579384		
X(1) = .593147230265			.593147230265	.1110E-15	
X(2) = 1.001852769379			.1.001852769379	.2220E-15	
X(3) = 2.794806032403			.2.794806032403	.4441E-15	
H = .100	Y=		.996292601351		
X(1) = .596295298787			.596295298787	.2220E-15	
X(2) = 1.003704719233			.1.003704719233	.2220E-15	
X(3) = 2.789621274715			.2.789621274715	.4441E-15	
H = .000	Y=		.991444059522		
X(1) = .594446753514			.594446753514	.3331E-15	
X(2) = 1.005553292884			.1.005553292884	.4441E-15	
X(3) = 2.784445338477			.2.784445338477	.8882E-16	
H = .800	Y=		.992590947513		
X(1) = .592601638069			.592601638069	.5551E-15	
X(2) = 1.007398100709			.1.007398100709	.6661E-15	
X(3) = 2.779279005740			.2.779279005740	.1002E-14	
H = 1.000	Y=		.990757258963		
X(1) = .590759946090			.590759946090	.6661E-15	
X(2) = 1.009240097673			.1.009240097673	.6661E-15	
X(3) = 2.774499268739			.2.774499268739	.1776E-14	

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION YN(16),ZN(16), EXY(16),EXZ(16),EXZZ(16),D(6),Q1(16),
C(6),ZNN(16),V(4),YP(4)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='TAL', STATUS = 'NEW')
D(1)=0.0
D(2)=-0.00928572
D(3)=-3500.003714
V(1)=(D(2)+0.013)/D(2)
V(2)=1.0+32.5/(D(2)*D(3))
V(3)=(0.013*(D(3)-D(2))+45.5002)/(D(2)*D(3))
B1=(13.00002+0.013*D(3))/(D(2)*(D(2)-D(3)))
B2=-32.5/(D(2)*(D(3)-D(2)))
B3=(0.013*D(3)-45.5002)/(D(2)*(D(3)-D(2)))
WRTTE(3,99)
WRTTE(3,90)V(1),V(2),V(3),B1,B2,B3
HII=0.0625
DO 31 K=1,3
Q1(K)=D(K)*HII
Q=Q1(K)
IF(Q.EQ.0.0)GO TO 44
C TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 4 OF PROBLEM 4
APN=1.0+2.0*Q+4.0/3.**(Q**2)+EXP(2.*Q)*(2.0*(Q**2)-3.)/3.0
APD=Q**2*EXP(2.*Q)-Q*EXP(2.0*Q)+Q*(Q+1.0)
A=APN/APD
RPN=1.0+Q+(Q**2)/3.0-EXP(2.*Q)*(1.0-Q+(Q**2)/3.)
RPD=3.**(Q**2)*(3.*EXP(2.*Q)+1.)/4.-Q*(EXP(3.*Q)-1.)
R=RPN/RPD
RQN=1.+(-A)*Q+(4./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQD=1.-A*Q-(2./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRTTE(3,85)Q
WRTTE(3,10)A,R,RQ
IF(RQ.LT.0.0)GO TO 55
55 RQ=ABS(RQ)
T=RQ**1.5
YN1=R*Q*T+1.+(-R)*Q+(1./3.-3*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YD=1.-Q+(1./3.+9.0*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YP(K)=YN1/YD
44 C(K)=V(K)
31 CONTINUE
WRTTE(3,50)C(1),C(2),C(3)
WRTTE(3,20)YP(1),YP(2),YP(3)
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
ZZ=0.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,8
H=H+0.125
Y=Y*YP(2)
YN(I)=V(1)+Y*B1
ZN(I)=V(2)+Y*B2
ZNN(I)=V(3)+Y*B3
WRTTE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+0.125
EXY(I)=V(1)+B1*EXP(D(2)*X)
ERY=EXY(I)-YN(I)
EXZ(I)=V(2)+B2*EXP(D(2)*X)
ERZ=EXZ(I)-ZN(I)
EXZZ(I)=V(3)+B3*EXP(D(2)*X)
ERZZ=EXZZ(I)-ZNN(I)
WRTTE(3,65)YN(I),EXY(I),ERY
WRTTE(3,70)ZN(I),EXZ(I),ERZ

```

