### IJABR Vol. 3(1):7 - 16 (2011)

**Original Article** 



# DERMATOGLYPHICS OF AUTISTIC PATIENTS IN LAGOS, SOUTHWEST NIGERIA

\*Sanyaolu, A., Oremosu, A., Duru, F., Noronha, C., Olabiyi, O. and Okanlawon, A.

Anatomy Department, Faculty of Basic Medical Science, College of Medicine, University of Lagos, Nigeria.

Submitted: March 03, 2011; Accepted: June. 06, 2011; Published: July. 14, 2011.

### ABSTRACT

Studies of selected traits were undertaken to determine the occurrence of dermatoglyphics in autistics as compared with the normal children in Lagos state. Finger and palm prints were taken from 22 autistic children and 22 normal children. Quantitative and qualitative analysis of the traits, descriptive statistics, t test (p < 0.05) and  $\chi^2$  (p < 0.001), showed a significant difference in digital pattern types between autistic and normal children, but no significant difference in the total mean ridge count. Deferences in arches were not statistically significant. The total ridge count on both hands of autistic children is higher than in the normal. The *atd* (axial triradius) angle and *a-b* (distance between a triradius and b triradius) ridge count are higher in normal than in the autistic children. It was concluded that there is evidence to suggest the presence of definite dermatoglyphic features associated with autistic children compared to normal children.

**Keywords**: autistic, disorder, dermatoglyphics, development, patients **\*Corresponding author**: Tel: +2347039869563, arislois@yahoo.com

#### INTRODUCTION

Autism is a condition involving a severe neurodevelopmental disorder and is characterized by impairment in social interaction and communication, as well as restricted and repetitive behavior (Jasna *et al.*, 2003). It was first described by an American psychiatrist Leo Kanner (1943) and by an Austrian pediatrician Hans Asperger (1944). This condition manifests itself before a child is three years old and lasts for the person's whole life. Autism has a neurological basis in the brain and genetic causes play a major role. However, the precise cause is still not known, nor it's true prevalence (Hill and Frith, 2003). Autism is usually classified under a family called the Autism larger Spectrum Disorder (ASD) or Prevasive Developmental Disorder (PDD) which include closely related syndromes such as Asperger Syndrome (World Health Organization, 2006). During early embryonic development, certain genetic factors which disrupt development of the central nervous system, could also influence early dermatoglyphic formation in autistic patients (Lainhart et al., 1997). Therefore dermatoglyphics may offer some insight into the events during fetal development (Walker, 1977).

Dermatoglyphics is the study of the skin ridges found on the digits, palms, toes, and soles of primates and other mammals (Reed and Meier, 1990; Vashist., et al., 2010). The development of the ridges on the fingers, palms and soles occur between the  $7^{th}$  and  $21^{st}$ week of gestation, whilst the epidermal ridges appear on the pads by the 10<sup>th</sup> to 12th week of fetal life (Mulvihill and Smith, 1969). After this period, dermatoglyphic patterns remain unchanged except for an increase in size which is parallel with general growth. Studies have been conducted on digital and palmar dermatoglyphic patterns in certain medical conditions such as Down's syndrome, Turner's syndrome, Klinefelter"s syndrome, Cri-du-chat, Trisomy Trisomy 13 and 18 (Schaumann and Alter, 1976; Oladipo et al., 2010; Vashist et al., 2011). The aim of this study was to analyse and compare the quantitive and qualitative dermatoglyphic traits in autistic and normal children in Nigeria.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## Subjects

The sample included 22 autistic children (14 males and 8 females) aged between 3 and 10 years. Subject selection was obtained at the Neurological Clinic, Lagos University Teaching Hospital (LUTH), Nigeria. Also, 22 normal children also participated in the study as the control samples. The controls were taken from the school system (Lagos university teaching hospital staff school).

