

Antimicrobial Resistance in Nigeria: An Overview

F. T. Ogunsola, C. N. Kesah, and Tolu Odugbemi Department of Medical Microbiology and Parasitology \ College of Medicine, University of Lagos | Idi-Araba, Lagos.

Correspondence: F. T. Ogunsola

SUMMARY

The resistance patterns of common pathogen like Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Neisseria gonorrhoear, Neisseria meningitidis amongst others have been reviewed in the period between 1979 and 1994. The studies in general have given limited information but show a general increase in resistance to commonly used antibiotics like penicillin, cotrimoxazole, ampicillin, tetracycline, chloramphenicol, streptomycin and erythromycin. Sensitively rates to third generation cephalosporins, and quinolones are still high, thus, in life threatening infections, e. g. meningitis and septicaemia, treatment with these agents is advocated for empiric therapy. This review emphasizes the urgent need for a good antibiotic policy to guide the therapy of infectious diseases.

Key Words: Antibiotics, Resistance, Nigeria

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial agents, and in particular beta-lactam drugs, are widely used in most parts of the world. The widespread and indiscriminate use of antimicrobial agents in developing countries have adversely influenced the antibiotic resistance rates. Antibiotics are easily purchased without prescription both from legal sources like pharmacies and chemists and from illegal sources like flea markets and street hawkers 1, 2.

In Nigeria, most classes of antibiotics can be bought. The most common being, the beta-lactams, tetracyclines, aminoglycosides, cotrimoxazole and chloramphenicol. Table I, is a list of the different classes of antibiotics, with locally available examples, their modes of action and mechanisms of resistance. There may exist more than one mechanism of resistance to each antibiotic. Some organisms may possess more than one mechanism for evading the effect of a particular antibiotic or may be resistant to more than one type or class of antibiotic (multiple-antibiotic resistance). The presence of de-activaling enzymes (e. g. beta-laclamase resistance) is probably the most common mechanism of resistance. There abound many anecdotal reports on resistance to virtually every antibiotic in Nigeria. Unfortunately very little work has been carried out in Nigeria to study the mechanisms of resistance to the different antibiolics. Most of the work has been on the production of beta-lactamases by clinical isolates3-7,10. Some work has also been carried out on plasmids responsible for tetracycline resistance23.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing is essential for the rational therapy of most bacterial infection. Information on antimicrobial susceptibility testing in Nigeria is inadequate 9-17 and the information obtained from these have been limited. This study was carried out to review the existing literature on antibiotic susceptibility testing, highlight

the problems and stress the need for a continuous surveillance of the susceptibility profiles of bacteria because of the impact on therapy and control of infectious diseases.

Resistance to beta-lactam antibiotics

The prevalence of organisms resistant to beta-lactam antibiotics has probably been the most studied in Nigeria³⁻⁹ and even these do not give the overall picture in the country. A lot of these studies were carried out in Lagos, while a few were reported from Jos and Ilorin^{3-9,21}. The studies show widespread production of beta-lactamase by many of the commonly encountered pathogens.

Table II shows the prévalence of bela-lactamase producers amongsi some clinical isolates. These studies were carried out belween 1979 and 1996. The beta-lactamase profiles of Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Neisseria Meningitalis, Moraxella catarrhalis, Streptococcus pyogenes, Staphylococcus aureus, Yersinia enterocolitica, Salmonella spp. Enterococcus faecalis, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli and Profeus spp have been studied.

Resistance of *N. gonorrhoeae* to beta-lactams has been well documented (24, 25) and this was borne out by two studies carried out 5 years apart in 1988 in Lagos⁵ and 1993 llorin⁴ which showed 81.8% and 81.2% of beta-lactamase producers respectively. On the other hand a study in 1993⁴ of 68 isolates of *N. meningitidis* confirmed the continued susceptibility of this organism to penicillin. No beta-lactamase producer was identified. This confirmed the report of Njoku-Obi and Agbo⁶ who in 1976, reported a 95% sensitivity of *N. meningitidis* to penicillin.

Obi et al in 1995 reported that 20 (33%) of 60 isolates of N. catarrhalis were beta-lactamase producers. Unfortunately, a trend cannot be commented upon because in the study in 1994¹⁵ only one clinical isolates of M. catarrhalis was lested.

The rates of beta-lactamase production amongst enterobacteria in Lagos is high. Over 94% and 93% of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* respectively were found to be beta-lactamase producers by Odugbemi et al in 1995¹⁵. In 1992 all of the 15 isolates of *Y. enterocolitica* tested for beta-lactamase production were producers ¹⁹ while only 21 (36%) of 58 isolates of *Salmonella spp.* produced the enzyme.