```
      WRITE(5,60)ZZZ(I),ERZZ
30 CONTINUE
99 FORMAT(5X,'RESULT OF PRB 4 FOR ORDER 4 h=0.0625',/)
90 FORMAT(5X,'V(I) B',6(F8.4,2X)/)
50 FORMAT(5X,'C(I)=',3(F6.4,2X)/)
20 FORMAT(5X,'YP(K)',3(F18.12,2X)/)
10 FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ',3(E18.12,2X)/)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F5.3,5X,'Y= ',F18.12,/ )
65 FORMAT(5X,'YN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/ )
70 FORMAT(5X,'ZN= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/ )
80 FORMAT(5X,'ZZ= ',F18.12,5X,F15.12,5X,E10.4,/ )
85 FORMAT(5X,'Q = ',F12.6,2X//)
100 STOP
END
```

RESULT OF PROBLEM 4 FOR ORDER 4 L=0.0625

V(1) B	.1000	2.0000	.0000	1.0000	1.0000	2.0000
Q z		.000530				
A R RQ	.999709012900E+00		.893235908402E+00		.993639958361E+00	
G z	213.750229					
A R RQ	.133029271541E+01		.441065472674E+00		.700396904169E-18	
G(1)=	.1000	2.0000	.0000			
VP(R)	.00000E+00	.9938E+00	.2812E-17			
H	.125	Vz	.999839958361			
VMz	.593812600537		.593812600537		.1110E-15	
ZMz	1.001157339718		1.001157339718		.2220E-15	
ZZz	2.796753900730		2.796753900730		.1441E-15	
H	.250	Vz	.997631262419			
VMz	.5976303062137		.5976303062137		.1110E-15	
ZMz	1.002316036001		1.002316036001		.00000E+00	
ZZz	2.793509510102		2.793509510102		.1441E-15	
H	.375	Vz	.996523910012			
VMz	.596526608475		.596526608475		.2220E-15	
ZMz	1.003473439747		1.003473439747		.2220E-15	
ZZz	2.790268913061		2.790268913061		.1441E-15	
H	.500	Vz	.995367901281			
VMz	.595370597092		.595370597092		.2220E-15	
ZMz	1.004629150116		1.004629150116		.2220E-15	
ZZz	2.787932105246		2.787932105246		.1441E-15	
H	.625	Vz	.994243223164			
VMz	.594245926731		.594245926731		.2220E-15	
ZMz	1.005784419164		1.005784419164		.2220E-15	
ZZz	2.783799022299		2.783799022299		.1441E-15	

H#	.750	Y#	.993059904424	
YN#	.593062595835	ZN#	.593062595835	.3331E 15
ZN#	1.006937449348		1.006937449348	.2220E 15
ZN#	2.700569689862		2.700569689862	.3082E 15
H#	.875	Y#	.991907913581	
YN#	.591910602851	ZN#	.591910602851	.3331E 15
ZN#	1.008039444324		1.008039444324	.2220E 15
ZN#	2.777344103985		2.777344103985	.3082E 15
H#	1.000	Y#	.990757250100	
YN#	.590759916227	ZN#	.590759946227	.4441E 15
ZN#	1.009240096936		1.009240096936	.4441E 15