Palm and finger prints of both hands were collected and analysed according to the techniques of Cummins and Midlo (1961). The dermatoglyphic traits analysed include:

- (1) The Finger Print Patterns (FPP): the standard types of FPP considered were the arch (A), radial loop(r loop), ulnar loop (U Loop) and the whorl (W).
- (2) Finger Ridge Count (FRC): for the left and right hand and the Total Finger Ridge Count (TFRC); this included the sum of the ten fingers ridge count. This counting was done along the straight line connecting the tri-radial point, formed by the confluence of the three ridge system to the point of core.
- (3) a-b ridge count: this included two variables, the left and right hand a-b ridge count. The counting was done along the straight line, between 'a' triradius and the'd' triradius points.
- (4) The atd angle was measured between 'a','t' and 'd' triradiu points for both hands. Following this procedure, the atd angle (measured in degrees) was

formed by drawing lines from the digital triradius 'a' to the axial triradius 'd'.

## Statistical Analysis

The analyses included a descriptive statistics (mean (M)  $\pm$  Standard Deviation (SD), using the student t-test (p<0.05) and (p<0.001) for the quantitative variables (student t- test was used in comparing all the quatitative parameter except analysis on percentage frequency of the total finger pattern in both normal and autistics groups in which chi-square was used)

### RESULTS

The percentage frequencies of Arch, Ulnar loop, Radial loop and Whorl patterns of all the ten digits in both sexes in the two groups is shown in Table 1. Differences in finger patterns were in the proportion of arches (34.7%) and whorls (65.3%), in the autistic patients. Little differences exist in the loop pattern. The pattern difference between autistic and control subjects was significant,  $\chi^2 = 19.634$ , (df = 3), p < 0.001.

Table 2 showed the frequencies of finger pattern for each digit on both hands of female and male in both autistics and normal groups and total population (combination of male and female in each group). In the analysis, there was statistically significant increase for the left hand (p<0.05). A comparative study of each type of pattern showed significantly more whorls in autistic males than females. Also, there were more whorls in autistic females than their controls. The frequency of r loop was significantly higher for left hand in autistic male (p<0.05) than autistic female, while that of u loop was significantly lower for left hand in autistic male than normal male. In analyzing the arch pattern, a significant difference existed only for right hand (p<0.05) with more arch in autistic males than autistic females.

The mean and standard deviation of the finger ridge count are shown in Table 3. The summed ridge counts of the right hand for the two groups in this study were greater than that of the left. Apart from the left hand of the autistic children, the digital ranking of the mean ridge scores for the left, right, and left plus right hands of the two groups were IV > I > V > III > II. The right hand of the autistic and the left plus right hand of the normal group indicated a reversal of digit IV and I. The left hand of the autistic children had a different mean ridge score ranking which was IV > I >III > V > II. The greatest variability in the normal population was on digit II, while the least was shown by digit V. This is true for the left and right hand. The autistic children however, had digit I as the most varied for right and right plus left hands. Digit II was the most variable for the left hand. The least varied was digit V for the left, right plus left hand but on the right hand digit IV was the least varied.

Finger Pattern	Normal Subjects	Autistic Subjects	
	No. (%)	No. (%)	
Arch	22(10.0)	14(16.3)	
Whorl	48(21.8)	91 (41.0)	
U loop	77(35.06)	55 (24.8)	
R loop	73(33.2)	62 (27.9)	

Table 1: Percentage frequency of total finger patterns in both normal and autistic groups

Pattern difference:  $\chi^2 = 19.634$  (df = 3); P < 0.001; \*df=Degree of frequency

Table 4 showed the mean distribution of atd angle in both hands of normal and autistic subjectss. The mean atd angle for the autistic female group in the left and right hands were  $46^{\circ}\pm 3.78$  and  $46.3^{\circ}\pm 4.50$  respectively. In autistic males, the mean atd for the left hand was  $42.6^{\circ}\pm 5.45$  while, the right hand

had  $43^{\circ} \pm 5.66^{\circ}$ . The normal group have higher atd angle than the index group with the mean values of  $48^{\circ} \pm 6.65$  and  $47.5^{\circ} \pm 6.93^{\circ}$ , respectively, for females while for the normal males it was  $44.1^{\circ} \pm 5.63^{\circ}$  on the left and  $43.2^{\circ} \pm 5.64^{\circ}$  on the right. The test was not statistically significant.

Table 2: Percentage frequencies of finger pattern for each digit of both hands in female group (autistic and normal), male group (autistics and normal) and total population (combination of males and females in each group).

