INSTRUCTION AND READING STRATEGIES ON METACOGNITIVE SKILLS AND PERFORMANCE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE AMONG SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA

BY

ELUEMUNO AZUKAEGO IFEOMA

DECEMDER, 2012

INSTRUCTION AND READING STRATEGIES ON METACOGNITIVE SKILLS AND PERFORMANCE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE AMONG SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA

BY

ELUEMUNO AZUKAEGO IFEOMA

MATRIC NO: 069034037

B.A. (Ed) English, University of Nigeria Nsukka(UNN), (1990)M.Ed (Educational Psychology), University of Lagos (Unilag), 2007

A THESIS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATIONS (WITH PSYCHOLOGY)

SUBMITTED TO THE SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE AWARD OF THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D) IN EDUCATIONAL PSYCHOLOGY

DECEMBER, 2012

APPROVAL

This research report has been approved for the Department of Educational Foundations (with Psychology), Faculty of Education and the School of Postgraduate Studies, University of Lagos

| | ВҮ | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Prof G.C Ilogu Supervisor | |
| | Prof.(Mrs) A.M. Olusakin Supervisor | |
| Prof G.C Ilogu Head of Department | Date | |

SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF LAGOS

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the thesis

INSTRUCTION AND READING STRATEGIES ON METACOGNITIVE SKILLS AND PERFORMANCE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE AMONG SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA

Submitted to the School of Postgraduate Studies University of Lagos

For the award of the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (Ph.D)

is a record of original research carried out By

ELUEMUNO AZUKAEGO IFEOMAIn the Department of Educational Foundations (with Psychology)

| AUTHOR'S NAME | SIGNATURE | DATE |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|
| 1 ST SUPERVISOR'S NAME | SIGNATURE | DATE |
| 2 nd SUPERVISOR'S NAME | SIGNATURE | DATE |
| 1 st INTERNAL EXAMINER | SIGNATURE | DATE |
| 2 nd INTERNAL EXAMINER | SIGNATURE | DATE |
| EXTERNAL EXAMINER | SIGNATURE | DATE |
| SPGS REPRESENTATIVE | SIGNATURE | DATE |

DEDICATION

This research work is dedicated to my mother

Mrs Patricia Udegbuna Ukachukwu. (JP)

Whose love, moral, financial and emotional commitment saw me all through my academic career. I will always remain grateful to you.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My profound gratitude goes to the Almighty GOD. Praise God for His mercies and Grace bestowed on me which made thesis a reality.

My appreciation goes to my supervisors, Prof. G.C. Ilogu and Prof. A.M. Olusakin who instructed and guided me to make this work a success. Their invaluable contributions and efficient directives have led to the completion of this work.

My special thanks and gratitude also goes to Prof. N.A. Osarenren, whose promptings and counsel has brought out the best in me. My deep appreciation also goes to Dr. S. Aletan, whose encouragement; valued contributions and counsel also made this work a reality. I also immensely appreciate Dr. I.P. Nwadinigwe whose invaluable suggestions and technical inputs did enrich this work.

I also acknowledge and appreciate other lecturers in the department who have motivated and contributed to the success of this thesis, I shall be contiously grateful to Prof. O.M. Omoegun, Rev. (Fr.) Dr. F.M. Isichei, Dr. Mrs. Abe, Dr. M.B. Ubangha, Dr. C.E. Okoli who is ever willing to assist, Dr. Mrs. O.M. Alade and Dr. S. Oni.

I appreciate the wonderful assistance of the non-academic staff of the department Mrs. Kehinde Ogunlabi, Mrs. Sade Adefila, Mrs. J.F. Shobande and Mrs. Solarin. Also I appreciate the support and technological inputs of Mr. Onoka of the Department of Science and Technology Education.

My sincere appreciation goes to the principals', class teachers of the schools where this study was conducted. The schools permission to conduct research with their students made the collection of data possible. I particularly appreciate the students who chose to participate in this study, for their resilience and cooperation that led to the success of the data collection phase. My special thanks are also extended to my research assistants for their competence that aided the data collection and collation.

I immensely appreciate my friend, sister, 'nne ochie' and colleague Dr. Uche Azuka-Obieke, whose inspirations, support and invaluable contributions made this work a success. Also my other friends and colleagues Dr. Stella Anyama, Dr. Favour Nwolisa, Dr.T. Ettu, Dr. Ken Asamoah-Gymah, Dr. E. Akande, Dr. M. Iyayi, Dr. Fakorede, Dr. Yemi Ayeobasan, Nelson Asuai, Uju Nwankwo, Phio Bvase Djebah, Prisca Okeleke, Furo Wel, Ify Madueke, Bukola Adeleye, Jimoh Jelili, Funmi Aiyeka, Juliana Iwuagwu, Rev. T

Bamgbose, Mr Akinteye, Ebele Oputa and other Ph.d students. You all provided friendly intellectual atmosphere and encouragement to fulfill this dream.

