

**EFFECTS OF STABILIZATION EXERCISE ON PAIN,
CROSS-SECTIONAL AREA AND MUSCLE
THICKNESS OF LUMBAR MULTIFIDUS IN
PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN**

BY

AKODU, ASHIYAT KEHINDE

(MATRIC NO: 089098007)

**THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE
STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF DOCTOR OF
PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D) DEGREE IN PHYSIOTHERAPY.**

FEBRUARY, 2013

DECLARATION

With the exception of duly acknowledged references. I hereby declare that this research work was carried out by me at the Department of Physiotherapy, College of Medicine of the University of Lagos, under the supervision of my supervisors and has not been submitted to any other institution for the purpose of obtaining another degree.

.....

AKODU, ASHIYAT KEHINDE

SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES

UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the thesis:

“Effects of stabilization exercise on pain, cross-sectional area and muscle thickness of lumbar multifidus in patients with chronic low back pain”

Submitted to the School of Postgraduate Studies, University of Lagos for the award of the
degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D)

Is a record of original research work carried out

By

AKODU, ASHIYAT KEHINDE

In the Department of Physiotherapy

College of Medicine University of Lagos, Idi-Araba, Lagos.

_____ AUTHOR’S NAME	_____ SIGNATURE	_____ DATE
_____ SUPERVISOR’S NAME	_____ SIGNATURE	_____ DATE
_____ SUPERVISOR’S NAME	_____ SIGNATURE	_____ DATE
_____ INTERNAL EXAMINER’S NAME	_____ SIGNATURE	_____ DATE
_____ INTERNAL EXAMINER’S NAME	_____ SIGNATURE	_____ DATE
_____ EXTERNAL EXAMINER’S NAME	_____ SIGNATURE	_____ DATE
_____ PG SCHOOL REPRESENTATIVE	_____ SIGNATURE	_____ DATE

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to all individuals with low back pain all over Nigeria, their families and care givers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my profound gratitude to Almighty God who gave me the grace to commence and successfully complete this programme.

My sincere appreciation and gratitude goes to Professor SRA Akinbo who is the main supervisor of this work. I wish to acknowledge his great support from inception and completion of this study. I also wish to appreciate Dr DO Odebiyi my second supervisor. The moral guidance and supports from all my supervisors cannot be overemphasized. Their sound judgement, counsel, guidance and constructive criticism have led to the quality of this work. I am humbled by their constant support, moulding, reshaping and remodeling, though thought to be too strict and unbearable, yet had been the driving force for prompt and successful completion of this programme. Thank you, I will always appreciate.

I also appreciate Dr AO Adeyomoye (Consultant radiologist) and Dr. FY Daji (a Senior Registrar) in the Department of Radiobiology, Radiotherapy, Radiography, Radio diagnosis who taught me the scanning procedure and were also there throughout the study when the assessment of Cross-sectional area and thickness of lumbar multifidus muscle was being done.

I am grateful to Dr OA Olawale for his full support which has encouraged me to move on. I wish to extend my appreciation to Professor IO Owoeye; Dean, Faculty of Clinical Sciences, Professor FEA Lesi; Sub-Dean School of Postgraduate studies; Dr EA Adedun; Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies, Professor LO Chukwu; Provost, College of Medicine, Professor FT Ogunsola and Vice Chancellor of the University of Lagos, Professor RA Bello for their support throughout the programme. Thank you all.

To my senior colleagues and teachers in the Department of Physiotherapy, Mr. C.B. Aiyejunsule, Dr BA Tella, Dr AI Aiyegbusi, I appreciate your meaningful contribution to the thesis. Your positive criticism, enthusiastic suggestions and painstaking attention to details have really helped me through the research period. To the Assistance Director of Physiotherapy, Mrs OA Ajiboye, I say thank you ma.

