



Hexabromocyclododecane and tetrabromobisphenol-A in indoor dust from France, Kazakhstan and Nigeria: Implications for human exposure



Mohamed Abou-Elwafa Abdallah^{a, b, *}, Michael Bressi^{a, 1}, Temilola Oluseyi^c, Stuart Harrad^a

^a Division of Environmental Health and Risk Management, School of Geography, Earth, and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom

^b Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assiut University, 71526, Assiut, Egypt

^c Department of Chemistry, University of Lagos, Akoka, Lagos, Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 21 October 2015

Received in revised form

6 March 2016

Accepted 28 March 2016

Available online 9 April 2016

Keywords:

Hexabromocyclododecane

Tetrabromobisphenol-A

Dust

France

Kazakhstan

Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Concentrations of hexabromocyclododecane isomers (α -, β - and γ -HBCDs) and tetrabromobisphenol-A (TBBP-A) were measured – for the first time – in indoor dust from homes, offices and cars from France, Kazakhstan and Nigeria. Σ HBCDs in French and Kazakhstani house dust (median = 1351 and 280 ng g⁻¹, respectively) were consistent with previous reports from the UK and Romania, respectively. Concentrations of Σ HBCDs in Nigerian domestic dust (median = 394 ng g⁻¹) were substantially higher than those reported from Egyptian homes. In general, concentrations of Σ HBCDs in the studied micro-environments were higher than those of TBBP-A, which may be attributed to the major application of TBBP-A as a reactive flame retardant; rendering its release to dust more difficult. Statistical analysis revealed significantly lower Σ HBCDs in French houses than those found in both offices and cars, while Σ HBCDs in cars from Kazakhstan were higher ($P < 0.05$) than those in homes and offices. Moreover, TBBP-A concentrations in car dust from Nigeria were lower than those found in homes and offices. Exposure estimates revealed higher intake of HBCDs and TBBP-A by toddlers via indoor dust ingestion compared to adults. Combined with their low body weight, this can raise concerns over the potential adverse health effects of such high exposure in toddlers.

Copyright © 2016, The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) and tetrabromobisphenol-A (TBBP-A) are widely used brominated flame retardants (BFRs)

with reported global market demands of 170,000 and 31,000 metric tonnes in 2004 and 2011 respectively [1]. HBCD is employed principally as an additive to expanded and extruded polystyrene foams for applications like thermal insulation of buildings, for backcoating of fabrics and to a lesser extent to high-impact polystyrene (HIPS) used in enclosures for electronic equipment. Commercial HBCD formulations consist mainly of the γ -HBCD diastereoisomer (75–89%), while the α - and β -HBCD are present in considerably lower amounts (10–13% and 1–12%), respectively. HBCD has a low water solubility (49, 15, 2 μ g L⁻¹ for α -, β -, and γ -HBCD), a fairly low vapour pressure (6.27×10^{-5} Pa) and is persistent in the environment (estimated $t_{0.5}$ of 51, 1440 and 5760 h in air, water and sediment, respectively). It can therefore bioaccumulate and undergo long-range atmospheric transport [2]. Oral exposure to HBCDs was reported to induce hepatic cytochrome P450 enzymes and alter the normal uptake of neurotransmitters in rat brain. It can

* Corresponding author. Division of Environmental Health and Risk Management, School of Geography, Earth, and Environmental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: mae_abdallah@yahoo.co.uk (M.A.-E. Abdallah).

Peer review under responsibility of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd.



Production and Hosting by Elsevier on behalf of KeAi

¹ Currently at: European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Institute for Environment and Sustainability, Ispra (VA), Italy.

cause disruption of thyroid function, reproductive system, nerve function and development in various classes of vertebrates [3]. Therefore, HBCD was recently included in Annex A of the Stockholm Convention on persistent organic pollutants (POPs) with an exemption for use in expanded polystyrene and extruded polystyrene in buildings [4].

TBBP-A is used mainly as a reactive flame retardant covalently bonded to the polymer matrix in epoxy and polycarbonate resins used in printed circuit boards and electronic equipment. It can also be used as an additive, for instance in HIPS and acrylonitrile–butadiene–styrene resins. Additive usage accounts for ~18% of TBBP-A total applications. However, even when used as a reactive flame retardant, excessive non-polymerized TBBP-A is always present which can be emitted to the environment. Due to its low water solubility ($63 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$) and low vapour pressure ($6.24 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Pa}$), TBBP-A is likely to be associated with suspended particulate matter following release [5]. TBBP-A has been identified as endocrine disruptor. It also displays a high potency to bind to human transthyretin and was associated with immunotoxic and neurotoxic effects in laboratory animals [6]. The potential toxicity of TBBP-A is mitigated to some extent by its short human half-life (2.2 days) [5]. Therefore, while TBBP-A falls under the REACH registration process due to its high production volume, there are currently no global restrictions on the production and usage of TBBP-A or its derivatives. However, the EU risk assessment report on TBBP-A concluded that there is a need for further information and/or testing due to possible degradation to more toxic derivatives (e.g. bisphenol-A) [5].

