

09/06/2015

CP 722

**Running head: NIGERIA: THE MATRIX BETWEEN FRAGILITY OF LIVELIHOODS  
AND CONFLICT**

Nigeria: the Matrix between Fragility of Livelihoods and Conflict\*

Dr. Abiodun Odusote

Faculty of Law

University of Lagos

Akoka-Yaba

Lagos State

Nigeria

Being a paper delivered at the presented on the 17<sup>th</sup> of April, 2015 at the 5th International  
Conference on Livelihoods, Sustainability, and Conflict which took place at the Kennesaw  
University, Atlanta, USA

### Abstract

In recent times, there has been an increased outbreak of conflicts across the globe, particularly in areas experiencing livelihood fragility. Available literature suggests that in a society where livelihoods are threatened, minimal or non-existent, the people are generally more tempered and prone to violence and conflict. This paper consolidates the available literature on livelihoods and conflict, with the aim of identifying the nexus between the two concepts. It particularly interrogates the matrix between fragility of livelihoods and armed conflicts around the globe, with emphasis on *Boko Haram* and the Niger Delta conflicts. The paper notes that there seems to be a large pool of willing hands from where *Boko Haram* members are continuously being recruited. It establishes that there is greater fragility of livelihoods in that part of the country, the Northern Nigeria. The paper also engages with the Nigerian legislative framework on livelihoods and concludes that it is grossly deficient. It further enquires on the nature and context of sustainable livelihoods and conflict management in crisis-prone states. It brings together diverse opinions on the subject matter. Among the many lessons learnt and discussed is that sustainable livelihoods vulnerabilities have negative consequences, conflict being the prime. Overall, the paper concludes by urging governments across the globe to focus on various factors and processes which induce sustainable livelihoods fragility. The vulnerable members of the society must be given access to participatory, developmental and sustainable livelihood projects.

*Keywords:* livelihoods, conflict, fragility, poverty, and socio-economic rights

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In recent times, there has been an increased outbreak of conflicts across the globe, particularly in areas where access to and enjoyment of sustainable livelihoods is minimal or non-existent. This research seeks to identify the factors that fuel conflicts. It further investigates the complex relationship between deprivation of livelihoods or fragility of livelihoods and conflict. Under this matrix, one can find a wide variety of studies, approaches and analyses. Some studies focus on the effects of conflict on livelihood. Others focus on the linkages between poverty and other non-economic factors and how they combine to evoke conflicts. It is, however, generally agreed among scholars that there is a linkage between poverty and conflicts, though divergent views exist as to the nature of the linkage. Some scholars argue that poverty causes conflict, others contend that the reverse is the case, while some others assert that poverty indirectly induces conflict. This paper articulates the diverse works in this field by interrogating the many available theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence in this knowledge area. This is because a proper understanding of the relationship between lack of sustainable livelihood and conflict is useful for governments, governmental agencies, decisions-makers and donor agencies. It is hoped that the findings of this research will help in a better understanding of the causes of violence, how violence can be curbed and the role of fragility of livelihoods and lack of sustainable livelihoods in conflict. These issues are examined in broad terms but with particular emphasis on Africa and Nigeria. The paper argues that inability to meet livelihood demands contributes, in no small way, to rising insecurity and conflict across the globe and Africa in particular. Available evidence shows that in Africa, inability to earn a sustainable livelihood has the potential to, and often

induces conflict and conflict induces livelihood vulnerabilities, (Brainard and Chollet, 2007; Bello and Odusote, 2013).

Among the many lessons learnt and discussed is that sustainable livelihoods vulnerabilities have negative consequences, conflict being the prime. Overall, the paper concludes by urging governments across the globe, to identify, acknowledge, and focus on various factors and processes which induce sustainable livelihoods fragility. The vulnerable members of the society must be given access to participatory, developmental and sustainable livelihood projects.

The research is desk-study based, it considers and analyses primary and secondary data already in the public domain. This paper is divided into six sections. This introduction and conceptual clarification is followed by the section which discusses the Nigerian Legislative Framework and Practice on Livelihoods. Sections three and four explore the dynamics of livelihoods and conflict from diverse theoretical perspectives. Section five investigates the relationships between lack of Sustainable Livelihoods and Conflict, particularly in relation to the two major contemporary armed conflicts in Nigeria, while section six gives recommendations and conclusion.

### **1.1 Conceptual Clarification**

It is beyond the scope of this paper to extensively interrogate the existing literature in this field. Rather, the conceptual mapping detailed below will be used as tools that inform the analysis of the matrix between fragility of livelihoods and conflict.



## **Livelihood**

Ellis (2000) defines livelihood as “the activities, the assets, and the access that jointly determine the living gained by an individual or household”. Livelihood refers to the means of earning a living, including how to secure food, shelter, water, clothing and basic medical facilities. Livelihood has been defined as “the ways in which people access and mobilize resources that enable them to pursue goals necessary for their survival and longer-term well being, and thereby reduce the vulnerability created and exacerbated by conflict”, (Young et al., 2002). The focus of this paper is particularly more on the livelihoods of the poor and rural dwellers in Nigeria, and Africa at large. This study of livelihoods will assist in understanding dynamics of poverty and poverty alleviation.