Male $n=(14)$	Autist	ic				Norn	nal			
Pattern	Ι	II	III	IV	V	Ι	II	III	IV	V
Arch										
R	7.1	-	21.4	-	-	14.2	-	7.1	7.1	7.1
L	7.1	21.4	7.1	-	-	42.9	28.9	71.4	28.6	85.7
R+L	7.1	10.7	14.3	-	-	28.6	14.3	39.3	17.9	46.4
Whorl										
R	64.5	50.0	35.5	42.9	35.7	35.7	28.6	21.4	50.0	-
L	50.0	35.7	35.7	50.0	21.4	28.6	21.4	14.3	57.1	7.1
R+L	57.1	42.9	35.7	46.4	21.4	32.1	25.0	17.9	53.6	3.6
Ulnar loop										
R	28.6	42.9	35.7	57.1	71.4	35.7	35.7	28.6	42.9	92.9
L	-	-	-	-	-	14.3	21.4	7.1	7.1	7.1
R+L	14.3 2	21.4	17.8	28.6	35.7	25.0	28.6	32.1	25.0	50.0
Radial loop										
R	-	7.1	7.1	-	7.1	14.2	-	7.1	7.1	7.1
L	42.9	42.9	57.1	50.0	78.6	42.9	28.9	71.4.	28.6	85.7
R+L	21.4	25.0	321	25.0	42.9	28.6	14.3	39.3	17.9	46.4

Pattern

Arch R L R+L Whorl R L R+L Ulnar loop R L	7.1 -   12.5 12.5   12.5 12.5   37.5 37.5   75.0 25.0   56.3 31.3   50.0 50.0	5 6.3 - 37.5 50.0 37.5 75.0 37.5 62.5 62.5 57.1	62.5 -	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$
R+L Radial loop	25.0 25.0	31.3 25.0	31.3	25.0 28.6 32.1 25.0 50.0
R L	50.0 50.0	0 62.5 50.0	62.5 -	37.5 87.5 100.0 75.0 100.0
R+L	25.0 31.3	3 25.0 25.0	37.5	18.8 43.8 50.0 37.5 50.0
Male+female n=(22) Pattern ARCH R	9.1 4.5	13.6 -	_	13.6 22.7 9.1 45.5 -
L	9.1 18.2	9.1 -	-	9.1 27.3 4.5 59.1 -
R+L Whorl	9.1 11.4	14.3 -	-	11.4 25.0 6.8 52.3 -
R	54.5 45.5		22.7	40.9 22.7 13.6 36.4 -
L	59.1 31.8		22.7	27.3 22.7 9.1 40.9 4.5
R+L Ulnar loop	56.8 38.6	36.4 52.3	22.7	34.1 22.7 11.4 38.6 2.3
R	36.4 45.5		68.2	36.4 54.5 72.7 54.5 95.5
L R+L Radial loop	18.2 22.7	 7 22.7 27.3	- 34.1	9.1 13.6 4.5 4.5 4.5 22.7 34.1 38.6 29.5 50.0
R	9.1 4.5	13.6 -	-	13.6 22.7 9.1 45.5 -
L	9.1 18.2	9.1 -	-	9.1 27.3 4.5 59.1 -
R+L	9.1 11.4	11.4 -		11.4 25.0 6.8 52.3 -

\*R= Right \*L=Left \*R+L=Right +Left

			Autist	ic	Normal		
Hand	Digit	Ridge	Mean	SD	Ridge	Mean	SD
		Count			Count		
Left Hand	Ι	264	13.2	5.98	250	11.36	5.50
	II	208	10.4	6.10	192	8.73	6.60
	III	232	11.6	5.99	211	9.59	5.11
	IV	281	14.05	4.15	249	11.32	4.67
	V	221	11.05	2.91	238	10.82	3.49
Right Hand	Ι	292	14.6	6.27	272	12.36	5.92
	II	214	10.7	4.71	194	8.82	6.46
	III	218	10.9	5.50	218	9.91	4.94
	IV	280	14	2.55	294	13.36	5.21
	V	232	11.6	3.59	246	11.18	3.19
Left + Right	Ι	556	27.8	6.09	522	23.73	5.66
Hand	II	422	21.1	5.38	386	17.55	6.51
	III	450	22.5	5.68	429	19.50	4.99
	IV	561	28.05	3.40	543	24.68	5.03
	V	453	22.65	3.24	484	22.00	3.29