Several studies have reported on the levels of HBCD isomers and TBBP-A in various biotic and abiotic matrices including air, dust, soil, sediment, human milk, plasma and adipose tissue from various parts of the world, including the Arctic, indicating the ubiquity of these BFRs [6–8]. The few studies available on the levels of HBCDs and TBBP-A in indoor dust have elucidated the significance of inadvertent dust ingestion as a pathway of human exposure to these chemicals, especially for toddlers and young children [9,10]. Moreover, pharmacokinetic modelling of UK adults exposure to BFRs revealed dust ingestion to contribute 24% and 33% to the overall daily intake of HBCDs and TBBP-A, respectively [11]. This highlights the importance of assessment of human exposure to both HBCDs and TBBP-A via dust ingestion in different indoor microenvironments. Given the reported highly skewed nature of HBCD concentrations in indoor dust from commonly frequented microenvironment categories [9,12], such an assessment would provide a valuable indication of the proportion of the population that may receive elevated exposures as a result of frequenting highly contaminated microenvironments in the course of their daily lives.

Therefore, the current study aims to (a) provide first insights on the levels of HBCDs and TBBP-A in indoor dust samples collected from homes, offices and cars in France, Kazakhstan and Nigeria, (b) compare the dust levels of HBCDs and TBBP-A in the studied countries to those reported from other parts of the world, (c) estimate the daily exposure of adults and toddlers to the target BFRs in the studied countries, and (d) investigate the relative contribution of each microenvironment category to the overall human exposure to HBCDs and TBBP-A via dust ingestion.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sampling strategy

All the microenvironments studied comprised a convenience sample of acquaintances of the project team. Dust samples were collected from the following locations: France (Annecy),

Kazakhstan (Almaty and Astana) and Nigeria (Lagos). In each country, 3 different microenvironment categories, namely homes (living rooms), offices and cars were sampled. Sampling time and sample numbers are provided in Table 1.

2.2. Sampling methods

Dust samples were collected using a Nilfisk Sprint Plus 1600 W vacuum cleaner or equivalent. Sampling was conducted according to a clearly-defined standard protocol [9] by one of the research team. In offices and homes, one m^2 of carpet was vacuumed for 2 min and in case of bare floors 4 m^2 for 4 min. In cars, only the surface of the seats with which occupants would have direct contact (i.e. not including seat backs) was sampled for 2 min. Samples were collected using nylon sample socks (25 μm pore size) that were mounted in the furniture attachment tube of the vacuum cleaner. After sampling, socks were closed with a twist tie, sealed in a plastic bag and stored at -20°C . Before and after sampling, the furniture attachment was cleaned thoroughly using an isopropanol-impregnated disposable wipe.

3. Analytical protocols

3.1. Sample preparation and extraction

Dust samples were passed through a 500 μm mesh size sieve, weighed accurately and extracted using pressurised liquid extraction (Dionex ASE-350, Hemel Hempstead, UK). Dust samples (typically between 100 and 300 mg) were loaded into pre-cleaned 66 mL cells containing 1.5 g Florisil and Hydromatrix (Varian Inc., UK) to fill the void volume of the cells, spiked with 20 ng of each of ^{13}C -labelled α -, β -, γ -HBCD and TBBP-A as internal (surrogate) standards (i.e. standards used for determination of analyte concentrations) and extracted with hexane:dichloromethane (1:9, v/v) at 90°C and 1500 psi. The heating time was 5 min, static time 4 min, purge time 90 s, flush volume 50%, with three static cycles.

3.2. Clean up

The crude extracts were concentrated to 0.5 mL using a Zymark Turbovap® II then cleaned up by loading onto SPE cartridges filled with 8 g of pre-cleaned acidified silica (44% concentrated sulfuric acid, w/w). The analytes were eluted with 25 mL of hexane:dichloromethane (1:1, v/v). The eluate was evaporated to dryness under a gentle stream of N_2 , then reconstituted in 100 μL of d_{18} - γ -HBCD (25 $\text{pg } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$ in methanol) as recovery determination (or syringe) standard, used to determine the recoveries of internal standards for QA/QC purposes.

3.3. Analysis

Separation of α -, β -, γ -HBCDs and TBBP-A was achieved using a dual pump Shimadzu LC-20AB Prominence liquid chromatograph equipped with SIL-20A autosampler, a DGU-20A3 vacuum degasser and an Agilent Pursuit XRS3 C₁₈ reversed phase analytical column

Table 1
Sampling information.