ZN#	2.774122259123		2.774122259123	.3082E 15

RESULT OF PROBLEM 4 FOR ORDER 4 h=0.1

V(1) B	.1000	2.0000	.0000	1.0000	-1.0000	-2.0000
Q =	.000929					
A R RQ	.100005272217E+01			.100631094613E+00	.998144579334E+00	
Q =	350.000371					
A R RQ	.133143130233E+01			.442330877229E+00	-.273108666792E+00	
C(1)=	.1000	2.0000	.0000			
YP(k)	.0000E+00	.9981E+00		.2556E-16		
H=	.200	Y=	.998144579334			
VI=	.598147280265		.598147280265		-.1110E-15	
ZI=	1.001852769379		1.001852769379		.2220E-15	
ZZ=	2.794306832403		2.794306832403		.4441E-15	
II=	.400	Y=	.996292601354			
YII=	.596295293787		.596295293787		.2220E-15	
ZII=	1.003704749233		1.003704749233		.2220E-15	
ZZI=	2.789621274745		2.789621274745		.4441E-15	
B=	.600	Y=	.991144059522			
VI=	.594446753314		.594446753314		.3331E-15	
ZI=	1.065553292894		1.065553292894		.4441E-15	
ZZ=	2.784445338477		2.784445338477		.6662E-15	
II=	.800	Y=	.992598917513			
YII=	.592604638069		.592604638069		.5551E-15	
ZII=	1.067793406709		1.067793406709		.6661E-15	
ZZI=	2.779279906743		2.779279906743		.1332E-14	
B=	.400	Y=	.990757238969			
VI=	.590759946090		.590759946090		.6661E-15	
ZI=	1.009240097073		1.009240097073		.6661E-15	
ZZ=	2.774422256739		2.774422256739		.1776E-14	

RECEIPT OF PROBLEM 4 FOR ORDER 4 h=0.12

V(1) B	.1000	2.0000	.0000	1.0000	1.0000	2.8000
Q		.601857				
A R Eq.	.100012433237E+01		.149108667604E+00		.996292601354E+00	
Q		.700 .000743				
A R Eq.	.133238163461E+01		.1413986951321E+00		.192535372617E+00	
V(1)	.1000	2.0000	.0000			
YF(E)	.00000100	.9963E+00	.1011E-16			
R	.166	X	.996292601354			
Y1		.596295298787	.596295298787		.0000E+00	
Z1		1.003701719233	1.003701719233		.0000E+00	
Z2		2.789021274745	2.789021274745		.0000E+00	
H	.300	Y	.992598917513			
YH		.592601038069	.592601038069		.0000E+00	
ZH		1.007393106709	1.007393106709		.0000E+00	
ZZ		2.779279005748	2.779279005748		.0000E+00	
H	1.200	Y	.986918987519			
YH		.588921671224	.588921671224		.1110E-15	
ZH		1.011073370326	1.011073370326		.2220E-15	
ZZ		2.768975079665	2.768975079665		.0000E+00	
H	1.600	Y	.985952670604			
YH		.585255347482	.585255347482		.0000E+00	
ZH		1.014714690850	1.014714690850		.0000E+00	
ZZ		2.758709351343	2.758709351343		.0000E+00	