## **Sustainable livelihood**

Sustainable Livelihood is a contemporary concept that originated from researchers, donors, and policy makers. It is conceived as a guiding principle to improve the understanding of livelihoods of the poor and rural dwellers, and for the formulation and implementation of developmental policies by governments and donors to improving their livelihoods, (Solesbury, 2003). It is a concept that is tailored to identify the poor, identify their opportunities, identify factors limiting their livelihoods and how efforts can be made to provide them means of livelihoods and overcome poverty in both the short term and in the future.

Sustainable Livelihood is commonly agreed as consisting:

... the capabilities, assets (stores, resources, claims and access) and activities required for a means of livelihood: a livelihood is sustainable which can cope

with and recover from stress and shocks, maintain or enhance its capabilities and assets, and provide sustainable livelihood opportunities for the next generation; and which contributes net benefits to other livelihoods at the local and global levels in short and long term (Chambers and Conway, 1992:7).

The Department for International Development (DFID) adapts a variant of Chambers and Conway definition:

... A livelihood is sustainable when it can cope with and recover from stresses and shocks and maintain or enhance its capabilities and assets both now and in the future, while not undermining the natural resource base, (DFID, 2000)

### **Fragility**

This occurs when individuals or a household has low vulnerability to risk. It refers to the various obstacles that negatively affect the citizenry in the pursuit of livelihoods. In the context of this paper, fragility is used interchangeably with vulnerabilities. Fragility is multidimensional, consisting of socio-economic, security, and political dimensions. State fragility is defined as the failure of the state to provide its citizenry with basic support in facilitation of livelihoods. Fragility emerges when individuals or households are faced with threats or shocks to their livelihoods with inadequate capacity to respond. Adverse impacts to livelihood in Nigeria include inclement farming weather, erosion, conflict, destruction of roads, deficient infrastructure, flood, insecurity, and high rate of unemployment; these may result in extreme hardship and abject poverty. A livelihood is perceived as fragile if it lacks the capacity to absorb shocks or stress.

## Poverty

There are many definitions of poverty, as well as forms of poverty. The scope of this research will not permit a detailed examination of all, only a few relevant definitions will be considered. Freidman (1996) perceives poverty as a form of disempowerment that can be viewed from three perspectives; socio-economic, political, and psychological. He explained that socio-economic poverty is deprivation or lack of access to means of livelihood. Politically motivated poverty is induced by lack of political will, focus and objectives by the state to ameliorate the poverty of the people. Psychological poverty is loss of self-worth and confidence by the people. This manifests in a society that has suffered age-long deprivation and there is prevalent belief that no matter how hard they try, they will continue to be poor. The manifestation of poverty includes the feelings of shame, anger, depression, powerlessness, a feeling of being victimized and isolated, (Ijaiya and Umar, 2004).

In the context of this paper, Onuoha's definition will be adopted, poverty refers to "a situation of deprivation in which an individual or group of individuals in a society lack the requisite resources, opportunities or means of livelihood to lead a long, healthy and satisfactory life by being unable to provide for their basic life requirements such as water, food, shelter, clothing, sanitation and a minimum level of education." (Onuoha, 2007). Poverty is characterized by absence of opportunities for earning a livelihood.



## **Conflict**

Conflict has many dimensions. Frosyth (1990) posits that conflict arises when “the actions or beliefs of one or more members of a group are unacceptable to, and, hence are resisted by one or more groups or members.” This definition is inadequate because conflict may also arise from the process of seeking peace, progress, or satisfaction. It may arise from misunderstanding, oppression, failure to reconcile divergent views, beliefs, and interests. Forms of conflict include; domestic conflicts, family conflicts, intra-personal conflict, social conflicts, religious conflicts, and armed conflicts, etc. The focus of this paper is on any conflict that results in hostility, disturbance of public peace and order; this will include civil strives, wars, arm struggles, insurgency, and terrorism, etc. To Wilson and Hanna (1990) conflict is the “struggle involving ideas, values and/or limited resources.” From the above, it can be said that conflict arises from contradictions that occur in social interactions.

## **2. NIGERIAN LEGISLATIVE FRAMEWORK AND PRACTICE ON LIVELIHOODS**

A comprehensive legal framework on livelihoods is necessary to provide guidelines on how to address such situations and may also serve as a tool for advocating for, achieving and the protection of the poor. For example, arguments for access to capitals of sustainable livelihoods can be strengthened by making specific reference to legal obligations. The Nigerian Constitution does not impose on the Nigerian government a legally enforceable obligation to assure or guarantee its citizens livelihood capitals. However, the international human rights law outlines the obligations and the duties of the state to respect, protect, and fulfill social rights. It enables



individuals and NGOs to claim and access certain essential capitals necessary to achieve sustainable livelihood and to enable the state to provide support to prevent or absorb vulnerabilities (UNDP, 2000; Sen, 1999). Conway, et al. (2002) rightly asserted rooting policy in universal basic rights may be the only way to reorient government priorities towards the poor. Basing entitlements in rights rather than discretionary policy makes it easier to defend continuity of service provision, increasing the political sustainability of pro-poor actions. By guaranteeing a minimum livelihood and discouraging extreme inequalities, enforceable economic and social rights also help to promote the social and political stability necessary for sustainable livelihoods. Relevant provisions of the International Covenants on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) to sustainable livelihoods include right to life, freedom from torture, freedom of movement, etc. The International Covenants on Economic and Social Rights protects right to food, housing, health, livelihood, and non-discrimination. Right based approach to livelihood has been recognized as an “important part in reducing the vulnerability of the poor. More generically, by ensuring a certain minimum standard of economic and social wellbeing, and thus directly and indirectly reducing the magnitude of inequalities visible in society, livelihood-related rights help to contain social tensions which may otherwise result in civil disorder, crime and violence, Conway, et al., (2002” (Moser *et al*, 2001).