Country	Location	Time	Number of samples		
			Homes	Offices	Cars
France	Annecy	Aug–Oct 2008	9	11	7
Kazakhstan	Almaty and Astana	May–June 2009	10	10	11
Nigeria	Lagos	Sep–Oct 2014	10	10	10

(150 mm \times 2 mm i.d., 3 μ m particle size). A mobile phase program based upon (a) 1:1 methanol/water and (b) methanol at a flow rate of 150 μ L min⁻¹ was applied for elution of the target compounds; starting at 50% (b) then increased linearly to 100% (b) over 4 min, held for 7 min followed by a linear decrease to 60% (b) over 4 min, held for 1 min and finishing with 100% (a) for 10 min. TBBP-A and the three HBCD diastereomers were baseline separated with retention times of 9.0, 10.6, 11.2 and 11.7 min for TBBP-A, α -, β - and γ -HBCD, respectively.

Mass spectrometric analysis was performed using a Sciex API 2000 triple quadrupole mass spectrometer operated in electrospray negative ionization mode. MS/MS detection operated in the MRM mode was used for quantitative determination based on m/z 640.6 \rightarrow 79, m/z 652.4 \rightarrow 79 and m/z 657.7 \rightarrow 79 for the native, ¹³C-labelled and d₁₈-labelled HBCD diastereomers, respectively and m/z 540.8 \rightarrow 79, m/z 552.8 \rightarrow 79 for the native and ¹³C-labelled TBBP-A, respectively. Specific instrumental calibration parameters are given in Table SI-2.

3.4. Quality assurance/Quality control

Recoveries (average \pm standard deviation) of the ¹³C-labelled internal standards added to the dust samples were: α -HBCD = 83 \pm 10%, β -HBCD 85 \pm 7%, γ -HBCD 89 \pm 12% and TBBP-A 88 \pm 9%. No TBBP-A or HBCDs were detected in method blanks (n = 10) consisting of sodium sulfate (0.2 g). Detectable, but very low concentrations of HBCDs (typically 0.1–0.3 ng Σ HBCDs g⁻¹) were obtained for field blanks (n = 7). These consisted of sodium sulfate (0.2 g) “sampled” using the vacuum cleaner and sock according to the standard protocol and treated as a sample. Concentrations in samples in each batch of 10 were thus corrected for the contamination detected in the associated field blank. Method quantitation limits (MQLs) for individual HBCD diastereomers were governed by the field blanks and were typically 0.2 ng g⁻¹, while for TBBP-A the MQLs were 0.15 ng g⁻¹ based on a S/N ratio of 10:1.

The accuracy and precision of the analytical method for HBCDs was assessed via replicate analysis (n = 10) of SRM 2585. The results obtained compared favourably with the indicative values reported elsewhere ([13] and Table SI-4a). For TBBP-A, a standard addition or “matrix spike” method to SRM 2585 at 3 concentration levels (n = 5 at each level) was used to assess the accuracy and precision of the method and good results were obtained (Table SI-4b).

3.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of the data was conducted using Excel (Microsoft Office 2010) and SPSS version 23.0. In all instances, where concentrations were below the MQL, concentrations were assumed to equal half the MQL. The distribution of each data set was evaluated using both the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and visual inspection. The results revealed concentrations in all data sets to be log-normally distributed. Hence, ANOVA and t-tests were performed on log-transformed concentrations.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Concentrations of HBCDs and TBBP-A

The measured levels of HBCDs and TBBP-A in indoor dust showed wide variations between different countries and among microenvironment categories within the same country. A statistical summary of the concentrations of target BFRs in indoor dust is provided in Table 2. Detailed information on the concentrations of α -, β -, γ -HBCDs and TBBP-A in each analysed sample can be found

in the supporting information section (Tables SI-1, SI-2 and SI-3). ANOVA revealed significantly higher concentrations ($P < 0.05$) of Σ HBCDs and TBBP-A in France compared to Kazakhstan and Nigeria. The latter two showed no significant differences in the studied contaminant levels. This may be attributed to the more strict fire-safety regulations in France (as part of the EU) compared to Kazakhstan and Nigeria. Levels of HBCDs in the French dust were generally in line with those reported previously from the UK, USA and China, while it was lower than those reported in domestic dust from other Western European countries including Germany, Belgium and Sweden (Table 3). Concentrations of Σ HBCDs and TBBP-A in house dust from Kazakhstan were also in agreement with those reported in domestic house dust from Romania [14,15], while Σ HBCDs were significantly higher than in domestic dust from the Czech Republic (Table 3). To our knowledge, this is the first report of diastereomer specific HBCD concentrations in indoor dust from Africa and the Middle East. However, the levels of Σ HBCDs in Nigerian dust were significantly higher than Σ HBCD concentrations quantified in domestic dust from Egyptian microenvironments using GC/MS [16]. While the median levels of TBBP-A in dust from Nigerian cars in this study were below MQL, the median TBBP-A concentration in Nigerian houses exceeded those reported in house dust from Saudi Arabia, Kuwait and Pakistan [15]. In the absence of reliable data on the manufacture, trade and usage patterns of HBCD and TBBP-A, it is difficult to provide an explanation for such variability in BFR levels in indoor dust from different countries. This is further complicated by the general lack of knowledge on the actual sources of HBCDs and TBBP-A in dust from different indoor microenvironments. In this study, we tried to identify potential sources emitting HBCDs and TBBP-A to the indoor dust in our studied microenvironments. We used multiple linear regression analysis to investigate the possible correlation(s) between log transformed BFR concentrations in dust and potential contributing factors (Tables SI-5, SI-6 and SI-7) including the numbers of foam-containing furniture items, TVs, PCs, printers, other electronics (e.g. microwaves, fridges, freezers ... etc). For the sampled cars, we examined for correlations with vehicle age, make, country of manufacture and number of electronic devices in the cabin. However, no statistically significant correlations could be established in any of the studied countries. This is consistent with our previous observations in house dust samples from different UK microenvironment categories [9].