H	2.000	Y	.981599946187			
YH		.581602616265	.581602616265		.0000E+00	
ZH		1.018397113862	1.018397113862		.0000E+00	
ZZ		2.746431688458	2.746431688458		.0000E+00	

APPENDIX E

PROGRAMS AND NUMERICAL VALUES FOR PROBLEM 5

Each program is for specific order k - scheme and the step length for each program is varied to obtain various solutions thereafter.

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION Y1N(16),Y2N(16),Y3N(16),Y4N(16),EXY1(16),EXY2(16)
1,EXY3(16),EXY4(16),P(3),Q1(6),C(6),V(5),W(5),YP(3)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='SLTN1', STATUS = 'NEW')
D(1)=-0.1
D(2)=-10.0
P=D(1)-D(2)
V(1)=(-0.1-D(2))/P
V(2)=(-10.0-D(2))/P
V(3)=(-100.0-D(2))/P
V(4)=(-1000.0-D(2))/P
W(1)=(0.1+D(1))/P
W(2)=(10.0+D(1))/P
W(3)=(100.0+D(1))/P
W(4)=(1000.0+D(1))/P
WRITE(3,99)
99 FORMAT(1X,4F10.0)
WRITE(3,90)V(1),V(2),V(3),V(4)
90 FORMAT(1X,4E15.5)
W(1),W(2),W(3),W(4)
HH=0.5
DO 31 K=1,2
Q1(K)=D(K)*HH
Q=Q1(K)
TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 2 ON PROBLEM 5
APN=(1.0-EXP(2.*Q))/Q+2.
APD=1.0-EXP(2.*Q).
A=APN/APD
RPN=(-1.0+EXP(2.*Q))/Q-EXP(2.*Q)-1.0
RPD=EXP(3.*Q)-1.5*EXP(2.*Q)+0.5
R=RPN/RPD
RQ=(1.0+(2.0-A)*Q)/(1.0-A*Q)
WRITE(3,11)Q
WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
T=RQ**1.5
YN1=R*Q*T+1.0+0.5*Q*(2.+R)
YD=1.-0.5*Q*(2.0-3.*R)
YP(K)=YN1/YD
31 CONTINUE
WRITE(3,20)YP(1),YP(2)
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,10
H=H+1.0
Y=Y+YP(1)
Z=Z*YP(2)
Y1N(I)=V(1)*Y+W(1)*Z
Y2N(I)=V(2)*Y+W(2)*Z
Y3N(I)=V(3)*Y+W(3)*Z
Y4N(I)=V(4)*Y+W(4)*Z
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+1.0
EXY1(I)=V(1)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(1)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER1=EXY1(I)-Y1N(I)
EXY2(I)=V(2)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(2)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER2=EXY2(I)-Y2N(I)
EXY3(I)=V(3)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(3)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER3=EXY3(I)-Y3N(I)
EXY4(I)=V(4)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(4)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER4=EXY4(I)-Y4N(I)
WRITE(3,65)Y1N(I),EXY1(I),ER1
WRITE(3,70)Y2N(I),EXY2(I),ER2