However, human rights approach to livelihood has attracted criticism on the ground that poor states may not be able to afford the huge capital outlays that may be required to provide the basic support for sustainable livelihood. In response to this criticism, Conway, et al. (2002) recommended that states should adopt a selective approach by identifying, protecting and upholding key rights that underpin sustainable livelihoods. For example, the right to non-

discrimination, right to basic health, right to basic labour protections, right to access land and productive resources, and right to education, should always be protected by all states.

### 3. THE DYNAMICS OF LIVELIHOODS

Livelihoods deal with the way one earns and accesses the basic necessities of life, for oneself and one's family. It is the study of how poor people and rural dwellers create a living for themselves. It embodies the analysis of how they access the needed resources and the resources they can access. This includes a wide variety of resources; health, education, food, and shelter are the most basic. Also, inclusive are ecological and environmental factors that have the potential of impacting on their survival. Accessing these needs is influenced by the *fragility* variables in a society. This takes into account socio-political stability, favourable climate conditions in agrarian society, strife and conflicts, skills and knowledge acquisition. A negative combination of these factors has implications on how the people access and use their resources to earn a livelihood.

#### 3.1 Theoretical Perspectives on Livelihoods

It is generally agreed that the focus of livelihood approach is the elimination of poverty among poorer nations. A framework has been established to drive this objective and the framework is flexible enough to accommodate various local settings. It recognizes the changing views of poverty and the diversity of aspirations, the importance of assets and communities, and the role of constraints provided by institutional structures and processes, (Solesbury, 2003). Sustainable Livelihoods Approach (SLA) is widely accepted within academia, NGOs and developmental

agencies. A livelihood is sustainable when it can absorb and recover from stresses and shocks, (Scoones, 1998). The core principles of this approach include: any developmental plan or incentives must be people-oriented and involve inputs from them. SLA approach is holistic; it recognizes a variety of strategies, outcomes, influences and actors that combine to influence the livelihoods of stakeholders. It incorporates dynamism in its attempt to understand and proffer solutions to factors that shape people's lives. It identifies an individual's strengths rather than weaknesses. It aims to remove constraints for the realization of potentials. It builds bridges between the macro and micro levels of a society. Finally, it is committed to sustainability of livelihoods and varied dimensions. SLA is resilient in the face of challenges, identified as shocks and stresses; including environmental, economic, social, and institutional. These shocks must not be allowed to undermine the livelihood options of others.

Understanding SLA approaches is essential to understanding the livelihoods of the poor, and helps in poverty alleviation. It is essential to diagnosing the causes and effects of poverty. It is also important in the execution of developmental projects to conduct livelihood analysis. A thorough livelihood analysis will reveal why people are poor, limiting factors, shifting/seasonal constraints and economic shocks, etc. This analysis can be used as a checklist of how developmental activities fit in the livelihood of the poor, (Kollmair et al., 2002) SLA can also be used to measure the likely side effect of developmental projects on the poor.

### **3.2 Fragility of Livelihoods**

Fragility occurs when individuals or households are faced with threats to their means of livelihoods. This may occur when any of the essentials of sustainable livelihoods is threatened,



i.e. a constant threat to natural, social, human, physical or financial capital will occasion fragility. To illustrate further, to farmers, violent tides and inclement weather will expose them to vulnerabilities. To employees, high rate of unemployment and corruption will occasion fragility. These are harmful shocks that may bring about poverty if not adequately tackled. In sum, fragility occurs when livelihoods are not sustainable, when individuals or social units are open to something undesirable, harmful or injurious and are inadequately equipped to respond, mitigate or cope with the risk, (Rengasamy, 2008). Fragility of livelihoods connotes the susceptibility and inability of peoples to withstand negative impacts from stresses and shocks which they are exposed to. Rengasamy categorized risks that have the potential to occasion fragility into four:

- (i) Harmful trends, such as increasing soil erosion, frequent droughts, increasing incidence of HIV/AIDS, unfavourable development of commodity or input prices, etc.
- (ii) Shocks, such as earthquakes, floods, disease, loss of jobs, violent conflicts, destruction of physical infrastructure, (such as roads, bridges), etc.
- (iii) Harmful seasonal fluctuations, such as price fluctuations in crop and livestock markets, fluctuations in food availability due to seasonal climatic changes, etc
- (iv) Unfavorable socio-political environments, characterized by absence of rule of law, deprivation of rights, gender related discrimination, etc.



The prevalence of the above stresses and shocks in any society will definitely attract poverty. A society without food security, access to education, access to clean water, basic income and capital of production will experience abject poverty. Government must ensure that adequate framework is put in place to prevent, absorb, or ameliorate the occurrence of any of the factors identified above.

Proper understanding of fragility is essential to the study of how poverty can be assessed and effectively curtailed. Poverty is the lack of sustainable livelihood. Hence, in the later segment of this paper, the relationship between poverty and conflict will be investigated.