4.2. Effect of microenvironment category on BFR levels in dust

Our research group reported previously on significant differences in levels of HBCDs and TBBP-A in indoor dust from different microenvironment categories including homes, offices, cars and public microenvironments in Birmingham, UK [9,17]. To investigate the potential influence of microenvironment category on the levels of target BFRs in dust from France, Kazakhstan and Nigeria, we subjected our data to ANOVA with Games-Howell post hoc testing. Results revealed significantly lower Σ HBCDs in French houses than those found in both offices and cars. While Σ HBCDs in cars from Kazakhstan were higher ($P < 0.05$) than those in homes and offices, no significant differences were observed in Σ HBCDs levels in Nigerian dust from different microenvironment categories. For TBBP-A, the only statistically significant difference observed was in Nigeria, where TBBP-A concentrations in car dust were lower than those found in homes and offices.

These results are not inconsistent with our previous findings in UK dust where levels of Σ HBCDs in car dust significantly exceeded those in homes and offices while the reverse trend was observed for TBBP-A levels [9]. The high levels of Σ HBCDs in car dust observed in this and previous studies may be attributed to the

Table 2Statistical summary of the concentrations (ng g⁻¹) of target BFRs in the studied indoor dust samples.

	France					Kazakhstan					Nigeria				
	α -HBCD	β -HBCD	γ -HBCD	Σ HBCDs	TBBP-A	α -HBCD	β -HBCD	γ -HBCD	Σ HBCDs	TBBP-A	α -HBCD	β -HBCD	γ -HBCD	Σ HBCDs	TBBP-A
Houses															
5th %ile	180	51	178	416	15	55	16	52	139	<0.06	12	8	34	53	23
Average	600	125	484	1209	59	93	24	177	294	22	255	168	236	659	56
Median	559	144	422	1351	44	78	20	189	280	13	199	81	125	394	50
95th %ile	1085	193	895	1788	130	147	38	292	430	69	681	432	661	1771	107
Offices															
5th %ile	582	151	499	1249	49	71	24	73	207	<0.06	24	15	28	72	<0.06
Average	2567	521	1744	4832	327	115	35	142	292	9	179	60	137	376	43
Median	2722	442	1329	4740	197	106	32	123	265	4	76	28	55	156	30
95th %ile	4787	1148	4323	10,025	1124	174	55	227	424	27	492	142	324	852	114
Cars															
5th %ile	840	163	607	1649	10	271	68	337	685	<0.06	53	32	72	176	<0.06
Average	2015	516	2190	4721	43	662	197	1196	2055	1	130	64	135	329	2
Median	2221	629	1689	5189	47	609	231	1225	2036	<0.06	123	65	107	297	<0.06
95th %ile	2881	786	4925	7853	64	1132	311	2210	3529	4	223	95	239	535	6

Table 3Median concentrations (ng g⁻¹) of HBCDs and TBBP-A in dust samples from selected countries.