```

```
      WRITE(3,75)Y4N(I),EXY4(I),ED4
30 CONTINUE
20 FORMAT(5X,'RECHLT FOR EDDER IN A 6.5 ON PROBLEM 5',/)
90 FORMAT(5X,'V(I)=',1(E15.1,2X),/)
91 FORMAT(5X,'W(I)=',1(E12.4,2X),/)
20 FORMAT(5X,'YP(I)=',2F18.12,2X),)
10 FORMAT(5X,'A R'RQ',3(E15.6,2X),)
11 FORMAT(5X,' Q = ',2(F10.4,2X),)
40 FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F6.3,5X,'Y= ',E18.12,/),
65 FORMAT(5X,'Y1= ',E18.12,5X,E18.12,5X,E10.4,/),
70 FORMAT(5X,'Y2= ',E18.12,5X,E18.12,5X,E10.4,/),
80 FORMAT(5X,'Y3= ',E18.12,5X,F18.12,5X,E10.4,/),
75 FORMAT(5X,'Y4= ',E18.12,5X,E18.12,5X,E10.4,/),
100 STOP
END
```

RESULT FOR ORDER 2 4-0,5 ON PROBLEM 5

$V(1) =$.160001401	.0000E+00	.10091E+01	.1000E+03
$W(1) =$.0000E+00	.1000E+01	.1009E+02	.1010E+03
$Q =$.0500			
$A \cdot R \cdot RQ$.191000E+01	.450100E+00	.904837E+00	
$Q =$.5,0000			
$A \cdot R \cdot RQ$.180609E+01	.460033E+01	.453999E-04	
$Y(1) =$.304837416688E+00	.000015399930		
$H = 1,000$	$Y_1 = .904837416688E+00$			
$Y_2 =$.504837416688E+00	.904837416688E+00	.1110E-15	
$Y_3 =$.153999297623E-04	.453999297623E-04	.3005E-17	
$Y_4 =$.622633657133E+01	.322633657133E+01	.0000E+00	
$Y_5 =$.964794532695E+02	.964794532695E+02	.1421E-13	
$H = 2,000$	$Y_1 = .318730750633E+00$			
$Y_2 =$.618730750633E+00	.618730750633E+00	.1110E-15	
$Y_3 =$.206145362241E-03	.206145362241E-03	.3675E-21	
$Y_4 =$.744300680430E+01	.744300680430E+01	.8332E-15	
$Y_5 =$.318730748679E+02	.318730748679E+02	.1421E-13	
$H = 3,000$	$Y_1 = .740318217370E+00$			
$Y_2 =$.740318217370E+00	.740318217370E+00	.2220E-15	
$Y_3 =$.935762296881E-13	.935762296881E-13	.2175E-25	
$Y_4 =$.673474466201E+01	.673474466201E+01	.1770E-11	
$Y_5 =$.740318217431E+02	.740318217431E+02	.2842E-13	
$H = 4,000$	$Y_1 = .670320042040E+00$			
$Y_2 =$.670320042040E+00	.670320042040E+00	.2220E-15	
$Y_3 =$.12103842529E-17	.12103842529E-17	.4103E-20	
$Y_4 =$.609381856492E+01	.609381856492E+01	.1770E-14	
$Y_5 =$.670320042141E+02	.670320042141E+02	.4421E-13	

H= 5.000	Y= .606530655194E+00	
Y1= .606530655194E+00	.606530655194E+00	-.2220E-15
Y2= .192874984796E-21	.192874984796E-21	.8504E-34
Y3= -.551391504804E+01	-.551391504804E+01	.1776E-14
Y4= -.606530655285E+02	-.606530655285E+02	.2132E-13
H= 6.000	Y= .548811631187E+00	
Y1= .548811631187E+00	.548811631187E+00	-.3331E-15
Y2= .875651076269E-26	.875651076270E-26	.4632E-38
Y3= -.498919664791E+01	-.498919664791E+01	.3553E-14
Y4= -.548811631270E+02	-.548811631270E+02	.2842E-13
H= 7.000	Y= .496585298612E+00	
Y1= .496585298612E+00	.496585298612E+00	-.3331E-15
Y2= .397544973591E-30	.397544973591E-30	.2453E-42
Y3= -.451441180624E+01	-.451441180624E+01	.2665E-14
Y4= -.496585298686E+02	-.496585298686E+02	.3553E-13
H= 8.000	Y= .449328958761E+00	
Y1= .449328958761E+00	.449328958761E+00	-.3331E-15
Y2= .180485138784E-34	.180485138785E-34	.1273E-46
Y3= -.408480871662E+01	-.408480871662E+01	.2665E-14
Y4= -.449328958828E+02	-.449328958828E+02	.3553E-13
H= 9.000	Y= .406569654288E+00	
Y1= .406569654288E+00	.406569654288E+00	-.3331E-15
Y2= .819401262398E-39	.819401262399E-39	.6501E-51
Y3= -.369608776681E+01	-.369608776681E+01	.3109E-14
Y4= -.406569654349E+02	-.406569654349E+02	.3553E-13