#### **4. THE DYNAMICS OF CONFLICT**

A state of conflict exists when there is a disagreement or hostility between opposing parties (Nicholson, 1992). Oftentimes, it involves the deployment of arms and ammunition. Conflict has diverse conceptual connotation. Among many types of conflict that have been identified are: intra-personal, inter-personal, family conflict, inter-group conflict, intra-state, inter-state, and global conflict, (Folarin, 2013). He also identified forms of conflict to include: arm struggle, war, revolution, terrorism, mutiny, protest and insurgency. Interrogation in this study covers any conflict that evokes disharmony, struggle, strife, hostility, contest, battle and war with the aim of assessing the common pattern of conflicts, and seeking the nexus between lack of sustainable livelihoods and conflict. Available literature suggests that livelihood is related to conflict because of the underlying effect of inequality, discrimination, deprivation, and marginalization

on the psyche of the people. This will in turn aid how to effectively deal with rising conflicts across the globe.

#### **4.1. Theoretical Perspectives on Conflict**

There are many theories put forward to explain the causes of conflict. Some of the relevant theories that have identified the causes of conflict, explained and inspired concrete policy proposals that try to minimize conflict, are set out below:

##### **The Marxist theory of conflict**

Proponents of this school of thought proceed on the assumption that there exist two unequal classes of people in the society. On one hand, there exist the rich, powerful and noble, on the other hand exist, the poor, socially excluded and deflated, identified as the proletariat. The former controls the livelihoods of the latter. The Marxist reason that by reason of this inequality, the society is set up to be in a state of perpetual conflict, the poor will always agitate for equality and survival, (Lenin, 1917). The pursuit of group respective divergent interests often leads to disagreement, misunderstanding and conflicts.

##### **The Economic theory of conflict**

This school of thought explains the link between economic deprivation and conflict. They contend that because many people compete for scarce and limited economic resources, there is bound to be conflict when a group is threatened with lack or scarcity of livelihoods. They further argue that the threat of, or perceived threat of, deprivation of farmlands and grazing lands are

often the causes of conflict in agrarian societies. In other societies, perceived interference with resource control or source of livelihoods often propel conflicts

### **The Frustration and Aggression theory of conflict**

The underlying thesis of this school of thought is that bottled-frustration is a major source of conflict, (Dollard and Doob, *et al*, 1939). A feeling of frustration is a feeling of disappointment. This school suggests that individuals become more aggressive when they perceive obstacles to their success, (Goor et al., 1996). This theory contends that when individuals or group are not given access to livelihoods or are constantly being deprived of livelihoods for no justifiable reasons, they are bound to react with anger and aggression, which will invariably lead to conflict (Berkowitz, 1969). For example, the perceived and continued violation of the farming lands of the Ogoni people, through oil exploration, has always been a cause of frequent conflict. The communities contend that the multinational oil companies and the Nigerian government have been exploiting their oil wealth, in the process, destroying their farmland and other elements of livelihoods. They were aggrieved because they were not adequately compensated. Their youths were hungry and unemployed. In this situation, conflict emerged. They vandalized oil pipelines, kidnapped oil expatriates and destroyed oil-drilling facilities. It is argued that conflict emerges when one group perceives its goals and aspirations are being blocked by another group, (Salem, 1993; Gurr, 1970).

### **The Relative deprivation theory of conflict**

The Relative Deprivation theory is closely associated with the Frustration and Aggression theory. Gurr (2005) one of its proponents argues that poverty and marginalization within



countries are, breeding grounds for violent political movements and terrorism. It contends that people often perceive themselves to be deprived in comparison with others. This perception creates inter-group hostility. These theories are germane in highlighting the nexus between lack of livelihoods and conflict. When people hold others accountable for their inability to access sustainable livelihoods, they rebel against the perceived enemy. This is true of the Nigerian Niger Delta crisis and the *Boko Haram* conflicts. Agbiboa (2013) rightly observed that, “many of the members attracted by *Boko Haram* are animated by deep-seated socioeconomic and political grievances, such as poor governance and elite corruption” and because of fragility of livelihoods in the Northeastern Nigeria, the dreaded group enjoy sympathy and protection among the generality of the impoverished and alienated people of the region (Campbell, 2012). It is also generally agreed that deprivation of livelihoods of the inhabitants of the Niger Delta by the multi-national oil companies through oil exploration, environmental degradation, perceived insensitivity of the state, pollution of rivers and farmlands, was the cause of the Niger Delta crisis. Consequently, people whose main vocations are farming and fishing have been deprived of their primary means of earning a livelihood. These have led to various forms of violence and conflicts, including arson, kidnapping, oil installation vandalizations, etc.

### **The Structural theory of conflict and the Insitutionalist theory of conflict**

In explaining the causes of conflict these schools of thought argue that conflict is inherent in the way societies are structured. The causation of conflict is traceable to the tension generated when people compete for scarce resources. It is argued that social exclusion, discrimination, inequalities, and economic expiration are among many factors that can generate conflict in the society, (Oakland, 2005). The institutionalist theory stresses that a combination of lack of



opportunity to redress grievances, high level of segregation and discrimination, irresponsible judicial system, low capacity or lack of mechanism for dispute resolution, inadvertently make conflict inevitable. A society that lacks effective institutional approach to grievance resolution is prone to conflict. There must be effective policing, law enforcement, rule of law, electoral system and judicial system, otherwise aggrieved individuals will resort to violence and conflict, (Restrepo et al, 2008; Murshed and Tadjoeeddin, 2007; Stewart, 2003).