My gratitude goes to members of Mountain of Fire and Miracle Ministries Pastor & Mrs. Dan Mayaki, Pastor & Mrs. Ejinkonye, Pastor Uzor, Pastor Theresa, Pastor Pat, Pastor Mr. & Pastor Mrs. Olutoke, Mr. & Mrs. Okeowo, Pastor Mrs. Esther Ochi, Bro Emeka, teens and teen teachers & children teachers of Ago Region 45. I will not forget my Indefatigable friend and pastors= Pastor & Pastor Mrs. Matthew Stephens. Your prayers and support were most valuable to the success of this work.

My profound and deep gratitude goes to my mother who has the Ph.d in this degree and my siblings Uchenna, Chinedu, Madu, Chika and Izuchukwu for their esteemed moral spiritual and financial support to the fulfillment of this dream.

The greatest of the blessing is the support of my beloved family Pastor Martin-Geo Eluemuno (darlyn husband) and my jewels Chukwuebuka, Chinedu, Ekpeleamaka, and Ebube. Your love, Prayers, understanding and words of encouragement have brought me to this height and made this work a huge success.

God bless you all.

Azukaego Eluemuno.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|--------------------|--|------|
| Title F | Page | i |
| Approval | | iii |
| Certifi | ication | iv |
| Dedic | ation | V |
| Ackno | owledgement | vi |
| Table | of Contents | viii |
| List of | f Tables | X |
| List of Appendices | | xiii |
| | eviations | xiv |
| Abstra | act | XV |
| | | |
| | PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | _ |
| 1.1 | Background to the study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Statement of problem | 7 |
| 1.3 | Theoretical framework. | 10 |
| 1.3.1 | Cognitive Information Processing Theory | 10 |
| 1.3.2 | Emergentist theory of language acquisition | 15 |
| 1.3.3 | Transactional theory of Reading | 18 |
| 1.3.4 | Schema theory of Learning. | 22 |
| 1.4 | Purpose of study | 26 |
| 1.5 | Research questions | 28 |
| 1.6 | Research hypotheses | 29 |
| 1.7 | Scope of study | 30 |
| 1.8 | Significance of study | 30 |
| 1.9 | Operational definition of terms | 32 |

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

| 2.0 | Introduction | 36 |
|-------|--|----|
| 2.1 | Concept of Metacognition | 36 |
| 2.2 | Metacognitive skills | 42 |
| 2.2.1 | Self-awareness skills | 43 |
| 2.2.2 | Monitoring skills | 46 |
| 2.2.3 | Planning skills | 50 |
| 2.3 | Metacognition and reading comprehension | 53 |
| 2.4 | Review of studies on explicit instruction strategies | 59 |
| 2.5 | Review of studies on reading strategies | 65 |
| 2.6 | Strategy use and performance in English language | 71 |
| 2.7 | Appraisal of Literature review | 75 |
| | | |
| CHAP | TER THREE: METHODOLOGY | |
| 3.0 | Introduction | 77 |
| 3.1 | Research design | 77 |
| 3.2 | Area of study | 79 |
| 3.3 | Population of study | 79 |
| 3.4 | Sample and sampling procedure. | 80 |
| 3.5 | Instrumentation | 81 |
| 3.5.1 | English Language Achievement Test | 82 |
| 3.5.2 | The state Metacognitive Inventory (SMI) | 83 |
| 3.5.3 | Metacognitive Awareness Reading Strategies Inventory (MARSI) | 84 |

| 3.5.4 | Scoring of SMI and MARSI | 86 |
|---------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| 3.6 | Pilot study | 86 |
| 3.7 | Appointment and training of research assistants | 88 |
| 3.8 | Procedure for data collection and permission to conduct research | 88 |
| 3.9 | Intervention procedure | 89 |
| | | |
| CHAF | TER FOUR: RESULT OF DATA ANALYSIS | |
| 4.0 | Introduction | 101 |
| 4.1 | Test of Hypotheses | 101 |
| 4.2 | Summary of findings | 123 |
| | | |
| CHAF | | |
| | PTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS, SUMMARY AND CONC | - |
| CONT | PTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS, SUMMARY AND CONC PRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUG FURTHER RESEARCH | - |
| CONT | TRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUG | - |
| CONT FOR | RIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGFURTHER RESEARCH | GESTIONS |
| CONT FOR 1 | FRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGFURTHER RESEARCH Introduction | GESTIONS 125 |
| CONT FOR 1 5.0 5.1 | TRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGFURTHER RESEARCH Introduction Discussion of findings | 125 125 |
| 5.0 5.1 5.2 | IRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGFURTHER RESEARCH Introduction Discussion of findings Summary and Conclusion | 125 125 140 |
| 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 | FRIBUTIONS TO KNOWLEDGE, RECOMMENDATIONS AND SUGFURTHER RESEARCH Introduction Discussion of findings Summary and Conclusion Contributions to Knowledge | 125 125 125 140 141 |
| 5.0 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 | Introduction Discussion of findings Summary and Conclusion Contributions to Knowledge Recommendations | 125 125 140 141 143 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1: Results of Survey Distribution Table of Participants for | |
|--|-----|
| Baseline study. | 78 |
| Table 2: Distribution of participants by gender and intervention groups. | 81 |
| Table 3: Test re-test Reliability Estimate of the Research Instruments. | 87 |
| Table 4: Descriptive data on influence of experimental condition on | |
| English Language achievement among participants. | 102 |
| Table 5 Analysis of Covariance on Influence of experimental conditions | |
| on English language achievement among participants. | 103 |
| Table 6: Fisher's protected t-test on difference in English language | |
| achievement across experimental conditions. | 104 |
| Table 7: Descriptive data on influence of experimental condition on | |
| self awareness skills among participants. | 105 |
| Table 8: Analysis of Covariance on Influence of experimental conditions | |
| on self-awareness skills among participants. | 106 |
| Table 9: Protected t-test on difference on influence of self-awareness | |
| skills across experimental groups. | 107 |
| Table 10: Descriptive statistics on influence of experimental condition | |
| on planning skill among participants. | 108 |
| Table 11: Analysis of Covariance on influence of experimental conditions | |
| on planning skill among participants. | 109 |
| Table 12: Fisher's protected t-test on difference in planning skills | |
| across experimental groups and control. | 110 |