In 1994, a comprehensive study was carried out involving 460 clinical isolates ¹⁵. Approximately 80% of all isolates were positive for beta tactamase production. Interestingly none of the 30 isolates of *E. faecalis* was beta-lactamase positive. (Table III) suggesting that ampicillin may still be adequate for treatment of enterococci in this environment. Another study involving a larger number of isolates, preferably, from all over the country will confirm this. A high proportion (68.42%) of clinical isolates of *P*:

mirabilis were found to be beta-lactmase producers 15 while most strains of K pneumoniae (Table IV) were resistant to amoxyclav (augmentin), cephalothin, piperacillin, gentamicin (65.6%), chloramphenicol and cotrimoxazole (septrin). Pseudomonas aeruginosa is reknowned for being multiply - antibiotic resistant, Odugbemi et al. in 199415 tested a small number of clinical isolates against antibiotics believed to have good activity against P. aeruginosa (Table V). There was 100% sensitivity to Piperacillin. Imipenem, Amikacin and Ciprolloxacin, 85% sensitivity to aztreonam, 78.5% to gentamicin and less than 65% to cefotaxime and ticarcillin. All strains were resistant to amoxyclav. This gives food for thought because the antibiotics with 100% activity to this organism are all prohibitively expensive and most are not easily available in the Nigerian market. In 1984, Amiebenom et al. 22 showed 83% of Staph. aureus isolated from neonates in Zaria were still sensitive to cloxacillin though they were resistant to penicillin and ampicillin.

The susceptibility pattern of Staph. aureus in 1994, on the other hand, is grim (Table IV) Commonly used antibiotics against this organism, I. e. penicillin, oxacillin (representing cloxacillin and flucloxaciilin) gentamicin and tetracycline show less than 50% activity against all the strains tested. Erythromycin is slightly better with 64% activity while fusidic acid still has good activity. All strains of Staph. aureus tested were sensitive to Vancomycin.

Resistance to other antibiotics

Very few studies have been carried out to determine the basis for resistance of clinical isolates to antibiotics other than beta-lactams. Most studies show susceptibility patterns of different organisms to various antibiotics including beta-lactmase antibiotics^{9, 12, 17, 18}. Kandakai-Oiukemi, Bello and Olukemi in 1996²¹ showed that Staph. aureus strains isolated from nurses at Jos showed that 10 (20%) of 50 isolates were resistant to cloxacillin, erythromuycin and azithromycin only. All resistant isolates were found to be beta-lactamase positive.

In 1986, Onile et al12 showed the susceptibility patterns of bacteria causing septicaemia in Ilorin (Table VII), most isolates were resistant to ampicillin, tetracycline, chloramphenical and streptomycin. In particular, most isolates of Streptococcus pneumonlae showed resistance to tetracycline, chloramphenicol, septrin. Unfortunately no isolate was tested against oxaciilin to screen for penicillin resistance. In 1993, Olukoya, Daini and Niemogha²³ identified the plasmids in enteric bacteria coding for resistance to tetracycline. Twelve types of piasmids were isolated with molecular weights ranging between 3 to 180 kilo bases. These plasmids also coded for resistance to ampicillin, cotrimaxazole and streptomycin. There is still a need to determine the mechanism of resistance of the various organisms to chloramphenicol, genfamicin, tetracycline, the microiides and other groups of antibiotics.

Sensitivity to the quinolones is still very high. Using Ofloxacin as a prototype, all isolates of *K. pneumoniae*, *B. cepacia*, *E. coli*, *Staph. aureus* and Enterococci isolated in 1996 from 250 septicaemic neonates in Lagos were found to be 100% sensitive ²⁰. This mimics the pattern observed

with the cephalosporins (2nd and 3rd generations) in 199415.

Susceptibility may remain high for a few more years because these drugs are expensive and beyond the reach of most individuals. But their use is increasing and resistance may become more problematic in the years to come.

CONCLUSION

Antibiotic resistance is a real problem in Nigeria because of the easy accessibility of antibiotics² and the presence in the market of many substandard drugs. The trend as can be seen is for increasing resistance to all antibiotics. Many of the common organisms are already resistant to many of the common (and cheaper) antibiotics with negative implications for therapy. Many Nigerians self medicate². There is need for a continuous surveillance of antimicrobial resistance trends in the country. In addition studies to determine the basis for resistance, whether they are due to transmissible plasmids or are chromosomally mediated are needed so as to be able to predict trends and hopefully develop a comprehensive and practical antibiotic policy which if properly implemented may reverse the trend.