## **5. RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN LACK OF SUSTAINABLE LIVELIHOODS AND CONFLICT**

Poverty deprives people of the freedom to decide over and shape their own lives; it robs them of the opportunity to choose on matters of fundamental importance to themselves. Lack of power and choice and lack of material resources form the essence of poverty...Power, opportunities and security and the lack of them are closely linked. Empowerment and opportunities can reduce insecurity, (Sida, 2002)

What is the relationship between poverty and conflict? Are they correlated? To what extent does poverty induce conflict? How does poverty induce conflict? The relationship between deprivation of livelihoods and conflict is multi-dimensional and complex, (Goodhand, 2001). Scholars provide different answers to these questions.

On the one hand, some scholars dismissed the link between poverty and conflict. They contend that poverty can only lead to conflict when other non- economic factors are present, (Nelson, 1998; Connor 1994; Esman, 1994; Collier and Hoeffler, 1998, 2004). Others have argued that

other factors that may converge to induce conflict include ethnic composition and political decay, (Humphreys, 2002; Murshed, 2007). Esman (1994) further argued that to attribute major conflicts to economic deprivations, inequalities or unemployment is to trivialize and distort the stake of the struggles. Cramer (2001) also questioned the link between poverty and conflict, and the poor quality of available data suggesting a link between poverty and conflict. They contend that greed, and primitive hunger for wealth accumulation, rather than grievance, tend to cause violent conflict.

On the other hand, there are other studies and scholars that have established, through theoretical and empirical analysis, that there is a link between deprivation of livelihood and conflict. They argue that perennial inequalities, social exclusion, scarcity of resources, religious strife, and poverty have destabilizing effect on the society (Esteban and Ray, 1998; Hirschleifer, 1982). This point is best understood in relation to unending strife and conflict in Sub-Saharan Africa, which remains one of the poorest regions of the world. The relationship between poverty and conflict is evidenced in this part of the world. Social and economic deprivations, lack of sustainable livelihoods and inequalities are commonplace in Sub-Saharan Africa, so is conflict, (Austin, 1999). In support of this view, Attwood (2005) observed a strong correlation between lack of sustainable livelihoods, fragility and the potential for violence.

Underpinning this assertion is the theory that failure to satisfy basic needs of life is a platform for conflict (Maslow, 1970). Maslow identified human basic needs to include, the physiological needs of hunger, safety, and self fulfillment. The platform for conflict becomes activated when the means of sustaining the basic needs are threatened or non-existent. Frustration to meet the basic needs (known in contemporary terms as livelihoods) leads to dejection, and a feeling of

powerlessness. This feeling of dejection generates deviant behavioural dysfunction in individuals and groups with similar feelings of hopelessness. This condition consequently creates the aspiration to restore psychic equilibrium (Agoha, 2013). Hence, individuals and groups choose an alternative path that is deemed necessary to facilitate and sustain their well-being. This often brings groups in conflict with other groups. For example, there seems to be a large pool of willing hands from where *Boko Haram* members are being recruited. What is the reason for this? Many young people do not have a stake in the society. They are jobless and with no hope of enjoying sustainable livelihood. There are no opportunities to access livelihoods. And suddenly, they are invited to join the *Boko Haram* group, with a promise of food, shelter, power, women and comradeship. Collier (1999) rightly observed, ‘if young men face only the option of poverty, they might be more inclined to join a rebellion’. This proposition strengthens the deprivation theory of Conflict discussed above.

*Boko Haram* exists predominantly in the North-East of Nigeria. A close look at the livelihoods of the inhabitants of the North-East of Nigeria will reveal a correlation between poverty and conflict. It is generally agreed that there is poverty in Nigeria. However, greater poverty exists in the North-East of Nigeria. The abject poverty in the North East of Nigeria, abysmal low level of literacy, as well as the feeling of insecurity combine to provide a safe haven and human resources for *Boko Haram*. The level of disillusionment and discontent in this part of the country is higher than any other part. This part of the country has the highest poverty rate in the country, the highest level of unemployment in the country, and has the highest proportion of children of school age out of school. *Boko Haram* feeds on this discontent. The UK Department for International Development’s (DFID) framework on livelihoods is completely absent in this part



of the country. The residents live in a very poor condition characterized by lack of access to shelter, formal education, food, and healthcare.

Available literature further suggests that deprivation of, or failure to access basic amenities of life, such as food, shelter, housing and medical facilities, promote a feeling of insecurity and hopelessness. These feelings invariably evoke violence and conflict among the people. For example, the Niger-Delta militants perceive the oil exploration activities of the multinational oil companies as detrimental to their farming and economic interests. This has occasioned several conflicts between the oil-drilling companies and the Niger-Delta militants. Drawing from the analysis of the institutionalist theory, and how the lack of effective dispute resolution mechanism may induce conflict, this paper now seeks to interrogate how the lack of effective dispute resolution mechanism have contributed to the Nigerian Niger-Delta crisis.