| Table 13: Descriptive data on influence of experimental condition on monitoring samong participants. | kills 111 |
|--|--------------|
| Table 14: Analysis of Covariance on Influence of experimental condition | |
| on monitoring skills among participants. | 112 |
| Table 15: Fisher's protected t-test on difference in monitoring skills | |
| across experimental and control groups. | 113 |
| Table 16: Descriptive data on Influence of experimental conditions on | |
| global reading strategies among participants. | 114 |
| Table 17: Analysis of Covariance on Influence of experimental conditions | |
| on global reading strategies across groups. | 115 |
| Table 18: Fisher's protected t-test on difference in global reading | |
| strategies across experimental. | 116 |
| Table 19: Descriptive data influence of experimental conditions on | |
| problem solving strategies among participants. | 117 |
| Table 20: Analysis of Covariance on Influence of experimental conditions | |
| on problem solving strategies across participants. | 118 |
| Table 21: Fisher's protected t-test on difference in problem solving | |
| strategies across experimental groups. | 119 |
| Table 22: Descriptive statistics on influence of experimental conditions | |
| on support reading strategies among participants. | 120 |
| Table 23: Analysis of Covariance on Influence of experimental conditions | |
| on support reading strategies among participants. | 121 |
| Table 24: Fisher's protected t-test on difference in support reading | |
| strategies across experimental groups. | 122 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| 1. | English Language Achievement Test | 171 |
|----|--|-----|
| 2. | State Metacognitive Inventory SMI | 178 |
| 3. | Metacognitive Reading Strategies Inventory MARSI | 180 |
| 4. | Explicit Instruction strategy teaching Guide | 183 |
| 5. | Reading strategies teaching Guide | 185 |
| 6. | Letter of Introduction | 187 |

ABBREVIATIONS

| Abbreviation | Meaning |
|--------------|--|
| EOL | Ease-of-Learning |
| JOL | Judgments of Learning |
| FOK | Feeling of Knowing |
| EFL | English language as a Foreign Language |
| ESL | English language as a Second Language |
| IPT | Information Processing Theory |
| SMI | State Metacognitive Inventory |
| MARSI | Metacognitive Awareness Reading Strategies Inventory |
| ANCOVA | Analysis of Covariance |
| SEM | Structural Equation Modelling |
| MANOVA | Multivariate analysis of Variance |
| DISTAR | Direct Instruction Systems in Arithmetic and Reading |

Abstract

This study investigated the effectiveness of explicit direct instruction and reading strategies on metacognitive skills and performance in English language among Senior Secondary Schools students in Anambra state. Metacognitive skills in this study are selfawareness, planning, and monitoring skills. The intervention programmes are direct explicit instruction and reading strategies. Descriptive survey and quasi-experimental pre-test, post-test control group design were adopted for the study. The initial sample consisted of 249 participants comprising male and female SS3 students drawn from three public schools in Onitsha, Umudioka and Okija in educational zones 2, 3 & 4 of Anambra state respectively. The final sample for experiment consisted of 144 male and female SS3 students. Seven research hypotheses were formulated and tested at 0.05 level of significance. Three major instruments were used to generate data, namely: 1.Achievement Test. 2.Metacognitive Awareness Reading Skills Inventory (MARSI). 3. State Metacognitive Skills Inventory (SMI). Data generated was analyzed using descriptive statistical method and Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA). The results from the analysis of data indicated that the seven hypotheses tested were accepted. The study revealed that direct explicit instruction and reading strategies were efficacious in developing and enhancing metacognitive skills and in turn affecting the performance in English language among students. Further evidence revealed that direct explicit instruction was more effective in improving metacognitive skills and learning of English language. It is also apparent from the study that self- awareness skills, planning skills and monitoring skills were high among participants exposed to explicit instruction. Thus, there is the need to inculcate the development of metacognitive skills into the school curriculum. This is considered important because of its impact in improving reading and comprehension in English language among students.