# Table 3: Mean $\pm$ finger ridge counts of both hands in autistic and normal children

Table 4: Mean  $\pm$  SD of the atd angle of normal and autistic children, in both hands

Sex	atd angles(degree) Normal Subjects			Autistic Subjects			
Females	Left 48.4 ± 6.65	Right 47.5 ± 6.93	Left 46 ± 3.78	Right 46.3 <u>+</u> 4.5			
Males	44.1 ± 5.63	43.2 ± 5.64	$44.1 \pm 5.63$	$3 43 \pm 5.66$			

Table 5: Mean  $\pm$  SD of a – b ridge counts in normal and autistic subjects, in both hands

a-b ridge count							
	Normal Subjects		Autistic Subjects				
Sex	Left	Right	Left	Right			
Females	38.00 ± 4.31	38.90 ± 4.12	37.40 ± 3.20	$37.10 \pm 6.20$			
Males	37.00 ± 3.85	$34.50 \pm 6.52$	37.90 ± 8.84	37.80 ± 7.72			

The mean Total a – b Ridge Count (TABRC) in both hands is shown in Table 5. Female autistic children had lower mean TABRC than their normal

counterparts while the reverse was the case for the male subjects, though such differences were not significantly different in all cases.

#### DISCUSSION

The results of this study showed some differences in the relative distribution of ridge patterns, as well as, digital and palmar ridge counts of the subject groups. Ridge pattern frequencies obtained from autistic children were markedly different from the control group, with increase in percentage of whorls, decrease in arches and loops. The significant increase in whorls is not in line with the findings of Hartin and Barry (1979). Decrease in the frequency distribution of arches in autistic subjects. though not statistically significant is also in contrast to those reported earlier in studies on autism (Walker, 1977; Hartin and Barry, 1979; al.. 2001). Considerable Rosa et have been reported on variations dermal patterns for adult schizophrenics. However, reduced arch patterns have been reported for childhood schizophrenics and a small sample of childhood psychotic or in mental defectives (Cummins and Midlo, 1961; Sank, 1968; Hilbun, 1970; Spence et al., 1974). Whose findings are consistent with those of this study. The reduction in arch pattern of autistic children though not significant using t test, p < 0.05 may be as a result of reduced sample size available for this work. The autistic male showed higher frequency in radial loop than the autistic female subject although not statistically significant.

The summed ridge count of the right hand in both groups were greater than that of the left, these findings are consistent with Holt (1968) observation in a large normal population. Increase in the mean total ridge count of the autistic children, which was as a result of increase in whorl pattern, was not statistically significant. This observation contradicted those of Mellor (1968), Walker (1977) and Hartin and Barry (1979). The latter three research findings are in contrast to the higher ridge counts as also reported for a childhood psychotic group. However, the results of Hilbun (1970) and earlier research on adult schizophrenics (Singh, 1967; Rothhammer *et al.*, 1971) are in consonance with the findings of this study.

In this study, a smaller atd angle was observed in the autistic group but was not statistically significant from those of the control group. This is in line with the findings of Walker (1977). This may suggest possibilities of congenital interference in their development.

The a-b ridge count has a high degree of morphological variability related to environmental factors. Consequently, it particularly has been considered suitable in the investigation of developmental disorder of idiopathic origin. The a-b ridge count has been found to differentiate between patients of schizophrenia and normal controls (Turek, 1990; Fananas et al., 1990; Fearon et al., 2001). The results of this study showed insignificant a-b ridge count in the autistic female as compared with their control group. This is not statistically significant while in the male of the index group, it shows a slight increase in the mean a-b ridge count as compared to their normal group, though the difference was also not statistically significant.