B = 10,000	Y = .367879436690E+00	
Y1 = .267879435690E+00	.367879435690E+00	.3031E-15
Y2 = .372007597602E-13	.372007597602E-13	.3000E-55
Y3 = .334135650677E+01	.334135650677E+01	.3109E-14
Y4 = .367879436745E+02	.367879436745E+02	.3553E-13

```

IMPLICIT REAL*8 (A-H,O-Z)
DIMENSION Y1N(16),Y2N(16), Y3N(16),Y4N(16),EXY1(16),EXY2(16)
1,EXY3(16),EXY4(16),D(3),Q1(6),C(6),V(5),W(5),YP(3)
OPEN(UNIT = 3, FILE='SLTN2', STATUS = 'NEW')
D(1)=-0.1
D(2)=-10.0
P=D(1)-D(2)
V(1)=(-0.1-D(2))/P
V(2)=(-10.0-D(2))/P
V(3)=(-100.0-D(2))/P
V(4)=(-1000.0-D(2))/P
W(1)=(0.1+D(1))/P
W(2)=(10.0+D(1))/P
W(3)=(100.0+D(1))/P
W(4)=(1000.0+D(1))/P
WRITTE(3,99)
WRITTE(3,90)V(1),V(2),V(3),V(4)
WRITTE(3,91)W(1),W(2),W(3),W(4)
HH=0.5
DO 31 K=1,2
Q1(K)=D(K)*HH
Q=Q1(K)
TO EVALUATE Y(X) AND Z(X) USING MULTIDERIVATIVE LINEAR MULT-
ISTEP METHOD FOR THE CASE K=2 ORDER 4 ON PROBLEM 5
APN=1.0+2.0*Q+4.0/3.* (Q**2)+EXP(2.*Q)*(2.0*(Q**2)-3.)/3.0
APD=Q**2*EXP(2.*Q)-Q*EXP(2.0*Q)+Q*(Q+1.0)
A=APN/APD
RPN=1.0+Q+(Q**2)/3.0-EXP(2.*Q)*(1.0-Q+(Q**2)/3.)
RPD=3.* (Q**2)*(3.*EXP(2.*Q)+1.)/4.-Q*(EXP(3.*Q)-1.)
R=RPN/RPD
RQN=1.+ (2.-A)*Q+(4./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQD=1.-A*Q-(2./3.-A)*(Q**2)
RQ=RQN/RQD
WRITE(3,11)Q
WRITE(3,10)A,R,RQ
IF(RQ.LT.0.0)GO TO 55
RQ=ABS(RQ)
T=RQ**1.5
YN1=R*Q*T+1.+ (1.-R)*Q+(1./3.-3*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YD=1.-Q+(1./3.+9.0*R/4.)*(Q**2)
YP(K)=YN1/YD
CONTINUE
WRITE(3,20)YP(1),YP(2)
Y=1.0
Z=1.0
H=0.0
X=0.0
DO 30 I=1,10
H=H+1.0
Y=Y*YP(1)
Z=Z*YP(2)
Y1N(I)=V(1)*Y+W(1)*Z
Y2N(I)=V(2)*Y+W(2)*Z
Y3N(I)=V(3)*Y+W(3)*Z
Y4N(I)=V(4)*Y+W(4)*Z
WRITE(3,40)H,Y
X=X+1.0
EXY1(I)=V(1)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(1)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER1=EXY1(I)-Y1N(I)
EXY2(I)=V(2)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(2)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER2=EXY2(I)-Y2N(I)
EXY3(I)=V(3)*EXP(D(1)*X)+W(3)*EXP(D(2)*X)
ER3=EXY3(I)-Y3N(I)

```

```
ER4=EXY4(I)-Y4N(I)
WRITE(3,65)Y1N(I),EXY1(I),ER1
WRITE(3,70)Y2N(I),EXY2(I),ER2
WRITE(3,80)Y3N(I),EXY3(I),ER3
WRITE(3,75)Y4N(I),EXY4(I),ER4
CONTINUE
FORMAT(5X,'RESULT FOR ORDER 4 h=0.5 ON PROBLEM 5',/)
FORMAT(5X,'V(I)=',4(E12.4,2X)/)
FORMAT(5X,'W(I)=',4(E12.4,2X)/)
FORMAT(5X,'YP(I)=',2F18.12,2X)/)
FORMAT(5X,'A R RQ',3(E15.6,2X)/)
FORMAT(5X,' Q = ',2(F10.4,2X)/)
FORMAT(5X,'H= ',F6.3,5X,'Y= ',E18.12,/)

FORMAT(5X,'Y1= ',E18.12,5X,E18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

FORMAT(5X,'Y2= ',E18.12,5X,E18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

FORMAT(5X,'Y3= ',E18.12,5X,E18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

FORMAT(5X,'Y4= ',E18.12,5X,E18.12,5X,E10.4,/)

STOP
END
```