Country	Category	Sampling year	α -HBCD	β -HBCD	γ -HBCD	Σ HBCDs (range)	TBBP-A (range)	Ref.
France	Homes (n = 9)	2008	559	144	422	1125 (363–1865)	44 (7–165)	This study
	Offices (n = 11)		2722	442	1329	4493 (1069–10188)	79 (32–1255)	
	Cars (n = 7)		2221	629	1689	4539 (1458–7900)	47 (9–66)	
UK	Homes (n = 35)	2007	380	93	670	1143 (140–140,000)	62 (<0.06–382)	[9]
	Offices (n = 28)		220	84	470	774 (90–6600)	36 (<0.06–140)	
	Cars (n = 20)		2000	740	9600	12,340 (190–69000)	2 (<0.06–25)	
Sweden	Homes (n = 27)	2010	56	18	37	111 (20–6000)	N/A	[24]
Germany	homes (n = 20)	NR ^b	180	35	114	329 (53–4041)	28 (3–233)	[25]
Belgium	Homes (n = 16)	2007–2008	69	14	31	114 (33–758)	10 (1–1481)	[26]
Kazakhstan	Homes (n = 10)	2009	78	20	189	287 (112–450)	13 (<0.06–83)	This study
	Offices (n = 10)		106	32	123	261 (195–440)	4 (<0.06–30)	
	Cars (n = 11)		609	231	1225	2065 (559–3962)	<0.06 (<0.06–5)	
Czech republic	Homes (n = 25)	2008	26	7	61	94 (<0.3–950)	N/A	[28]
	Cars (n = 27)		9	<0.3	25	34 (<0.3–241)	N/A	
Romania	Homes (n = 47)	2010	200	40	30	270 (80–860)	N/A	[14]
New Zealand	homes (n = 50)	2009–2010	99	12	96	207 (20–4100)	N/A	[29]
Canada	Homes (n = 8)	2006	300	72	230	602 (64–1300)	N/A	[30]
Texas, USA	Homes (n = 13)	2006	80	28	300	408 (110–4000)	N/A	[30]
California, USA	Homes (n = 16)	2011	62	16	73	151 (39–1800)	200 (22–2000)	[31]
China	Offices (n = 56)	2009	92	22	2386	2500 (652–122,973)	975 (30–59140)	[32]
Japan ^a	Hotel rooms (n = 8)	2006	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	77 (7–290)	[33]
Japan	School rooms (n = 18)	2009–2010	340	63	103	506 (20–2300)	N/A	[34]
	Homes (n = 10)		131	29	22	182 (28–850)	N/A	
Vietnam	Homes (n = 33)	2008	10	3	9	22 (1–400)	N/A	[35]
Nigeria	Homes (n = 10)	2014	199	81	125	405 (41–1863)	50 (19–127)	This study
	offices (n = 10)		76	28	55	159 (62–943)	30 (<0.06–149)	
	Cars (n = 10)		123	65	107	295	<0.06 (<0.06–6)	

^a Median Σ HBCDs = 735 ng g⁻¹.^b Not reported.

application of HBCDs to flame retard car interior fabrics and automotive textiles [1,2].

The concentrations of TBBP-A in all microenvironment categories in the 3 studied countries were substantially lower than those of Σ HBCDs. This relative order of contamination is not a simple reflection of the respective production volumes of these two BFRs. However, this is consistent with the predominant application of TBBP-A as a reactive BFR, rendering its release from treated goods more difficult than additive BFRs, such as HBCDs and polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs).

Finally, investigation of the isomer profile of HBCDs in dust from the sampled microenvironment categories (Fig. 1) revealed no statistically significant differences in the studied countries. In general, we observed higher percentage contribution of α -HBCD to Σ HBCDs in indoor dust accompanied by a lower contribution of the γ -isomer than expected from their percentages in the commercial

HBCD formulations. This is in agreement with several previous reports of HBCDs in indoor dust (Table 3) and may be attributed to a combination of factors including the interconversion of γ -HBCD to α -HBCD at temperatures >160 °C encountered in technical processes required to incorporate HBCD into flame-retarded goods [18]; as well as a photolytically-induced isomerisation in dust that favours the formation of the α -HBCD [19].

4.3. Human exposure to HBCDs and TBBP-A via indoor dust ingestion

The measured concentrations were used to estimate the exposure of adults and toddlers in the studied countries to the target BFRs via indoor dust ingestion. The algorithm given below ⁴³ was used to estimate both adult and toddler exposure to HBCDs and TBBP-A [20].




Fig. 1. Average HBCD isomer profile in the studied dust samples.

$$\Sigma (\text{exposure via dust ingestion}) = [(C_H F_H) + (C_O F_O) + (C_C F_C)] RR$$

where $\Sigma (\text{exposure via dust ingestion})$ is the total daily human exposure to the studied BFRs via dust ingestion (ng day^{-1}); C_H , C_O and C_C is the BFR concentration (ng g^{-1}) at the respective exposure scenario in homes, offices and cars, respectively. $F_H/F_O/F_C$ is the respective average fraction of time spent in each microenvironment, and RR is the daily dust ingestion rate (mg day^{-1}).