Table I

Classes of Antibiotics with the Mechanisms of Antimicrobial Resistance

Class of Antibitic	Example	Mode of Action	Mechanism d Resistance
Beta-lactams a) Penicillin natural	Penicillin G Penicillin V.		B-lactamase* (plasmid)
- Broad spectrum	Ampicillin Bacampicillin Amoxycillin	Inhibition of cell wall synthesis	- B-tuclamase (plasmid)
– Isoxazolyl Penicillin I. e. B–lactamase resistant	Methicillin Cloxacillin Flucioxacillin		Alteration of Penicillin Binding proteins (PBP) (Chromosomal)
b) B-lactamase inhibitors c) Cephalosporins	Sulbactam Clavulanic acid		
	Cefuroxime, Cephaloihin, Celoxifin, Ceflazidime		B-lactamase*/ PBP changes
2. Aminogy- cosides	Gentamicin Streptomycin	Inhibition of protein synthesis	- *Deactivaling enzymes - Alteration of ribosomal binding sites
 Aminocyli→ tols Tetracy- 	Spectinomycin		
cline 5. Chloramphe-	Oxytetracycline Doxycycline	* Efflux	* Altered target
nicol	Chloramphe- nicol		-Enzyme deac- tivation - Impermeability
6. Sulphonamides	Sulphadiazine Trimethoprim Colrimoxazole	Inhibition of lolate metabolism	*Altered meta- bolic pathway
7. Macrolides	Erythromycin Azithromycin	Protein synthesis	Altered targets – Enzyme modification

Table i (Contd.)

Class of Antibiotics		Example	Mode of Action	Mechanism of Resistance		
8.	Lincosamides	Lincomycin Clindamycin	t	4		
9.	Quinalones	Nalidixic acid Norfloxacin Ofloxacin Ciprofloxacin	DNA replication	'Altered DNA gyrase Reduced Per- meability		
10.	Glycopep- tides	Vancomycin Teicoplanin	ceil wall	Blocking of drug access to binding sites		
11.	Carbapenems	lmipenem .	Inhibition of cell wall synthesis	3		
12.	Polymycins	Polymixin E (colistin)	Cell memb- rane destruc- tion			
13.	Metronidazole		DNA replica- tion	-Reduced uptake * Reflux		
14.	Ritämpicin	100	DNA replication	- Impermeabi- lity.		

KEY: " = Major resistance pathway.

Table II

Beta-lactamase production amongst local isolates

isolates	No. ol Strains tested	No.% Positive	Authors/Year/ Locality			
Neisseria gonormoeae	133	108(81.2)	Agbabiaka e al ⁴ (1993), Lagos			
Neisseria gonormosas	22	18 (81.8)	Odugbeml & Onile (1988), Ilorin ⁵			
Neisseria meningilidis	68	0(0)	Agbabiaka <i>e al⁴</i> (1993), Lagos			
Moraxella (Branha- mella) catarrhalis	60	20(33)	Obi, Animashaun & Odugbemi (1990) ⁷			
Moraxella catarmalis	11	1(100)	Odugbemi <i>et al.</i> (1995) ¹⁵			
Yersinia enterocolitica	15	15(100)	Agboniahor & Odugbemi (1982) ¹⁹ Lagos			
Staphylococcus aureus	202	143(70.8)	Rotimi et al(1979)Lagos			
Staphylococcus aureus	117	98(83.76)	Odugbemi et al. (1995) ¹⁵ Lagos.			
Staphylococcus aureus	50	49(98%)	Kandakai-Olukemi ei al (1996), Jos ²¹			
Enterococcus faecalis	30	0(0)	Odugbemi et al. (1995) Lagos ¹⁵ ,			
Klebsiella pneumoniae	103	96(93.20)	Odugbemi et al. (1995) Lagos ¹⁵			
Escherichia coli	105	09(94.29)	Odugbemi et al. (1995) Lagos ¹⁵ .			
Proteus mirabilis	38	26(68.42)	Odugberni et al. (1995)			

Table III

Comparison of Susceptibility of Enterococcus faeçalis Isolates to various Antibiotics in 1994.