RESULT FOR ORDER 4 h=0.5 ON PROBLEM 5

V(I) = .1000E+01 .0000E+00 -.9091E+01 -.1000E+03

W(I) = .0000E+00 .1000E+01 .1009E+02 .1010E+03

Q = .0500

A R RQ .100333E+01 .120898E+00 .904837E+00

Q = .5.0000

A R RQ .121662E+01 .315046E+00 .453939E-04

YP(1) = .904837416688 .000045399930

H= 1.000 Y= .904837416688E+00

Y1= .904837416688E+00 .904837416688E+00 .0000E+00

Y2= .453999297625E-04 .453999297625E-04 .1605E-16

Y3= -.822533657183E+01 -.822533657183E+01 .0000E+00

Y4= -.904791562895E+02 -.904791562895E+02 .0000E+00

H= 2.000 Y= .818730750638E+00

Y1= .818730750638E+00 .818730750638E+00 .0000E+00

Y2= .206115362244E-08 .206115362244E-08 .1457E-20

Y3= -.744300680430E+01 -.744300680430E+01 .0000E+00

Y4= -.818730748679E+02 -.818730748679E+02 .0000E+00

H= 3.000 Y= .740818217370E+00

Y1= .740818217370E+00 .740818217370E+00 .0000E+00

Y2= .935762296883E-13 .935762296884E-13 .9926E-25

Y3= -.673471106801E+01 -.673471106801E+01 .0000E+00

Y4= -.740818217481E+02 -.740818217481E+02 .0000E+00

H= 4.000 Y= .670320042040E+00

Y1= .670320042040E+00 .670320042040E+00 .0000E+00

Y2= .424835425529E-17 .424835425529E-17 .6008E-29

Y3= -.609381856492E+01 -.609381856492E+01 .0000E+00

Y4= -.670320042141E+02 -.670320042141E+02 .0000E+00

H=	5,000	Y=	.606530655194E+00	
Y1=	.606530655194E+00	.606530655194E+00	.1110E-15	
Y2=	.192874981790E-24	.192874981790E-24	.3440E-33	
Y3=	.551391504904E+01	.551391504904E+01	.1776E-14	
Y4=	.606530655285E+02	.606530655285E+02	.1121E-13	
H=	6,000	Y=	.548811631187E+00	
Y1=	.548811631187E+00	.548811631187E+00	.1110E-15	
Y2=	.875651076270E-26	.875651076270E-26	.1858E-37	
Y3=	.498919664791E+01	.498919664791E+01	.8982E-15	
Y4=	.548811631270E+02	.548811631270E+02	.1121E-13	
H=	7,000	Y=	.496585298612E+00	
Y1=	.496585298612E+00	.496585298612E+00	.1005E-15	
Y2=	.397544974350E-30	.397544974350E-30	.9339E-42	
Y3=	.154444806241E+01	.154444806241E+01	.1776E-14	
Y4=	.496585298638E+02	.496585298638E+02	.1121E-13	
H=	8,000	Y=	.449328958761E+00	
Y1=	.449328958761E+00	.449328958761E+00	.1665E-15	
Y2=	.466436453785E-31	.466436453785E-31	.3105E-16	
Y3=	.466436454662E+01	.466436454662E+01	.1756E-14	
Y4=	.449328958761E+02	.449328958761E+02	.1121E-13	
H=	9,000	Y=	.406569654288E+00	
Y1=	.406569654288E+00	.406569654288E+00	.1665E-15	
Y2=	.645404262399E-39	.645404262399E-39	.2607E-16	
Y3=	.369608776661E+01	.369608776661E+01	.1756E-14	
Y4=	.406569654349E+02	.406569654349E+02	.1121E-13	

R= 10.000	T= .367879435690E+00	
V1= .367879435690E+00	.367879435690E+00	.1665E-15
V2= .372007597602E-43	.372007597602E-43	.1315E-54
V3= .334435850677E+01	.334435850677E+01	.1332E-11
V4= .367879435745E+02	.367879435745E+02	.1421E-13