We have assumed average adult and toddler dust ingestion figures of 20 and 50 mg day^{-1} , and high dust ingestion figures for adults and toddlers of 50 and 200 mg day^{-1} [21]. To our knowledge, there exists no comprehensive dataset that describes time-activity patterns for the population in the studied countries. Hence, our exposure estimates are based on the assumption that dust ingestion occurs pro-rata to typical activity patterns reported previously (i.e. for adults 63.8% home, 22.3% office, 5.1% public microenvironments, 4.1% car, and 4.7% outdoors; for toddlers 86.1% home, 5.1% public microenvironments, 4.1% car, and 4.7% outdoors) [22,23]. However, due to the lack of information on concentrations of our target BFRs in public microenvironments and outdoors in France, Kazakhstan, and Nigeria, for the purposes of our exposure assessment, concentrations, of HBCDs and TBBP-A in these microenvironments were assumed identical to those detected in house

dust for these countries. We have then estimated various plausible dust ingestion exposure scenarios, the median and 95th percentile concentrations in the dust samples reported in this study (Table 2). It is stressed that the range of exposure estimates via dust ingestion thus derived is only an indication of the likely range within the population. This is due to the highly uncertain nature of the ingestion rates used here (and in other studies) as they are based on a small number of studies involving primary data collection [21,23]. In agreement with previous reports from the UK [9,17], results of this study revealed substantially higher human exposure to Σ HBCDs than TBBP-A via indoor dust ingestion in France, Kazakhstan and Nigeria (Table 4). In addition, toddlers were more exposed to both BFRs than adults in all the studied countries. This is attributed to a higher dust ingestion rate in toddlers resulting from their increased hand-to-mouth behaviour and lower sense of hygiene. Despite the current lack of internationally-accepted health based limit values for human exposure to HBCDs and TBBP-A, the high intake of these BFRs via dust ingestion in toddlers combined with their low body weight, emphasises the need for further study of the potential adverse health effects of such exposure.

The major fraction of adult exposure to BFRs via indoor dust ingestion occurred at home in both Kazakhstan and Nigeria (Fig. 2). This may be explained by the large fraction of time spent at home.

Table 4

Estimates of daily human exposure (ng day^{-1}) to HBCDs and TBBP-A via ingestion of indoor dust in the studied countries.

		α -HBCD	β -HBCD	γ -HBCD	Σ HBCDs	TBBP-A
France						
Adult	Average ^a	20.8	4.3	15.8	40.9	2.2
	High ^b	93.9	20.6	86.9	184.9	16.8
Toddler	Average ^a	51.9	10.9	39.4	102.1	5.6
	High ^b	375.6	82.3	347.4	739.6	67.3
Kazakhstan						
Adult	Average	2.3	0.6	3.9	4.0	0.3
	High	9.0	2.5	16.4	14.6	2.5
Toddler	Average	5.6	1.6	9.7	10.0	0.8
	High	35.8	9.8	65.6	58.5	10.0
Nigeria						
Adult	Average	4.2	2.5	3.7	10.4	0.9
	High	27.7	15.6	25.2	67.1	4.7
Toddler	Average	10.4	6.2	9.4	25.9	2.3
	High	110.7	62.3	100.8	268.3	18.8

^a Estimated using median BFR concentrations in dust and average intake rates of 20 ng day^{-1} and 50 ng day^{-1} for adults and toddlers, respectively.

^b Estimated using 95th%ile BFR concentrations in dust and high intake rates of 50 ng day^{-1} and 200 ng day^{-1} for adults and toddlers, respectively.




Fig. 2. Relative contribution of the studied microenvironment categories to the daily adult exposure to HBCDs and TBBP-A via dust ingestion.

However, exposure via ingestion of office dust was predominant in France (Fig. 2). This may be attributed to the significantly higher levels of HBCDs and TBBP-A in French office dust compared to homes (Table 2). Despite the high levels of BFRs in French car dust, the small time fraction spent in this microenvironment category resulted in a minimal contribution to the overall daily exposure via dust ingestion (Fig. 2). Toddlers were mainly exposed to BFRs via ingestion of home dust in all 3 studied countries. However, no child daycare classrooms were sampled in this study. Our research group previously highlighted the significance of classroom dust for exposure of UK toddlers and young children to HBCDs and TBBP-A. Results revealed UK toddlers to be significantly ($P < 0.05$) more exposed to ΣHBCDs via classroom dust than via house dust [10]. This implies that the exposure of toddlers to ΣHBCDs in this study may be underestimated.

This study significantly augments the current global database on BFRs by providing the first information on concentrations of HBCDs and TBBP-A in 3 microenvironment categories from France, Nigeria and Kazakhstan. This highlights the ubiquitous nature and global distribution of these flame retardants as indoor contaminants. The higher exposure of toddlers to BFRs via indoor dust ingestion emphasises the need for more studies of the potential adverse health effects of these contaminants as well as for globally integrated actions to reduce and eventually eliminate this potential public health hazard.

Acknowledgements

The authors express their thanks to all the dust donors. Temilola Oluseyi acknowledges gratefully funding from the Commonwealth Scholarship Commission. Collection of the Kazakhstani dust samples was performed by Meruyert Akhanzaripova.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.emcon.2016.03.006>.