1		1994				
Antimicrobial agents	MIC break Point (ug/ml)	Number of Strains tested	% Susceptibility			
Penicillin G	8	8	100			
Amoxycillin	. 8	8	100			
Cephalothin	8	- 8	12.5			
Chloramphenicol	8	8	57.5			
Tetracycline	4	8	0			
Erythromycin	0.5	8	0			
Clindamycin	0.5	8	50			
Vancomycin	4	8	100			

(From Odugbemi etal. 1995) 15

Table IV

Comparison of Susceptibility of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*Isolates to various Antibiotics in 1994

		1994					
Antimicrobial agents	MIC break point (ug/ml)	Number of strains tested	% Susceptibility				
Amoxycillin-							
Clavulanic acid	8/4	29	27.6				
Amoxycillin	8	4	0				
Mecillinam	16	28	42.8				
Piperacillin	16	28	17.8				
Cephalothin	8	34	38.2				
Cefoxitin	8	28	92.8				
Cefotaxime	8	33	90				
Gentamicin	4	32	34.4				
Amikacin	16	30	100				
Chloramphenicol	8	33	27.3				
Tetracycline	4	33	27.3				
Nalidixic acid	16	14	92.8				
Trimethoprim-		((4)					
Suiphamethoxazole	2/38	33	42.4				

(From Odugbemi et al., 1995)15

Table V

Comparison of Susceptibility of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolates to various Antibiotics in 1994

		1994			
Antimicrobial agents	MIC break point (ug/ml)	Number of strains tested	% Susceptibility		
Amoxycillin-					
Clavulanic acid	8/4	10	0		
Ticarcillin	64	14	60		
Piperacillin	64	11	100		
Cefotaxime	8	12	50		
Aztreonam	B	13	85		
imipenem	4	14	10.0-		
Gentamicin	4	- 14	78.5		
Amikacin	16	14	100		
Ciproloxacin	1 1	8	100		

(From Odugberni et al., 1995)15

Table VI

Comparison of Susceptibility of Staphylococcus aureus Isolates to various Antibiotics in 1994

		1994		
Antimicrobial agent	MIC tireak point (ug/ml)	Number of strains tested	% Susceptibility	
Penicillin G	0.12	39	5	
Oxacillin	2	37	43	
Amoxycillin-				
Clavulanic acid	4/2	31	71	
Gentamicin	4	39	33	
Tetracycline	4	39	23	
Erythromycin	0.5	39	64	
Çlindamycin	0.5	21	71	
Aifampicin	1	28	- 89 -	
Fusidic acid	16	24	87.5	
Vancomycin	4	38	100	
Trimethoprim-				
Sulphamethoxazole	2/38	39	64	

(From Odugbemi et al., 1995) 15

Table VII

Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Bacteria Causing Septicaemia in Ilorin

Percentage Sensitive Strains (No. of Strains tested)

			resittage Sensitive Strains (140) of Strains (ested)							
	PENICILLIN G	AMPICILLIN	TETRACYCLINE	CHLORAMPHENICOL	SEPTRIN	CEFOTAXIME	STREPTOMYCIN	CEFOXITIN	GENTAMICIN	AUGMENTIN
Salmonelle spp.	-	84.7 (72)	94.7 (76)	95.1 (41)	79.1 (86)	97.3 (74)	47.7 (67)	96.4 (2 8)	100 (19)	100 (16)
Atypical becterium	0 (1)	7.7 (26)	10.3 (29)	7.7 (13)	18. 2 (22)	58.9 (26)	16 (2 5)	63.6 (11)	61.5 (13)	58.8 (17)
Klebsiella spp.	NT	o (30)	29.4 (34)	50 (18)	51.6 (31)	100 (31)	(33)	100 (18)	83.3 (12)	82.4 (1 7)
Esch. coll	NT	17.4 (23)	17.4 (23)	20 (5)	60.9 (23)	95.3 (21)	1,3.3 (15)	7 5 (12)	63.6 (11)	80 (5)
Slaphylococcus aureus	11.3 (29)	28.7 (59)	14.3 (63)	79.1 (19)	84.2 (5 7)	45.2 (45)	38.3 (47)	92.6 (27)	100 (3)	100 (3)
Strept, pneumoniae	100 (9)	100 (11)	8.3 (12)	35.7 (7)	33.3 (12)	100 (4)	4 (11)	100 (12)	NT	100 (9)
Beta-haemolytic Streptocci	100 (4)	100 (9)	60 (5)	100	0 (5)	66. 7 (3)	20 (4)	66.7 (11)	100 (5)	NT

NT = Not tested

(From Onlie et al., 1995) 15.

REFERENCES

- Odugbemi T. The Use and Abuse of antibiotics. Nig. Med Pract 1981; 1: 4–8.
- Oyewole AlM, Akinwolere OAO and Akinkugbe FM. Use and Misuse of drugs in Nigerian Infants. Nig. Med J. 1987; 17: 21-29.
- Bello CSS. Penicillinase-producing Neisseria gonorrhoeae report of first isolates from Northern Nigeria. W Afr. J. Med, 1982; 1: 39 – 34.
- 4. Agbabiaka A, Ademidun O, Animashaun T
- Odugbemi T, Beil CSS and Olukoya DK. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Neisseria* species in Lagos, Nigeria, Niger Med J. 1993; 24: 50 53.
- Odugbemi T and Onile B. Paediatrics generrhoeae: is it receiving adequate attention? american Journal of Reproductive immunology and Microbiology, 1988; 18: 32-34.
- Njoku-Obi AN and Agbo JAC. Meningococcal carrier rates in parts of Eastern Nigeria. Bull World Health Organ. 1976; 54: 271 – 274.