R E F E R E N C E S

1. Beardon A.F. (1979): "Complex Analysis"; John Wiley and Sons, New York.
2. Cash J.R. (1981): "On exponential Fitting of Composite Multiderivative Linear Multistep Methods"; SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 18; 808-821.
3. Dalquist G. (1956): "Convergence and Stability in the Numerical Integration of ODEs"; Math. Scand. 4; 33-53.
4. Dalquist G. (1959): "Stability and Error Bounds in the Numerical Integration of ODEs"; Transcript Vol. 130; Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm.
5. Dalquist G. (1963): "A Special Stability Problem for Linear Multistep Methods"; BIT 3; 21-34.
6. Dalquist G. and Bjorck A. (1974): "Numerical Methods"; Prentice and Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.
7. Enright W. (1972): "Studies in the Numerical Solution of Stiff ODEs"; Ph.D Thesis, Report No 46, Dept. of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Canada.
8. Enright W. and Pryce J.D. (1983): "Two Fortran Packages for Assessing Initial Value Methods"; Technical Report No. 167/83 Dept. of Computer Science, University of Toronto, Ontario.
9. Fatunla S.O. (1988): "Numerical Methods for IVPs in ODEs"; Academic Press Inc., London, U.S.A.
10. Gear C.W. (1967): "The Numerical Integration of ODEs"; Mathematics of Computation, 21, 146-156.
11. Gear C.W. (1969): "The Automatic Integration of Stiff ODEs in Information Processing", 68, 187-193; North-Holland Publishing Co. Amsterdam.
12. Gear C.W. (1971): "Numerical Initial Value Problems in ODEs"; Prentice Hall, New Jersey.

13. Gear C.W. (1981): "Numerical Solution of ODEs:- Is There Anything Left To Do?" ; SIAM Review, 23 ; 10 - 24.
14. Gladwell I. and Sayers D.N. (1980): "Computational Techniques for ODEs" Clarendon Press, Oxford.
15. Henrici P. (1962): "Discrete Variable Methods in ODEs" John Wiley and Sons, New York.
16. Hull G. and Watt J.M. (1976): "Modern Numerical Methods for ODEs"; Clarendon Press Oxford.
17. Jackson L.W. and Kenue S.K. (1974): "A Fourth Order Exponentially Fitted Method"; SIAM Journal in Numerical Analysis, 11 , 965 - 978.
18. Lambert J.D. (1973): "Computational Methods in ODEs" ; John Wiley and Sons, New York.
19. Lambert J.D. (1978): " Stiffness " ; Conference on Computational Technique for ODEs; IMA, University of Manchester, England.
20. Liniger W. and Willoughby R.A. (1970): "Efficient Algorithm Methods for Stiffs Systems of ODEs"; SIAM Jor. on Numer. Anal., 7 , 47-66.
21. Milne W.E. (1953): "Numerical Solution of Differential Equations" John Wiley and Sons, New York.
22. Widlund O. (1967): "A Note on Unconditionally Stable Linear Multistep Methods"; BIT, 7 , 65-70.
23. Brown R. L. (1974): "Multiderivative Numerical Methods for Solution of Stiff ODEs": Report No UIUCDCS-R-74-672, Dept. of Comp Sc., Univ of Illinois, USA.
24. Curtis C.F. and Hirschfelder J.O. (1952): "Integration of Stiff Equations" National Academy of Science: 38: 235-243
25. Enright W.H. Hull T.E, and Lindberg B. (1975): " Comparing Numerical Methods for Stiff Systems of ODEs" BIT. 15; 10-48
26. Gear C.W. (1974a): Algorithm 407: DIFSUB for solutions of ODEs. Communications of the ACM, 14, 185-190.
27. Twizell E.H. and Khalid A.Q.M. (1981): "One-step Multiderivative Methods for first order ODEs" BIT; 21; 518-527.
28. Twizell E.H. and Khalid A.Q.M. (1984) " Multiderivative methods for Periodic IVP", SIAM J Num. Anal; 21; 111-121