References

- [1] BSEF, Bromine Science and Environmental Forum, 2014. www.bsef.com.
- [2] KEMI, National Chemicals Inspectorate, EU Risk Assessment Report on Hexabromocyclododecane, 2008. R044_0710_env_hh.doc; Sundbyberg, Sweden.
- [3] C.H. Marvin, G.T. Tomy, J.M. Armitage, J.A. Arnot, L. McCarty, A. Covaci, V. Palace, Hexabromocyclododecane: current understanding of chemistry, environmental fate and toxicology and implications for global management, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 45 (20) (2011) 8613–8623.
- [4] UNEP Stockholm Convention on POPs, 2014. <http://chm.pops.int/TheConvention/PublicAwareness/NewsFeatures/ClockstartstickingHBCDamendment/tabid/3547/Default.aspx>.
- [5] EU Risk Assessment Report, European Union Risk Assessment Report on 2,2',6,6'-tetrabromo-4,4'-isopropylidenediphenol (tetrabromobisphenol-A or TBBP-A), in: Part II, Human Health, vol. 63, European Commission, Joint Research Centre, European Chemicals Bureau, 2006. EUR22161E, 2006.
- [6] A. Covaci, S. Voorspoels, M.A. Abdallah, T. Geens, S. Harrad, R.J. Law, Analytical and environmental aspects of the flame retardant tetrabromobisphenol-A and its derivatives, *J. Chromatogr. A* 1216 (3) (2009) 346–363.
- [7] R.J. Law, A. Covaci, S. Harrad, D. Herzke, M.A.E. Abdallah, K. Femie, L.M.L. Toms, H. Takigami, Levels and trends of PBDEs and HBCDs in the global environment: status at the end of 2012, *Environ. Int.* 65 (2014) 147–158.
- [8] S.D. Coelho, A.C.A. Sousa, T. Isobe, S. Tanabe, A.J.A. Nogueira, Flame Retardants in indoor dust – a review on the levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and hexabromocyclododecanes, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 18 (17) (2014) 2218–2230.
- [9] M.A. Abdallah, S. Harrad, A. Covaci, Hexabromocyclododecanes and tetrabromobisphenol-A in indoor air and dust in Birmingham, U.K.: implications for human exposure, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42 (18) (2008) 6855–6861.
- [10] S. Harrad, E. Goosey, J. Desborough, M.A. Abdallah, L. Roosens, A. Covaci, Dust from U.K. primary school classrooms and daycare centers: the significance of dust as a pathway of exposure of young U.K. children to brominated flame retardants and polychlorinated biphenyls, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44 (11) (2010) 4198–4202.
- [11] M.A. Abdallah, S. Harrad, Tetrabromobisphenol-A, hexabromocyclododecane and its degradation products in UK human milk: relationship to external exposure, *Environ. Int.* 37 (2) (2011) 443–448.
- [12] M.A.E. Abdallah, S. Harrad, Personal exposure to HBCDs and its degradation products via ingestion of indoor dust, *Environ. Int.* 35 (6) (2009) 870–876.
- [13] J.M. Keller, H.M. Stapleton, R. Heltsley, A. Peck, J.R. Kucklick, M. Schantz, S.A. Wise, SRMs Available from NIST for the Analysis of Brominated Flame Retardants, 2007. Poster presented at BFR2007, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- [14] A.C. Dirtu, N. Ali, N. Van den Eede, H. Neels, A. Covaci, Country specific comparison for profile of chlorinated, brominated and phosphate organic contaminants in indoor dust. Case study for Eastern Romania, 2010, *Environ. Int.* 49 (2012) 1–8.
- [15] W. Wang, K.O. Abualnaja, A.G. Asimakopoulos, A. Covaci, B. Geva, B. Johnson-Restrepo, T.A. Kumosani, G. Malarvannan, T.B. Minh, H.B. Moon, H. Nakata, R.K. Sinha, K. Kannan, A comparative assessment of human exposure to