To my colleagues in the Department of Physiotherapy, Mrs. HA Aweto, Mr. UAC Okafor, Mr. OBA Owoeye and Dr CA Gbiri and those in the clinical section of the Department of Physiotherapy, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Idi-Araba, Lagos; Mr. AG Awe, Mrs. OAT Oluwale, Mr. AS Olaniyan, Mrs. RO Alao, Mr. AM Akinfeleye, Mr. OA Adamson, Mrs. YM Adeniji, Mr. OA Fapojuwo, Ms. U Bakare, Mrs. AO Taiwo, Mr. RO Kareem and others, I appreciate you for your support. Thank you to all administrative staff of Physiotherapy Department, College of Medicine, University of Lagos; Mrs. ME Umeh, Mrs. EB Isong, Mr SA Jegede, Mr. OA King, Mr. TR Alonge, Mr. KJ Abioye and Mrs. MF Udeme. I appreciate the logistic support of the Assistant Director of Physiotherapy, National Orthopaedic hospital Igbobi (NOHI), all staff of the department of Physiotherapy, NOHI especially Mrs. O Osuji.

I am also thanking those notable people who supported me during the course of the study; Associate Professor AA Osinubi, Mr OS Olowe, Mr BN Opara, Mr S. Agbetile, Mrs. R Odubu and Mr K Okehiria Mr. TO Akeju.

My unreserved appreciation to my family especially my beloved husband; Dr BA Akodu, for his moral, physical, spiritual and financial support at every stage of the study. For his love care and attention, I say thank you. To my loving children, I appreciate your zeal and support which has given rest of mind especially when I had to leave you at some specific periods which mattered most, all for the sake of this programme, Abdurrahman and Aliyah Akodu;

thank you, I will always cherish you. My appreciation also goes to my parent Alhaji and Alhaja OK Bakre and my twin sister Mrs AT Akanbi. Thank you all for the support and encouragement.

To the patients who participated in this study, I appreciate your cooperation and willingness during the course of the study. I am highly grateful to you. Thank you all and God bless.

AKODU, ASHIYAT KEHINDE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLES	PAGES
Title page...	i
Declaration	ii
Certification	iii
Dedication	iv
Acknowledgements	v
Table of contents	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
List of Appendices	xiv
Abstract	xv

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Statement of Problem.	4
1.3	Aim of the Study.	5
1.4	Specific Objectives.	5
1.5	Significance of the Study.	6
1.6	Scope of the study....	6
1.7	Definition of Terms.	6
1.8	List of Abbreviations.	7

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Spinal Pathology	9
2.2	Back Pain	10
2.2.1	Low Back Pain...	12
2.2.2	Treatment of low back pain...	14
2.2.3	Types of low back pain...	15
2.2.4	Aetiopathogenesis of low back pain	16

2.2.5	Specific Causes of LBP...	19
2.2.6	Functions of the back muscles ...	20
2.3	Lumbar multifidus muscle	21
2.3.1	Clinical anatomy and assessment of Lumbar multifidus muscle in patient with low back pain ...	28
2.3.2	Transversus Abdominis...	30
2.3.3	Function of Lumbar multifidus (LM) and Transversus abdominis (TrA) muscle ...	33
2.4	Lumbopelvic stability control system...	35
2.5	Dynamic stabilization of the lumbar spine...	37
2.6	Dysfunction of the neuromuscular system in the presence of low back pain.....	41
2.6.1	Directional patterns of lumbar segmental instability...	42
2.7	Stabilization Training ...	43
2.7.1	Benefit of stabilization exercises when compared with general exercises.	45
2.7.2	Stabilization exercise treatment rationale ...	47
2.8	Ultrasound imaging in rehabilitation...	48
2.9	Pressure Biofeedback Unit...	55
2.10	Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation...	57
2.11	Massage Therapy ...	58
2.12	Spinal Anatomy ...	61
2.13	Ligaments of the spinal column...	75
2.14	Nerve Structure of the Spine...	77
2.15	Muscles of the Spine...	

CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1	Materials ...	87
3.1.1	Subjects Selection ...	87
3.2	Ethical consideration ...	88
3.3	Instrumentation ...	88

3.4	Research design	91
3.5	Sampling size determination	91
3.6	Sampling technique.....	92
3.7	Procedure for data collection	95
3.7.1	Pre-intervention Assessment	95
3.7.2	Intervention	103
3.7.3	Post- intervention Assessment.....	107
3.8	Data Analysis	108
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS		
4.1	Demographic characteristics of the participants...	109
4.2	Outcome measure parameters at baseline, end of 4 th week and 8 th week	
	Post- intervention	109
4.3	Outcome measure parameters at pre-treatment (baseline) and	
	Post-treatment (end of 8 th week)....	112
4.4	Comparison between the pre-treatment (baseline) and post- treatment (end 8 th week)	
	assessment of lumbar multifidus CSA (cm ²) across and among the groups....	115
4.5	Comparison between pre-treatment (baseline) and post- treatment (end of 8 th week)	
	assessment of lumbar multifidus muscle thickness across and among the four groups	115
CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
5.1	Discussion...	121
5.2	Summary of Findings	126
5.3	Conclusions...	126
5.4	Recommendations	127
5.5	Contributions to Knowledge	127
REFERENCES		129

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1:	Demographic Characteristics of the Participants...	110
Table 2:	Outcome Measure parameters at Baseline, end of 4 th Week and 8 th Week. ...	111
Table 3:	Outcome measure parameters at pre- treatment (baseline) and post- treatment (End of 8 th Week)	114
Table 4:	Comparison of the pre- treatment (baseline) and post- treatment (End 8 th week) assessment of lumbar multifidus CSA (cm ²) across and among the groups. ...	116
Table 5:	Comparison of the pre- treatment (baseline) and post-treatment (End 8 th week) assessment of lumbar multifidus Thickness (cm) across and among the groups at the level of L4-L5 vertebral level....	117

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:	Amounts of fat in the Lumbar multifidus muscle...	18
Figure 2:	Lumbar multifidus muscle...	24
Figure 3:	Attachment of Lumbar multifidus muscle to the Sacrum ...	25
Figure 4:	The Attachment of the deep layers of Lumbar multifidus Muscle...	26
Figure 5:	The Attachment of Superficial layers of Lumbar multifidus Muscle.....	27
Figure 6:	Transversus abdominis muscle antero-posterior view...	32
Figure 7:	The diagram of the sub- systems...	36
Figure 8:	The global muscles ...	39
Figure 9:	Transverse scan at the level of fourth lumbar vertebra...	53
Figure 10:	Ultrasound image of the left multifidus muscle in Parasagittal section...	54
Figure 11:	Pressure Biofeedback Unit	56
Figure 12:	The Cervical vertebrae...	65
Figure 13:	The Thoracic vertebrae...	67
Figure 14:	The Lumbar vertebrae...	69
Figure 15:	The Sacral bone...	71
Figure 16:	The axial overhead view of the intervertebral disc...	73
Figure 17:	The Anterior and Posterior Longitudinal Ligament...	76
Figure 18:	Recruitment and allocation of patients.	94
Figure 19:	Procedure for inflating the pressure biofeedback unit under the patient's lower back region. ...	96
Figure 20:	Ultrasound scanning of lumbar multifidus muscle cross-sectional area...	98
Figure 21:	Bilateral transverse ultrasound image at the L4 vertebral level, with CSA area tracings...	99
Figure 22:	Ultrasound scanning of the lumbar multifidus muscle thickness	101

Figure 23:	Ultrasound image of the LM thickness in parasagittal section at rest (A) and on contraction (B) using a split screen.	102
Figure 24:	Some stabilization exercise procedure used in the study... ..	106
Figure 25:	Schematic representation of post hoc analysis of Outcome Measures parameters at end of 8 th Week in the four groups.	112
Figure 26:	Schematic representation of Posthoc analysis of the pre-treatment (baseline) and post-treatment (End 8 th week) assessment of lumbar multifidus CSA (cm ² at L4)... ..	119
Figure 27:	Schematic representation of post hoc analysis of the pre-treatment (baseline) and post-treatment (End 8 th week) assessment of lumbar multifidus CSA (cm ²) L5.... ..	120
Figure 28:	Schematic representation of post hoc analysis of the pre-treatment (baseline) and post- treatment (End 8 th week) assessment of lumbar multifidus muscle thickness (cm) at L4-L5.	