This study confirms the presence of dermatoglyphic features associated with autism. The results are in certain aspects, similar to those obtained by previous researchers, but in other areas distinctly different. These suggest a racial and possibly environmental influence on the dermatoglyphic pattern in autism. Also, there is a possibility that the cause of autism may be different in different parts of the world. However, field studies using larger sample frame may give opportunity for more definitive deductions.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful to each and every one who helped and extended cooperation in the fieldwork. The authors are indebted to the neurology clinic of LUTH for their support during hand print taken of the autistic patients, and also to Catherine Adigwe for her friendly support during the fieldwork.

#### REFERENCE

Abell, F., Krams, M., Ashburner, J., Passingham, R., Friston, K., Frakowiak, R., Happe, F., Frith, C. and Frith, U. (1999).The neuroanatomy of autism: a voxel- based whole brain analysis of structural scans. *Neuro report*, 10: 1647–1651.

Aylward, E. H., Minshew, N. J., Goldstein, G., Honeycutt, N. A., Augustine, A. M., Yates, K. O., Barta, P. E. and Pearlson, G. D. (1999). MRI volumes of amygdala and hippocampus in non-mentally retarded autistic adolescents and adults. *Neurol.*, 53:2145–2150.

Bauman, M., Kemper, T. (1994). *Neuroanatomy observations of the brain in autism.* In: Bauman, M., Kernper T. eds. The neurobiology of autism. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press p. 1194–1195.

Courchesne, E., Yeung-Courchesne, R., Press, G., Hesselink, J. and Jernigan, T. (1988). Hypoplasia of cerebellar vermal lobules VI and VII in autism. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 318:1349–54.

Cummins, H. and Midlo, C. (1961). *Fingerprints, Palms and Soles. An Introduction to Dermatoglyphics*. New York: Dover publication. 319

Hill, E. L., & Frith, U. (2003). Understanding autism: insights from mind and brain. *Philosophical Transactions: Biolo Sc., 358,* 281-289.

Fananas, L., Moral, P. and Bertranpetit, J. (1990). Quantitative Dermatoglyphics in Schizophrenia: study of family history subgroups. *Hum. Biol.*, 62: 421–7.

Fearon, P., Lane, A., Airie, M., Scannell, J., McGowan, A., Byrne, M., Cannon, M., Cotter, D., Murphy, P., Cassidy, B., Waddington, J., Larkin, C. and O'Callaghan, E. (2001). Is Reduced Dermatoglyphic a-b ridge count a reliable marker of developmental impairment in Schizophrenia? *Schizophr Res.*, 50:151–7.

Folstein, S., Ratter, M. (1977). Infantile autism: A Genetic Study of 21 Twin Pairs. J. Child Psychol. *Psychiatry* ,18:297–321.

Hans, A. (1944). Autistic Psychopathy in childhood. *Autism and Asperger syndrome*, 37:92.

Hartin, P. J. and Barry, R. J. (1979). A Comparative Dermatoglyphic Study of Autistic, retarded and normal children. *J. Autism Devl. Dis.*, 9; 233-46.

Haznedar, M. M., Buchsbaum, M. S., Wei, T. C., Hof, P. R., Cartwright, C., Bienstock, C. A. and Hollander, E. (2001). Limbic circuitry in patients with autism spectrum disorders, studies with position emission tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. *Am. J. Psychiatry*, 157:1994–2001.

Hilbun, W. B. (1970). Dermatoglyphic findings on a group of psychotic children. *J. Nerv. Ment Dis.*, 151: 352-358

Holt, S. B. (1968). *The Genetics of Dermal Ridges.* Springfield MA: Charles C. Thomas Publ. 195

Huttenlocher, P. R., Dabholkar,A. S. (1997). Regional differences in synaptogenesis in human cerebral cortex. *J. Comp.Neurol.* 387:167–178.

Jasna, M., Zorana, B. P. and Jadranka, B. (2003). Dermatoglyphs of Digito-Palmar Complex in Autistic Disorder: *Family Analysis* Croat. *Med.*, J 44:469-476.

Kanner, L. (1943). *Autistic disturbances of affective contact. Nervous Child 2,* Cambridge University Press p.217–250.