- Obi MC, Animashaun T and Odugbemi T. The occurrence of Branhametla catarrhalis and other commensal Neisseriaceae in clinical sputum specimens in Lagos, Nigeria. Eur J Epidemiol 1990; 6: 323 – 325.
- Animashaun T and Odugbemi T. Observation on in-vitro activities of chloramphenicol, cotrimoxazole and otloxacin against Salmonella. Nig Med Pract. 1991; 21: 37-38.
- ♣ Eke PI and Rotimi VO. In-vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical isolates of pathogenic bacteria to ten antibiotic activities of chloramphenicol, cotrimoxazole including phosphomycin. Afr J Med & Med Sci 1987; 16: 1 → 8.
- (0) Rotimi Q, Odugbemi TO, Fadahunsi O and Ogunbi O. Penicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Prevalence of Penicillinase producing strains in Lagos University Teaching Hospital. Nig. Med. Journ, 1978; 9(3): 307 310.
- Animashaun T, Lawal SF and Odugbemi T. An in-vitro activity of cettazidime (fortum) against gramnegative bacteria in Lagos. Nig Med Pract 1989; 18 (1): 14 16.
- Onile BA, Odugbemi T and Nwofor C. Antibiotics susceptibility of bacterial agents in Ilorin, Nigeria. Nig Med Pract 1985; (4): 93-108.
- \footnote{\text{13.}} Montetiore D, Rotimi V. O. and FAB Adeyemi-Doro. \footnote{\text{The}} Problem of bacterial resistance to antibiotics among strains isolates from hospital patients in Lagos and Ibadan, Nigeria. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 1989; 23: 641 651.
- Alausa KO, Montefiore DG, Sobayo E. Problems in the diagnosis of urinary tract infections. Nig Med J. 1979; 9: 107.
- Odugbeml T, Animashaun T, Kesah K and Oduyebo
 O. Une etude de la sensibility antimicrobienne in vitro
 disolats bacteriens cliniques a Lagos, au Nigeria. In
 Medecine Digest B-lactamase Survey (African
 Team) 1995; Vol. XXI Supplement, No. 4 P. 39 -

- 16. Odugbemi T, Hafiz S and McEntegart MG. Penicillinase—producing Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Detection by starch paper technique. British Medical Journal 1977; 2: 500.
- Odugbemi TO, Agbolahor DE, Adeyele S, Oleru UG. Studies on haemolytic and antimicrobial properties of Escherichia coli strains isolated from clinical specimens in Lagos. J Pharm Med Sci 1980; 4: 437.
- Atoyebi OA, Sowemimo GOA and Odugbemi T. Bacterial flora of burns wounds in Lagos, Nigerian. A prospective study. Burns 1992; 18: 448-451.
- Agbolahor DE and Odugbemi TO. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibilities of Yersinia enterocolitica isolates in Lagos, Nigerian. Nig Med Journ 1982; 12 (2): 125 -179.
- Egri-Okwaji MTC, Iroha EO, Kesah CN, Odugbemi T. Bacterial Pathogens causing Neonatal Sepsis in an out-born Neonatal Unit in Lagos, Nigeria. Nig. Ot J. Hosp Med 1996; 6: 149 152.
- 21. Kandakai-Olukemi YT, Bello CSS, Olukemi MA. Isolation of cloxacillin, erythromycin and azythromycin. Nig. Med. J, 1996; (1): 19 23.
- Amjebenom CS, Yakubu AM, Bello CSS and Ewa B. Neonatal septicaemia in Zaria. Nig Med J 1988; 18: 349-351.
- 23. Olukoya DK, Daini OA and Nlemogha MT. Preliminary epidemiological studies on tetracycline resistant plasmids isolated from enteric bacteria in Nigeria. Tropical and Geographical Medicine 1993; 45: 117-120.
- 24. Odugbemi T, Onile BA, Adetoro OO et al. Sexually transmitted diseases: A 19 month clinical experience at the llorin University Teaching Hospital. Nig Med Pract 1986; 11: 96 98.
- Obi CL, Esumeh FI, Obi MC et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of Lagos isolates of Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Journal of Med Lab Sci 1992; 2: 1116 – 1043.