The Niger Delta communities had hitherto attempted to ventilate their anger and aggression through many forms of civil and peaceful resolution mechanisms to no effect (Saliu, Luqman, Abdullahi, 2007). Ken Saro-Wiwa and other major activists of the Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni People, (MOSOP) were executed by a military tribunal. The Movement was established to advocate for the control and use of the resources in the oil-rich communities to enhance the sustainable livelihoods of the inhabitants. There was obvious dearth of conflict resolution mechanism to ameliorate their perceived maltreatment and exclusion. The Niger-Delta communities appeared not to be a priority for the state to protect. Against this background, there was looting, arson, kidnapping and destruction of properties. Victims of crime were apprehensive and failed to seek justice because of the failure of the Justice system to prosecute and punish the identified violators, (Human Rights Watch, 2004). There was prevalence of



abject poverty, low income per capita, and concentration of wealth in the hands of a few elites perceived to have betrayed the communities, weak enforcement system, low conflict resolution capacity and high tolerance for deviant behaviour. These conditions set the stage for the violent armed conflict that was to follow. There was a massive armed conflict in the region for several years until a significant part of their grievances and demands were met. This singular conflict provides empirical evidence in support of the institutionalist theory of conflict and the frustration and aggression theory of conflict.

It has also been established that life is cheap in areas experiencing deprivation of livelihoods. Less importance is attached to human lives in such deprived neighbourhoods. Inhabitants are generally short-tempered, easily provoked, and amenable to violence and conflict. Where arms and ammunition are not available, such people are willing to fight at the slightest provocation, even, with their bare fists. Gareth (1999) provides empirical evidence in support of this view. It is shown that during the Nigerian recession of the 1970s, many young Nigerians were unemployed and this tremendously induced poverty. There was rapid economic regression. These conditions preceded and induced the Maitatsine conflict of that era.

It is generally agreed among scholars that ghettos and downtown settlements are more prone to violence and conflicts. It is beyond coincidence that conflicts across the globe affects more than proportionally the poor, rural populations and isolated and disconnected areas. For example, it is generally agreed that there is poverty in Nigeria. Greater poverty exists in the Northern Nigeria. For example, the *Boko Haram* crisis and the Niger-Delta crisis are predominantly domiciled among the poor and the rural dwellers. These communities have experienced economic exclusion and inequality since the colonial days. The African Development Bank also provides

empirical evidence to suggest that fragile economies are more disproportionately likely to be affected by conflict. It observes that, “almost 80 percent (15 out of 19) of African fragile states have experienced armed conflict in the last 20 years, with 11 countries experiencing armed conflicts in the last 10 years, (ADB, 2014).”

### 5.1 Empirical Analysis of a Complex Relationship

It is beyond doubt that Nigeria is home to a huge proportion of the world’s poorest. The recent rebasing of the Nigerian GDP notwithstanding, 55% of the population live on less than one dollar a day (NBS, 2010) and this meager sum is insufficient to earn a livelihood. Some of the significant problems in Nigeria include insecurity, conflict, illiteracy, unemployment, lack of access to basic healthcare facilities, and lack of access to shelter. These problems are most pronounced in the North-Eastern and the South Southern Nigeria. The former is home to *Boko Haram*, while the latter is home to Niger-Delta crisis. The irony of South-Southern Nigeria is that the region has one of the largest oil reserves in the world. However, it also hosts one of the poorest set of people in the world, (Saliu, 2007). Poverty exists in the midst of riches and abundance. Majority of the inhabitants of the region live in slums and shanties, unemployment is rife, and illiteracy is prevalent. As mentioned earlier, oil exploration has cost the inhabitants dearly. In a field research by Aluko (2004), his findings reveal that 95.8 percent of the inhabitants of the Niger Delta are of the opinion “that oil exploitation and the environmental degradation that accompanied it, is largely responsible for their impoverishment as this denied them of their primary source of livelihoods.” Sharing of oil revenue has also fostered a feeling of inequality and segregation. Inability of the governments to translate earned oil revenue to sustainable growth and improved livelihood has festered the feeling of resentments among the

inhabitants against the governments, resulting in violence and conflicts. There is obvious failure of accountability and transparency in governance, governments have failed to apply oil revenues to alleviate the sufferings of the people. Fragility of livelihoods in these regions has been ignored for too long. The high rate of illiteracy and economic backwardness has made many inhabitants skeptical about a system and democracy that have brought them little or no benefits. Giving these scenarios, it is easy to appreciate why the army of unemployed and disenchanted youths will easily embrace *Boko Haram* that will offer them better livelihoods and provide them the platform to attack a detested system (Onuoha, 2010).

In Borno State, one of the predominant *Boko Haram* states, “only 2% of children under 25 months have been vaccinated; 83% of young people are illiterate; 48.5% of children do not go to school” (Rogers, 2012)

**Table 1: Regional incidence of poverty by different poverty measures in Nigeria.**

| Zone/Region | Food<br>Poor | Absolute<br>Poor | Relative<br>Poor | A Dollar Poor |
|-------------|--------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|
|             |              |                  |                  |               |




|               |      |      |      |      |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|
| North-Central | 38.6 | 59.5 | 67.5 | 59.7 |
| North-East    | 51.5 | 69.0 | 76.3 | 69.1 |
| North-West    | 51.8 | 70.0 | 77.7 | 70.4 |
| South-East    | 41.8 | 58.7 | 67.0 | 59.2 |
| South-South   | 35.5 | 55.9 | 63.8 | 56.1 |
| South-West    | 25.4 | 49.2 | 59.1 | 50.1 |

Tab. 1Source: Omoh (2012) Ikelegbe, (2012), quoting Nigerian Bureau of Statistics, p.19