Kemper, T. L. Bauman, M. (1998). Neuropathology of infantile autism. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.*, 57: 645-52

Lainhart, J. E., Piven, J., Wzorek, M., Landa, R., Santangelo, S. L., Coon, H. and Folstein, S. E. (1997). Macrocephaly in children and adults with autism. *J. Am. Acad. Child Adolescent Psychiatry*, 36: 282–290.

Mellor, C. S. (1968). Dermatoglyphics in schizophrenia. Part I: Qualitative aspects. *J. Psychiatry*, 114: 1387–1397.

Mulvihill, J. J., Smith, D. W. (1969). The Genesis of Dermatoglyphics. *J. Pediatr.*, 75: 579-589.

Oladipo, G. S., Afolabi, E. O. and Esomonu, C. (2010). Dermatoglyphic Patterns of Obese versus Normal Weight Nigerian Individuals. *Biomedicine International*, 1:66-69

Ohnishi, T., Matsuda, H., Hashimoto, T., Kunihiro, T., Nishikawa, M., Uema, T. and Sasaki, M. (2000). Abnormal regional cerebral blood flow in childhood autism. *Brain*, 123:1838–1844.

Pierce, K., Muller, R. A., Ambrose, J., Allen, G. and Courchesne, E. (2001). Face processing occurs outside the fusiform 'face area' in autism: evidence from functional MRI. *Brain* 124:2059–2073.

Rakic, P. (1988). Specification of erebral cortical areas. Science 241:170–6

Reed, T., Meier, R. (1990). How to take dermatoglyphic prints. Taking Dermatoglyphic prints: A selfinstruction manual. Supplement to the Newsletter of the ammerican dermatoglyhics Association. 1-45

Ritvo, E. R., Jorde, L. B., Mason-Brothers, A., Freeman, B. J., Pingree, C. and Jones, M. B. (1989). The UCLA – University of Utah epidemiological survey of autism: recurrence risk estimates and genetic consulting. *Am. J. Psychiatry*, 146:1032– 6.

Rosa, A., Gutierrez, B., Guerra, A., Arias, B. and Fananas, L. (2001). Dermatoglyphics and Abnormal Palmar Flexion Creases as Markers of early Prenatal Stress in Children with Idiopathic Intellectual Disability. *J. Intellect. Disabil. Res.*, (45 95): 416–423.

Rothhammer, F., Pereira, G., Camousseight, A., Bernardo, M. (1971). Dermatoglyphic in schizophrenic patients. *Hum. Hered.*, 21: 198–202.

Sank, D. (1968). Dermatoglyphics of childhood schizophrenia . *Acta. Genet. Stat. Med.*, 18: 300–314.

Schaumann, B. Alter, M. (1976). *Dermatoglyphics in Medical Disorders*. Springer-Verlag. New-York-Heidelberg-Berlin, p 13–166.

Singh, S. (1967). Dermatoglyphics in schizophrenia. *Acta. Genet. Stat.*, Med 348–356

Spence, M.A., Simmons, J.Q., Wikler, L., Brown, N. (1974). Dermatoglyphic of childhood psychosis: A family study. *Hum. Hered.*, 24: 82–87.

Turek, S. (1990). Dermatoglyphics and schizophrenia: analysis of quantitative traits. *College of Anthropology*, 14:137–50.

Vashist, M., Yadav, R., N. and kumar, A. (2010). Axial triradius as a preliminary diagnostic tool in patients of mental retardation. *The Internet Journal of Biological Anthropology*, 4: No 1

Vashist, M., Yadav, R., N. and Rathee, R. (2011). Dankmeijer's Index (D.I.) In Mental Retardation. *The Internet Journal of Biological Anthropology*, 4 :No 2

Walker, H. (1977). Dermatoglyphic study of autistic patients. *J.* Autism Child *Schizophr.*, 7:11-21

World Health Organization, (2006). *"F84. Pervasive developmental disorders".* International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (10<sup>th</sup> (ICD-10) ed.)

Zilbovicius, M., Boddaert, N., Belin, P., Poline, J. B., Remy, P., Mangin, J. F., Thivard, L., Barthelemy, C. and Samson, Y. (2000). Temporal lobe dysfunction in childhood autism: a PET study. *Am. J. Psychiatry*, 157:1988–1993.