- tetrabromobisphenol A and eight bisphenols including bisphenol A via indoor dust ingestion in twelve countries, *Environ. Int.* 83 (2015) 183–191.
- [16] Y. Hassan, T. Shoeib, Levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and novel flame retardants in microenvironment dust from Egypt: an assessment of human exposure, *Sci. Total Environ.* 505 (2015) 47–55.
- [17] M.A. Abdallah, S. Harrad, Personal exposure to HBCDs and its degradation products via ingestion of indoor dust, *Environ. Int.* 35 (6) (2009) 870–876.
- [18] R. Koppen, R. Becker, C. Jung, I. Nehls, On the thermally induced isomerisation of hexabromocyclododecane stereoisomers, *Chemosphere* 71 (4) (2008) 656–662.
- [19] S. Harrad, M.A. Abdallah, A. Covaci, Causes of variability in concentrations and diastereomer patterns of hexabromocyclododecanes in indoor dust, *Environ. Int.* 35 (3) (2009) 573–579.
- [20] M.A. Abdallah, A. Covaci, Organophosphate flame retardants in indoor dust from Egypt: implications for human exposure, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48 (9) (2014) 4782–4789.
- [21] H.A. Jones-Otazo, J.P. Clarke, M.L. Diamond, J.A. Archbold, G. Ferguson, T. Harner, G.M. Richardson, J.J. Ryan, B. Wilford, Is house dust the missing exposure pathway for PBDEs? an analysis of the urban fate and human exposure to PBDEs, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39 (14) (2005) 5121–5130.
- [22] N.E. Klepeis, W.C. Nelson, W.R. Ott, J.P. Robinson, A.M. Tsang, P. Switzer, J.V. Behar, S.C. Hern, W.H. Engelmann, The National Human Activity Pattern Survey (NHAPS): a resource for assessing exposure to environmental pollutants, *J. Expo. Anal. Environ. Epidemiol.* 11 (3) (2001) 231–252.
- [23] USEPA, *Exposure Factors Handbook*, 2011. www.epa.gov/ncea/efh/pdfs/efh-complete.pdf.
- [24] L.M.O. Sahlstrom, U. Sellstrom, C.A. de Wit, S. Lignell, P.O. Darnerud, Estimated intakes of brominated flame retardants via diet and dust compared to internal concentrations in a Swedish mother-toddler cohort, *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 218 (4) (2015) 422–432.
- [25] H. Fromme, B. Hilger, E. Kopp, M. Miserok, W. Volkel, Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), hexabromocyclododecane (HBCD) and “novel” brominated flame retardants in house dust in Germany, *Environ. Int.* 64 (2014) 61–68.
- [26] T. Geens, L. Roosens, H. Neels, A. Covaci, Assessment of human exposure to Bisphenol-A, Triclosan and Tetrabromobisphenol-A through indoor dust intake in Belgium, *Chemosphere* 76 (6) (2009) 755–760.
- [27] L. Roosens, M.A. Abdallah, S. Harrad, H. Neels, A. Covaci, Exposure to hexabromocyclododecanes (HBCDs) via dust ingestion, but not diet, correlates with concentrations in human serum: preliminary results, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 117 (11) (2009) 1707–1712.
- [28] K. Kalachova, P. Hradkova, D. Lankova, J. Hajslova, J. Pulkrabova, Occurrence of brominated flame retardants in household and car dust from the Czech Republic, *Sci. Total Environ.* 441 (2012) 182–193.
- [29] N. Ali, A.C. Dirtu, N.V. Eede, E. Goosey, S. Harrad, H. Neels, A. t Mannetje, J. Coakley, J. Douwes, A. Covaci, Occurrence of alternative flame retardants in indoor dust from New Zealand: indoor sources and human exposure assessment, *Chemosphere* 88 (11) (2012) 1276–1282.
- [30] M.A.E. Abdallah, S. Harrad, C. Ibarra, M. Diamond, L. Melymuk, M. Robson, A. Covaci, Hexabromocyclododecanes in indoor dust from Canada, the United Kingdom, and the United States, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42 (2) (2008) 459–464.
- [31] R.E. Dodson, L.J. Perovich, A. Covaci, N. Van den Eede, A.C. Ionas, A.C. Dirtu, J.G. Brody, R.A. Rudel, After the PBDE phase-out: a broad suite of flame retardants in repeat house dust samples from California, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46 (24) (2012) 13056–13066.
- [32] H.G. Ni, H. Zeng, HBCD and TBBPA in particulate phase of indoor air in Shenzhen, China, *Sci. Total Environ.* 458 (2013) 15–19.
- [33] H. Takigami, G. Suzuki, Y. Hirai, Y. Ishikawa, M. Sunami, S. Sakai, Flame retardants in indoor dust and air of a hotel in Japan, *Environ. Int.* 35 (4) (2009) 688–693.
- [34] S. Mizouchi, M. Ichiba, H. Takigami, N. Kajiwarra, T. Takamuku, T. Miyajima, H. Kodama, T. Someya, D. Ueno, Exposure assessment of organophosphorus and organobromine flame retardants via indoor dust from elementary schools and domestic houses, *Chemosphere* 123 (2015) 17–25.
- [35] N.M. Tue, S. Takahashi, G. Suzuki, T. Isobe, P.H. Viet, Y. Kobara, N. Seike, G. Zhang, A. Sudaryanto, S. Tanabe, Contamination of indoor dust and air by polychlorinated biphenyls and brominated flame retardants and relevance of non-dietary exposure in Vietnamese informal e-waste recycling sites, *Environ. Int.* 51 (2013) 160–167.