The above table shows why Nigeria is rated as one of the poorest economies of the world. Majority of its inhabitants live on less than one dollar per day. Amidst this poverty, greater and excruciating poverty exist in the North-Central, North-East, and North-West Nigeria. *Boko-Haram* resides and operates in that part of Nigeria. Prior to the *Boko Haram* crisis, that part of the country has experienced frequent violence and conflict. From the above table, over 70% of the inhabitants of the North-East and North-West live in abject poverty. The people feel victimized and disenchanted and are always willing to take to violence against the state at the slightest provocation. This correlates with the frustration and aggression and the relative deprivation theories of conflict discussed above. Similarly, Alozieuwa (2012), reflecting on the

body of empirical evidence available to him, expressed a corroborating view in his research, in noting that:

...Professor Jean Herskovits of the State University of New York, to whom 'it was clear in 2009 when the insurgency began that the root cause of violence and anger in both the north and south of Nigeria is endemic poverty and hopelessness,' the government must address socio-economic deprivation, which is most severe in the north (Herskovits, 2012). Indeed the very high incidence of poverty in Nigeria is generally seen as a northern phenomenon. A study by Professor Charles Soludo, shows the three northern regions having an average poverty incidence of 70.1% compared to 34.9% of the south's three. Ten states in Nigeria with the highest incidence of poverty also are all northern states, whereas the ten states with the lowest incidence of poverty are all southern states, (Lukman, n.d.). Thus, "70% of the people living in the north live below \$1 per day, which is equivalent to N129 per day," (ibid). The high conflict potential of the developing areas could indeed be a function of frustration caused by economic deprivation, (Dougherty and Pfaltzgrate, Jr. 1990: 266).



Tab 2. Source: Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI) Country Briefing 2014

The Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative (OPHI) 2014 report reveals the extent of poverty in the North-Eastern where the *Boko Haram* insurgency is raging. The table above shows that Bauchi State has the highest percentage of people living in extreme poverty in Nigeria. The report which measured multi-dimensional poverty index (MPI) for Nigeria also noted that 19.3 percent of the population remained vulnerable to poverty. Fragility of livelihoods is quite high in Nigeria. This report takes into consideration depravity in livelihoods capitals in at least one third of the weighted indicators, including access to education, school attendance, child mortality, shelter, sanitation, water, floor, cooking, fuel, and assets.



From the above data and analysis, it can be observed that aside from poverty, the North-eastern part of Nigeria has for long experienced livelihoods vulnerability challenges. The residents are susceptible to physical and emotional harms from diverse threats. They have limited or no access to education, basic healthcare facilities, sanitary facilities, shelter, and financial assistance. They also often suffer from poor harvest because of unfavourable weather conditions and lack of farming technological know-how. Hence, they suffer from low social status, coupled with a feeling of hopelessness. It is clear from the above analysis and the body of available literature that fragility of livelihoods and poverty has immensely fuelled conflicts in Nigeria.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This paper has shown that the relationship between fragility of livelihoods, lack of livelihoods, and conflict is real and interwoven. All the various theories of conflict considered above have established how deprivation or lack of access to livelihoods can inspire conflict. The empirical evidence presented above has also shown how real and perceived deprivations of livelihoods have inspired the Niger-Delta struggle and how poverty has contributed to the *Boko Haram* conflict. Various studies by the African Development Bank, the Nigerian Bureau of Statistics and the recent Oxford Poverty and Human Development Initiative presented above also demonstrated and confirmed the correlation between fragility of livelihood and poverty. Researches considered and presented above have also shown that scholars that disagree that poverty exclusively causes poverty, however, agree that poverty, oftentimes, is one of the causes of poverty. This paper does not contend that all conflicts are inspired by poverty, neither does it assert that, at all times, poverty leads to conflict. The thesis is that when people have a feeling of being oppressed, victimized, segregated, or discriminated against, and not able to access

livelihoods capitals, the deprivation/aggression theory will always come to play. This is corroborated by the Niger Delta crisis, the recourse to violence by the Niger-Delta youths is because of governments' insensitivity to their plights and their many protests. Evidence presented above showed that the crisis abated when governments met their demands and presented them the opportunities to earn their livelihoods.

From the above analysis, it is obvious that continued apathy to the poor and the voiceless have grave implications for national security. The paper has shown that such treatment of the vulnerable, oftentimes, occasions conflict. Dealing with, and arresting increased conflict across the globe must be handled with great caution and understanding of the underlying factors underpinning conflict. Military option should be used sparingly. Preventing and arresting the spread of conflict demands new thinking, solution and strategies. This research advocates that governments across the globe should focus on and remedy various factors and processes which constraint access to sustainable livelihoods by the poor and the ethnic minorities. Policy of affirmation and national integration must be aggressively pursued. The most vulnerable in the society should be given access to choice, and opportunities, to sustainable livelihoods.

To arrest fragility and reduce poverty, government and donors should pursue poverty eradication policies after a due diligence on sustainable livelihoods of any particular society. To instill resilience and achieve sustainable livelihoods, governments across African states must address the causes of fragility. Seed capitals should be provided for entrepreneurs, agriculture and farming should be encouraged and government must provide assistance, qualitative education must be provided free and made compulsory at the primary and secondary school levels. Factors

that promote violence should be dealt with; segregation, all forms of inequalities, and exclusion should be addressed.