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I:	Informed Consent... ..	143
Appendix II:	Modified Oswestry Low Back Pain Disability Questionnaires... ..	145
Appendix III:	Fear Avoidance Belief Questionnaire... ..	148
Appendix IV:	Modified Visual Analogue Scale... ..	149
Appendix V:	Ethical approval from Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Idi-Araba, Lagos, Nigeria	150

ABSTRACT

Low Back Pain (LBP) is a highly prevalent problem and one of the main causes of disability in the society. About 80-90% of people suffer LBP during their lifetime and it is the second most frequent reason for visits to the physician. Although the aetiology is diverse, many causes have been related to weakness or injury of the soft tissue in the lumbar area. Different treatment modalities have been used in the treatment of LBP, but with temporary relieve of symptoms and lack of improvement of the atrophy of lumbar multifidus muscle which improves the stability of the lumbar spine.

This study investigated the effect of stabilization exercise on chronic pain, cross-sectional area and muscle thickness of Lumbar Multifidus (LM) muscle in patients with chronic low back pain.

A total of 122 patients (44 males, 78 females) with non-specific chronic low back pain (NCLBP) were recruited from Orthopaedic Clinic of Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Idi- Araba, Lagos and National Orthopaedic Hospital Igbobi, Lagos. They were randomly assigned to four different groups (1, 2, 3 & 4) using computer generated numbers. The participants went through stabilization exercise only (Group 1) for 30 minutes twice weekly one day interval for 8 consecutive weeks, stabilization exercise for 30 minutes combined with Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS) for 10 minutes (Group 2) twice weekly one day interval for 8 consecutive weeks, stabilization exercise for 30 minutes combined with TENS for 10 minutes and massage for 5 minutes (Group 3). Group 4 is the control that was placed on drug therapy. A randomized control study was adopted in this study. Measurement of Cross-Sectional Area (CSA) and muscle thickness of LM muscle, self perceived pain intensity, functional disability and fear were assessed using Ultrasound

machine (Mindray 2200), modified visual analogue scale, Oswestry disability questionnaire and fear avoidance belief questionnaire respectively at baseline, end of 4th week and end of 8th week. Data were analysed using statistical package for social science version 17 and presented using descriptive statistics of mean and standard deviation. Kruskal Wallis test was used to analyse each of the outcome measure parameters and analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse the cross-sectional area (CSA) and thickness pre and post treatment intervention across the groups.

Patients in groups 1, 2 and 3 recorded significant reduction in pain severity, increased functional ability and decreased fear avoidance belief following intervention ($P < 0.05$). Post hoc analysis revealed that the significance lies between group 1&4, group 2&4, groups 3&4 post intervention assessment. This reveals that the intervention used in the course of this study was effective in the management of patients with chronic low back pain. There was predominant increase in the CSA at 4th and 5th lumbar vertebrae only with more increment in group 1 with a mean and standard deviation of 11.85 ± 1.99 at 4th lumbar vertebrae and 12.10 ± 2.19 at 5th lumbar vertebrae. There was increase in the LM muscle thickness at L4-L5 post-intervention assessment with more increment in group 1 with a mean and standard deviation of 3.19 ± 0.69 , within the three groups these shows that stabilization exercise alone and in combination with TENS and massage are effective in increasing the CSA and thickness of lumbar multifidus muscle in patients with non-specific chronic low back pain.

The study established that stabilization exercise only and in combination with TENS and massage is effective in managing patients with non-specific chronic low back pain (NCLBP). It was also established that assessment of CSA and muscle thickness of LM can be used as a measure of treatment improvement or progression in patients with NCLBP.