To corroborate the institutionalist theory discussed above, the Nigerian government and governments across the developing countries should place premium on the establishment and sustenance of credible, efficient, and independent criminal justice institutions. Perpetrators of violence should be investigated, arrested and brought to justice within a reasonable time. The police should be adequately equipped, structured and motivated to deliver. The court system and rules of court should be revised to be responsive to contemporary challenges. When perpetrators of crimes are brought to book, it sends a very strong signal of deterrence to other potential imitators and sympathizers of armed groups, otherwise, violence will foster as with *Boko Haram*.

It is also important for governments to establish other informal bodies that may hear grievances and settle disputes in a non-adversarial manner. Reconciliation bodies, arbitral panels and other dispute settling mechanism should be set up to address institutional, age-long, and contemporary grievances. Recommendations of these bodies should be implemented without delays. This will promote reconciliation, and peaceful co-existence among diverse ethnic, religious and groups. In Nigeria, the Niger-Delta crisis was significantly resolved and the violence curbed through negotiation. The Federal government granted amnesty to the various Niger-Delta armed groups. The government met some of their grievances by sending a good number of unemployed youths abroad for training, employed a good number and offered some other lucrative contracts. Governments also embarked on major infrastructural developments in the region



The provisions of Chapter 2 of the Nigerian Constitution on the fundamental objectives and directive principles of state policy have the potentials to strengthen fragility of livelihoods. However, because they are mere ideals and aspirations to guide organs and agencies of governments in the performance of their duties, they have not been engaged to fulfill their potentials. They do not confer any legal right and remedy. These provisions confer obligations on government to provide security and welfare, to embrace national integration and discourage all forms of discriminations. Chapter 2 further encourages inter-marriage between diverse ethnic and religious groups, abolition of corrupt practices, enforcement of the rule of law, promotion of efficient, dynamic and self-reliant economy to include distribution of wealth and livelihoods. Qualitative education should be free and compulsory at the primary and secondary school levels, and the environment should be protected, improved, and water, air, land, forest and wildlife of Nigeria should be safeguarded. Nigerian governments have failed to conform to these ideals and because they are not enforceable rights. These noble objectives and principles have been ignored by governments. They have pursued contrary objectives; corruption, segregation, favouritism, and injustice have been embraced. Aggrieved citizens could not challenge the failure of government to provide for them in a court of law, hence, resort to violence and conflict. It is proposed that some of the provisions of Chapter 2 be made enforceable in a court of law.

Finally, it is imperative that governments across African states invest in intelligence gathering and capacity building of security agencies. These agencies should be trained, and retrained in modern-day warfare and conflict resolution tactics, particularly in the use of and deployment of computer and technology to detect and diffuse potential violence. The Customs and Immigration

Department must have a greater control of the Nigerian borders as it has been widely reported that mercenaries and illegal immigrants cross West African borders to assist *Boko Haram*.

## References

- African Development Bank, (2014) From Fragility to Resilience: Managing Natural Resources in Fragile States in Africa. Summary Report. Tunis: African Development Bank
- Agbiboa, D. E. (2013) Why Boko Haram Exists: The Relative Deprivation Perspective. *African Conflict and Peacebuilding Review*, 3 (1) 144-157.
- Agbiboa, D. E. (2013) No Retreat, No Surrender: Understanding the Religious Terrorism of Boko Haram in Nigeria. *African Study Monographs*, 34 (2) 65-84.
- Agoha, B.C.E. (2013) Psychological Dimensions of Conflict, in K. Soremekun, S. Folarin, D. Gberville, D. Moses (eds) Readings in Peace & Conflict Studies. Ota: Covenant University
- Bello, A., Odusote, A. (2013) The Matrix of Bad Governance: Corruption and Insecurity in Nigeria Proceedings of the 46th Annual Conference of the Nigerian Association of Law Teachers. Ilorin: University of Ilorin
- Berkowitz, L. (1969) The Frustration-Aggression Hypothesis Revisited, in L. Berkowitz (eds) Roots of Aggression. New York: Atherton
- Brainard, L. and Chollet, D. (2007) Too Poor for Peace? Global Poverty, Conflict, and Security in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institutions
- Carney, D. (1998) Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: What Contribution Can We Make? London: DFID.



- Collier, P. (2000) Doing Well out of War: An Economic Perspective, in M. Berdal and D. M. Malone (eds) Greed and Grievance: Economic Agendas in Civil Wars. Boulder: Lynne Rienner Publishers
- Collier, P. Hoeffler, A. (2004) Greed and Grievance in Civil Wars Oxford Economic Papers. Oxford: Oxford University
- Chambers, R. and Conway, G. (1992) Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: Concept for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century. Discussion Paper 296. Brighton: IDS
- Conway, T., Moser, C., Norton, A., Farrington, J. (2002) Rights and Livelihoods Approaches: Exploring Policy Dimensions. London: Overseas Development Institute.
- Dollard, J., Doob, L.W., Miller, N.E., Mowrer, O.H., and Sears, R.R (1939) Frustration and Aggression. New Haven: Yale University
- Folarin, S.F. (2013) Types and Causes of Conflict, in K. Soremekun, S. Folarin, D. Gberevbie, and D. Moses (eds) Readings in Peace & Conflict Studies. Ota: Covenant University
- Friedman, J. (1996) Rethinking Poverty: Empowerment and Citizens Rights. Number 148: International Social Science Journal
- Forsyth, D. R. (1990) Human Aggression: Pacific Grove. California: Brooks and Cole Publishing.
- Goodhand, J. (2001) Violent Conflict, Poverty and Chronic Poverty. *Chronic Research Centre* 7-